Task Triage Toolbox (T3) Method

A Why, What, How for working efficiently and effectively.

This document introduces the 'Task Triage Toolbox' (T3) method, a structured approach to improving task management by helping individuals and organizations focus on tasks that deliver meaningful results. By answering three key questions—regarding a task's outcomes, its users, and how the results will be used—the T3 method offers a practical framework for reducing inefficiency, enhancing productivity, and aligning tasks with strategic goals.

The paper outlines the method and its application, as well as the findings from the Groningen Experiment, where the method was tested at TNO to validate its effectiveness. Feedback sessions played a key role in reinforcing the method's application, addressing obstacles, and providing continuous support to participants. This evaluation provides real-world insights into the method's benefits, challenges, and practical applications, helping others understand how to implement and adapt the T3 method in their own environments.

Rieks Joosten
(rieks.joosten@tno.nl)
1-11-2024

Table of Contents

1. Introduction ... 2
2. The T3 Method ... 4
2.1 When to Use the Method ... 4
2.2 Concrete Results ... 5
2.3 Actual Users ... 5
2.4 Fit-for-Purposeness ... 6
3. Testing and Evaluating the T3 Method ... 7
3.1 The Groningen Experiment ... 7
3.2 Costs and Benefits of Applying the Method ... 7
3.3 Obstacles Encountered and Strategies for Overcoming Them ... 8
3.3.1 Forgetting to Use the Method ... 8
3.3.2 Discomfort in Asking Questions ... 8
3.3.3 Lack of Engagement from Stakeholders ... 9
3.3.4 Limited Experience Leading to Unclear Results ... 9
3.3.5 Overcomplicating the Method ... 9
3.3.6 Feedback Sessions as a Tool for Overcoming Obstacles ... 10
3.3.7 Revisiting and Iterating on the T3 Questions ... 10
3.3.8 Recognizing When the T3 Method May Not Be Needed ... 10
3.4 The Role of Feedback Sessions ... 11
4. Introducing the T3 Method in Practice ... 12
4.1 Introducing the T3 Method in Projects ... 12
4.2 Introducing the T3 Method in Employee Onboarding ... 12
4.3 Implementing the T3 Method in Organizational Change ... 13
4.4 General Considerations for Introducing the T3 Method ... 13
5. Future Directions for the T3 Method ... 14
5.1 Adapting the T3 Method for Personal Use ... 14
5.2 Disseminating the T3 Method in Teams and Organizations ... 14
5.3 Using the T3 Method for Organizational Improvement ... 15
6. Conclusion ... 16
7. References ... 17

1. Introduction

In many workplaces, individuals and teams often spend time on tasks that fail to produce tangible benefits or align with broader objectives. Vague goals, unclear result users, and a lack of understanding of a task's purpose often lead to inefficiency, resource waste, and frustration. This is a widespread issue, as numerous studies confirm the prevalence of poor task management and misaligned objectives across industries. For instance, the Project Management Institute (PMI) emphasizes that effective project management hinges on clear goals and stakeholder engagement (PMI, 2020). The Standish Group's CHAOS Report of 2013 highlights that projects with clear business objectives are significantly more likely to succeed (Standish Group, 2013). The CHAOS Report of 2015 also suggests that understanding what the user or customer is going to do with the results is crucial for the effective and efficient completion of tasks (Standish Group, 2015). McKinsey's research indicates that companies with effective performance management systems, which include clear goal setting and alignment, are more likely to outperform their peers (McKinsey, 2024). Additionally, Gartner's studies show that focusing on job manageability and clear task execution can dramatically improve manager effectiveness and overall productivity (Gartner, 2023).

These inefficiencies are compounded by cognitive limitations, such as biases and procrastination, and organizational dynamics that encourage the continuation of low-impact tasks. Cognitive biases, such as those identified by Daniel Kahneman, as well as social pressures and the "knowing-doing gap" noted by Pfeffer and Sutton, all contribute to the persistence of ineffective behaviors (Kahneman, 2011; Pfeffer & Sutton, 2000). Research in organizational psychology suggests that when individuals are overwhelmed by too many tasks or unclear priorities, their productivity and job satisfaction decline (Cambridge, 2013). The phenomenon known as the "smaller tasks trap" illustrates how people tend to prioritize less important tasks to avoid the cognitive strain of tackling more complex ones. Furthermore, effective communication and understanding of task relevance are crucial for maintaining motivation and engagement, as highlighted by studies on workplace communication and stakeholder engagement (PMI, 2013). By addressing these psychological factors, the T3 method can help individuals and teams focus on meaningful tasks, thereby improving overall efficiency and effectiveness.

The **Task Triage Toolbox (T3) method** addresses these challenges by offering a simple yet powerful framework for evaluating tasks. It centers around three critical questions: What are the concrete results of the task? Who will use these results? And what will these users do with them? By answering these questions, individuals and organizations can better prioritize their efforts, ensure alignment with strategic goals, and focus on tasks that generate real value. The method's "well-formedness criteria" provide guidance on crafting actionable answers to these questions, ensuring that tasks are clearly defined and aligned with objectives.

To validate the effectiveness of this method, an experiment was conducted at TNO's Groningen location (the so-called 'Groningen Experiment'). This paper outlines the T3 method, its practical applications, and how feedback sessions were integral to overcoming challenges and reinforcing its use. The findings from the experiment provide valuable insights into the real-world impact of the method, offering practical guidance for those looking to implement and adapt it in their own settings.

In summary:

- Task misalignment and unclear objectives are major causes of inefficiency and project failure.
- Cognitive biases and organizational dynamics contribute to the persistence of low-impact tasks.
- The T3 method addresses these challenges by offering a structured approach to clarifying task outcomes, result users, and their purpose.
- The Groningen Experiment tested the T3 method's real-world applicability and effectiveness, providing practical insights for implementation.

2. The T3 Method

The Task Triage Toolbox (T3) method is a structured approach that helps clarify the purpose and scope of any task by answering three fundamental questions. These questions ensure that tasks are well-defined, relevant, and aligned with the needs of the result users. By doing so, the T3 method improves task specification, assignment, and execution, ultimately leading to more meaningful and productive outcomes.

The three key questions are as follows:

1. **What are the concrete results of the task?**
2. **Which (groups of) individual people will actually be using these results?**
3. **What is it that these people will actually (need to) be doing with the results?**

The following sections will elaborate on when and where to use the method, introduce the "well-formedness criteria" that help assess the quality of answers to these questions, and identify the risks of not having clear and complete answers. The "well-formedness criteria" refer to the qualities that answers to the three T3 questions should ideally satisfy to ensure they are valuable and effective. These criteria help determine whether the answers are clear, actionable, and aligned with the task's objectives. For instance, a well-formed answer to the question about concrete results should not only specify the deliverables but also include characteristics that make the results verifiable or measurable. Similarly, well-formed answers about result users and their actions should be specific enough to inform decision-making and task prioritization. Meeting these criteria increases the likelihood that the task will be executed effectively, and its outcomes properly utilized.

2.1 When to Use the Method

While the T3 method can theoretically be applied to any task, its usefulness depends on the context and the importance of the task in question. Not every task requires deep reflection and detailed answers to the three questions. The method is most beneficial for tasks that have a direct impact on other people or strategic goals.

For example:

- **Routine tasks** like making coffee or sending routine emails do not typically require the T3 method.
- **Intermediate tasks**, such as sending important invitations or drafting non-trivial communications, may benefit from using the T3 method to clarify purpose and expected outcomes.
- **Complex tasks** that involve design, production, or creating something for external use (e.g., a product, training program, or marketing campaign) greatly benefit from the method, as the answers to the three questions guide the decision-making process and ensure that the outcomes are aligned with the intended audience's needs.

The T3 method is particularly valuable during:

- **Task design**: It ensures the task is well-defined and relevant.

- **Task execution**: It clarifies expectations and allows individuals to focus on meaningful results.
- **Task evaluation**: The T3 method can be used after a task is completed to evaluate its efficiency and effectiveness. By revisiting the T3 questions, you can assess whether the concrete results were achieved as planned, whether the intended result users engaged with the outcomes, and whether the results were truly fit for their purpose. This post-task evaluation helps identify any misalignments between the task's objectives and its actual outcomes, offering valuable insights for improving future tasks.
- **Resource justification**: It helps ensure resources are used efficiently, with clear outcomes in mind.
- **Task prioritization**: It enables decision-makers to prioritize tasks based on their impact and relevance.

Additionally, the process of answering the T3 questions for a task can itself be viewed as a subtask, subject to the same method: determining the results, users, and their activities with respect to the answers themselves.

The T3 method can be applied iteratively, meaning the answers to the three key questions may evolve over time as new information becomes available or as tasks progress. This flexibility allows for continuous alignment between task execution and strategic goals. Iterating on the answers helps ensure the task remains relevant and fit for its intended purpose, even as circumstances change. It is recommended to revisit the T3 questions at key stages of the task to verify whether the initial assumptions still hold.

2.2 Concrete Results

The first question, "What are the concrete results of the task?", focuses on identifying tangible or verifiable outcomes that will exist once the task is completed. These can be physical artifacts, like reports or presentations, or intangible results, such as new ideas, knowledge, or memories.

A well-formed answer should specify results that are easily verifiable. For example, the task of "creating a solid advice" is too vague. A more concrete answer would specify the form and content of the advice, such as a detailed report adhering to specific templates or guidelines.

Without clearly defined concrete results, a task may suffer from ambiguity, leading to misaligned expectations and objectives. Team members might not know what they are working toward, which can cause confusion, missed deadlines, and incomplete or unsatisfactory results. If the results are not verifiable, it becomes difficult to measure progress or determine whether the task has been successfully completed. As a method user, consider the task's importance and how much effort you should spend defining its concrete outcomes based on its complexity and impact.

2.3 Actual Users

The second question, "Which (groups of) individual people will actually be using these results?", ensures that the task is designed with the specific users in mind. The answer should clearly identify the individuals or groups who will use the results, referred to as "result users." It is important to list actual users, not potential ones.

For example, vague answers like "the customer" or "readers" are insufficient. Instead, specify exact users or well-defined groups such as "Members of Parliament" or "the finance department."

If the actual result users are not clearly identified, the task may produce results that do not meet the needs of those who are intended to use them. This can result in wasted effort, as the outputs may be irrelevant, impractical, or misaligned with user requirements.

Misunderstanding who the result users are can also lead to poor prioritization of resources. The more significant the task, the more essential it is to invest time in accurately identifying the result users to ensure the output serves its purpose effectively.

In some cases, such as the development of a new product or IT system, it may be challenging to identify concrete result users. Here, it is acceptable to deviate from strictly defining actual users. However, to maintain the fit-for-purposeness of the task's outcomes, T3 users should still construct a concrete mental picture of likely result users. Ideally, this mental picture includes criteria that clearly distinguish between those who are expected to benefit from the result and those who are not. By establishing shared criteria, those working to realize the task's outcome can align their efforts, ensuring the result is relevant and suited to future users' needs.

This approach helps to avoid creating results that lack relevance or usability and enables task designers to maintain a user-centered perspective, even in cases of uncertainty.

2.4 Fit-for-Purposeness

The third question, "What is it that these result users will actually be doing with the results?", focuses on how the results will be applied by the result users. This ensures that the task's outcomes are not only relevant but also functional and aligned with the specific needs of those who will use them.

A well-formed answer should describe the specific activities that result users will undertake with the task's outputs. For instance, if the result is a report, the answer might detail whether the users will use it to make decisions, create further documentation, or inform strategic planning. Additionally, it's important to highlight any characteristics that make the result particularly valuable or fit for its intended purpose—such as the format, level of detail, or accuracy required for the result to be usable in those activities.

If the purpose of the result is unclear or poorly defined, the output may not be used effectively, or worse, may not be used at all. Misalignment between the result and its intended use can lead to frustration, resource waste, and missed opportunities for impact. As a method user, carefully consider how critical the task is and allocate effort to ensuring the result is tailored to fit its specific purpose for the result users.

3. Testing and Evaluating the T3 Method

The T3 method was developed to improve task management by helping individuals and organizations focus on tasks that deliver meaningful results. The expected benefits included greater clarity in task objectives, improved communication among stakeholders, better prioritization of tasks, and increased efficiency and effectiveness in task execution.

To validate these expectations, the **Groningen Experiment** was conducted at TNO's Groningen location. The experiment aimed to test the hypothesis: "TNOers that conscientiously apply the T3 method are more efficient and effective in executing activities than those that do not." This chapter outlines the setup and results of that experiment, including the obstacles encountered and strategies to overcome them, providing valuable insights for readers looking to implement the T3 method in their own contexts.

3.1 The Groningen Experiment

The Groningen Experiment sought to test the real-world applicability of the T3 method. It involved several activities, including participant recruitment, feedback sessions, and data collection over the course of three months. The main risk identified was that participants might leave the experiment prematurely, potentially undermining the evaluation. To mitigate this risk, participants made an explicit commitment to apply the method and attend regular feedback sessions.

The experiment's main objectives were to: - Ensure participants could independently apply the method and become more efficient and effective in their work. - Raise awareness within TNO about the method and its benefits. - Identify practical obstacles encountered when using the method and propose solutions.

The experiment began with a physical introductory session to recruit participants. This session was attended by 15 people (some 100 had been invited). The session introduced the method and the experiment, highlighting the potential benefits for daily work. Attendees were asked to commit to using the method and to participate in a series of 6 feedback sessions. During these sessions, participants shared their experiences, challenges, and benefits from applying the method, creating a collaborative learning environment.

3.2 Costs and Benefits of Applying the Method

As expected, participants reported several tangible benefits from applying the T3 method. These benefits included increased efficiency, improved task prioritization, and greater mental clarity in managing tasks.

One participant noted that using the method helped them save approximately 20% of their time when writing reports. This was achieved by clearly defining the report's purpose and focusing only on the necessary content. Another participant found that using the method helped them clarify the objectives of recurring meetings, leading to a decision to skip some meetings that were deemed unnecessary.

The method's benefits also extended to project management. One project manager used the T3 method to structure a meeting with work package leaders, which resulted in clearer direction and more effective coordination. Similarly, in a technical demonstration scenario, the T3 method helped a participant define the changes needed to prepare for a management presentation, ultimately leading to a more successful outcome.

However, the costs of applying the method were generally minimal. Participants acknowledged that the time spent answering the T3 questions was worth the effort. One participant mentioned that although they needed regular reminders to apply the method, the benefits far outweighed the time investment. Others found that while the method did not always change their decisions, it did make their reasoning clearer and more deliberate.

3.3 Obstacles Encountered and Strategies for Overcoming Them

The Groningen Experiment revealed that while the T3 method offers numerous benefits, participants faced several obstacles when trying to apply it consistently. Understanding these challenges and how they were addressed provides valuable lessons for future users. Below are the key obstacles encountered, along with strategies that participants used to overcome them, emphasizing how critical reflection and collaboration were in resolving these issues.

3.3.1 Forgetting to Use the Method

One of the most common obstacles was that participants often forgot to apply the T3 method regularly, especially after the initial enthusiasm faded. With the pressures of daily work, participants found it easy to fall back into old habits and neglect the new framework.

Strategies to Overcome:

- **Automated Reminders**: Set calendar alerts or task manager notifications (using tools like Microsoft Outlook, Asana, or Trello) to prompt users to apply the T3 method before beginning a task. Reminders ensure that the method becomes an integrated part of the workflow.
- **Physical Prompts**: Participants found it helpful to keep a checklist of the T3 questions visible at their desks or included in digital task managers. Regular exposure reinforced the habit of using the method.
- **Accountability**: Encouraging team members to remind each other to apply the T3 method or assigning a “T3 champion” helped create a sense of shared responsibility. Teams with such accountability structures experienced better consistency in applying the method.

3.3.2 Discomfort in Asking Questions

Some participants reported discomfort when asking the T3 questions, particularly in meetings with senior colleagues or clients. This discomfort stemmed from the directness of the questions, which may feel challenging in hierarchical settings.

Strategies to Overcome:

- **Rephrasing Questions**: Frame the questions more conversationally to avoid confrontation (e.g., “Could we clarify who will use this and how?”).

- **Sending Questions in Advance**: Provide the T3 questions via email before meetings to allow stakeholders time to prepare.
- **Focusing on Outcomes**: Emphasize that the questions aim to improve outcomes, not challenge authority, making it easier for stakeholders to engage.

3.3.3 Lack of Engagement from Stakeholders

In some cases, stakeholders were reluctant to engage fully with the T3 questions, particularly when their own goals were unclear or undefined.

Strategies to Overcome:

- **Facilitate Discussions**: Organize brief, focused discussions to clarify the T3 questions and gain alignment.
- **Highlight Benefits**: Explain that answering the T3 questions upfront will save time and reduce confusion later, encouraging stakeholder participation.
- **Provide Examples**: Share successful applications of the T3 method to demonstrate its value and motivate stakeholders to contribute meaningfully.

3.3.4 Limited Experience Leading to Unclear Results

Some participants reported that, in certain situations, applying the T3 method didn't always lead to satisfying results. This was attributed either to the nature of the task or to participants' lack of experience with the method.

Strategies to Overcome:

- **Peer Review**: Having team members review each other's answers to the T3 questions helped ensure clarity and completeness. This collaborative review process uncovered gaps and provided a learning opportunity for all participants.
- **Learning from Past Successes**: Reviewing previous tasks where the T3 method had been applied successfully served as a guide for new or unfamiliar tasks. This reflection allowed participants to see how the method could be adapted to different contexts.
- **Iterative Application**: The T3 method doesn't require perfect answers upfront. Participants were encouraged to apply the method iteratively, refining their answers as they gained more information about the task and its users.

3.3.5 Overcomplicating the Method

In some instances, participants overcomplicated their application of the T3 method by spending too much time trying to answer the questions exhaustively, even for simple tasks. This led to inefficiencies and frustration.

Strategies to Overcome:

- **Simplicity for Routine Tasks**: For routine or less critical tasks, participants learned to provide brief, straightforward answers to the T3 questions. A simple email or task may require only a quick answer like "The result is a meeting confirmation."

- **Reserve Depth for Complex Tasks**: Detailed applications of the T3 method were reserved for more complex or high-impact tasks. This approach ensured that time and effort were spent proportionally to the importance of the task.
- **Use Templates**: Creating task templates that included the T3 questions helped streamline the process, allowing participants to quickly adapt their answers to different types of tasks without starting from scratch each time.

3.3.6 Feedback Sessions as a Tool for Overcoming Obstacles

Feedback sessions played a crucial role in helping participants overcome these obstacles. The collaborative environment allowed participants to share their challenges and find solutions together. Regular discussions ensured that the method stayed top of mind, reinforced consistent use, and provided a forum for refining how the T3 method was applied.

3.3.7 Revisiting and Iterating on the T3 Questions

One challenge that emerged during the Groningen Experiment was the realization that answers to the T3 questions may evolve over time, especially in complex or dynamic tasks. Participants found that as tasks progressed or as more information became available, the initial answers to the T3 questions might no longer be sufficient or accurate.

Strategies to Overcome:

- **Iterative Application**: The T3 method should be treated as an iterative process. Participants are encouraged to revisit and refine their answers to the T3 questions as new developments arise. For instance, a task's result users or their needs may shift as a project evolves, and it's important to adjust accordingly.
- **Checkpoint Reviews**: Establish checkpoints during the task execution phase to review whether the answers to the T3 questions still hold true. This ensures that the task remains aligned with its intended outcomes and that any changes are accounted for.
- **Flexible Mindset**: Emphasize that the T3 method is not a rigid framework but a flexible tool. Participants should feel comfortable adjusting their approach based on new insights or changing circumstances.

3.3.8 Recognizing When the T3 Method May Not Be Needed

While the T3 method is highly effective for ensuring alignment and clarity in tasks, participants also noted that it isn't always necessary for every task. Overusing the method, particularly for simple or routine tasks, can lead to inefficiencies.

Strategies to Overcome:

- **Task Filtering**: Encourage participants to assess whether a task warrants the full application of the T3 method. For low-stakes or repetitive tasks, a quick mental check or a simplified version of the T3 questions may be sufficient.
- **Adjust Depth Based on Task Complexity**: Reserve detailed applications of the method for tasks that have significant impact, complexity, or strategic importance.

For smaller tasks, a lightweight approach—focusing only on the key elements of the task—may be more efficient.

- **Balance**: Striking a balance between full and partial application of the T3 method helps avoid overcomplication while still reaping the benefits of task clarity and alignment when necessary.

3.4 The Role of Feedback Sessions

Feedback sessions were instrumental in ensuring the consistent application of the T3 method during the Groningen Experiment. These sessions created a space for reflection, problem-solving, and mutual learning, which kept participants engaged and motivated.

First, feedback sessions helped participants stay accountable. Knowing that they would be asked to report on their progress and challenges motivated participants to apply the method regularly. This consistent engagement was key to developing familiarity and confidence in using the T3 method.

Second, feedback sessions fostered collaboration. Participants shared their successes and struggles, offering advice and strategies for overcoming common obstacles. For example, one participant who struggled with stakeholder engagement received practical advice from peers who had faced similar challenges. This exchange of experiences enriched the group's understanding of the method and provided practical tools for improvement.

Third, these sessions provided an opportunity to reflect on the benefits of the method. As participants became more accustomed to the T3 process, they could articulate how it had positively impacted their work—whether through time savings, clearer objectives, or more focused task execution. These reflections reinforced the value of the method and increased participants' intrinsic motivation to continue using it.

Finally, the social aspect of feedback sessions strengthened relationships among participants. By discussing their experiences openly, participants built trust and camaraderie. This supportive environment made it easier for individuals to share their challenges and celebrate their successes, contributing to a positive and collaborative learning culture.

Overall, feedback sessions were essential in addressing obstacles, sustaining engagement, and ensuring the long-term success of the T3 method.

4. Introducing the T3 Method in Practice

The Groningen Experiment has provided valuable insights into how the T3 method can be applied and its potential benefits. However, to ensure successful adoption in other contexts, it is important to consider structured ways to introduce the method to different environments, such as organizations, teams, or individuals. This chapter explores practical approaches to introducing the T3 method in the context of projects, onboarding employees, and other organizational initiatives. Based on the results of the Groningen Experiment, it is also clear that an introduction requires not only an explanation of the method but also the organization of follow-up support, such as feedback sessions.

4.1 Introducing the T3 Method in Projects

In the context of project management, the T3 method can be integrated as a tool to ensure that tasks are clearly defined and aligned with the project's objectives. Introducing the method early in the project lifecycle—during project kickoff meetings, for example—ensures that all team members are familiar with the method and can apply it consistently.

Steps to integrate T3 in projects:

- **Early Alignment with Project Objectives**: Use the T3 method during the project planning phase to ensure that all tasks and deliverables are explicitly tied to the project's overall objectives. By having the project team answer the T3 questions for each key task, you can reduce the risk of scope creep and misaligned priorities. This early alignment helps prevent wasted effort on tasks that do not contribute to the project's strategic goals.
- **Kickoff Session**: At the start of the project, introduce the T3 method to the project team, outlining how it can help clarify tasks and align them with project goals.
- **Task Definition Phase**: When creating work packages or assigning tasks, ensure the T3 questions are answered for each major deliverable.
- **Ongoing Check-ins**: Use regular check-ins or milestone meetings to review whether the tasks continue to meet the T3 method's criteria.
- **Feedback Loops**: Organize periodic feedback sessions, similar to those in the Groningen Experiment, to provide team members with a forum to discuss challenges, share experiences, and refine how the method is being applied.

4.2 Introducing the T3 Method in Employee Onboarding

Employee onboarding is another context in which the T3 method can be highly beneficial. New employees often face confusion about how their tasks align with the broader organizational goals. The T3 method can help new hires quickly gain clarity on the purpose of their tasks and how their contributions fit into the larger picture.

Steps to integrate T3 in onboarding:

- **Orientation Sessions**: Include an introduction to the T3 method in the onboarding process, explaining how it can be used to clarify the objectives of their assigned tasks.

- **Mentoring**: Pair new employees with mentors who are familiar with the T3 method and encourage them to use it during task handover and in clarifying role expectations.
- **Periodic Reviews**: Schedule check-ins with new hires during their first months, ensuring they use the T3 method for themselves, and using the T3 method to ensure their tasks are clearly defined and that they understand who will use their outputs and why.

4.3 Implementing the T3 Method in Organizational Change

The T3 method can also be introduced as part of broader organizational changes. Whether the organization is going through a digital transformation or restructuring teams, the method can help ensure that tasks are aligned with strategic goals and that employees understand the purpose behind their work.

Steps to integrate T3 in organizational change:

- **Change Management Workshops**: Use the T3 method in workshops or strategy sessions to help teams understand how their roles will change and what new tasks they will be responsible for.
- **Task Prioritization**: During periods of change, the T3 method can help prioritize tasks that have a clear and meaningful impact on the organization's new direction.
- **Feedback Mechanism**: Establish feedback sessions to ensure that as changes are implemented, employees feel confident in the relevance and purpose of their new or revised tasks.

4.4 General Considerations for Introducing the T3 Method

Across these various contexts, it is important to remember that introducing the T3 method requires more than just explaining the concept. The structured follow-up sessions used in the Groningen Experiment were crucial in reinforcing the use of the method and providing support. Any organization looking to introduce the T3 method should consider organizing similar feedback loops, where participants can reflect on their experiences, discuss challenges, and receive guidance on improving their use of the method.

5. Future Directions for the T3 Method

The T3 method has proven its value in improving task management, but its full potential lies in how it can be adapted, disseminated, and scaled for various contexts. This chapter offers suggestions for individuals, teams, and organizations to take the T3 method further, adapting it for specific needs, sharing it with others, and using it to drive more effective work processes.

5.1 Adapting the T3 Method for Personal Use

Individuals who use the T3 method can customize it to suit their personal work style and preferences. While the method provides a clear structure, there is flexibility in how much time and effort is spent answering the questions, depending on the task's complexity.

Personal Adaptations:

- **When and Where to Apply It**: Not every task requires deep reflection. For routine tasks, a quick check of the T3 questions may suffice. For larger, more complex projects, take the time to fully explore the answers. Use discretion to apply the method where it adds value.
- **Effort and Detail**: Adjust the depth of your answers based on the significance of the task. Simple tasks may only need brief responses, while more critical or impactful tasks benefit from detailed answers to each question.
- **Adjusting the Questions**: You may also find it useful to tweak the T3 questions based on the context. For instance, if working on highly collaborative projects, consider adding questions around dependencies or collaboration needs.

In addition, the method can serve as a personal improvement tool. By iterating the T3 process over time and adapting it to your work habits, you can make it part of your task-planning routine. This ensures ongoing clarity in task execution and helps you continually refine your approach to managing responsibilities.

5.2 Disseminating the T3 Method in Teams and Organizations

To ensure the broader adoption of the T3 method, it is essential to share the method and the results it produces with others. Whether introducing it to your immediate team or advocating for its use within an entire organization, there are several ways to disseminate the T3 method effectively.

Sharing and scaling the T3 Method:

- **Start Small**: Begin by introducing the T3 method in smaller teams or projects, where you can quickly demonstrate its benefits. Once initial results are visible, it becomes easier to convince others of its value.
- **Workshops and Training**: Conduct workshops to train others on how to apply the T3 method. Use practical examples from past projects to show the method's real-world impact.

- **Feedback Sessions**: Like in the Groningen Experiment, organizing regular feedback sessions helps maintain momentum and fosters shared learning. These sessions also create opportunities to adjust the method based on team feedback.
- **Internal Champions**: Encourage team members to take ownership of the T3 method. These internal champions can mentor others, support new users, and ensure that the method is consistently applied across projects.

Disseminating the T3 method also requires institutional support. Departments and leadership can play a pivotal role in formalizing the use of the method by incorporating it into standard processes, offering ongoing training, and creating a culture that values clarity and efficiency in task management.

5.3 Using the T3 Method for Organizational Improvement

At the organizational level, the T3 method offers more than just individual task management improvements. By embedding the method into standard practices, departments or entire organizations can improve efficiency and alignment with strategic goals.

Departmental or Organizational Adaptations:

- **Standardizing Task Management**: Organizations can integrate the T3 method into existing project management frameworks. For instance, the T3 questions can be incorporated into task templates, checklists, or project charters to ensure alignment with organizational goals from the outset.
- **Providing Support for Users**: Organizations can establish internal resources, such as guides or dedicated team members, to support the broader use of the T3 method. This ensures that employees have access to tools, training, and troubleshooting as they adopt the method.
- **Cross-Departmental Application**: The method can be used to streamline collaboration across departments by clarifying how each group's tasks contribute to shared organizational objectives. Using the T3 questions to align teams' efforts can improve overall coherence and productivity.

6. Conclusion

The T3 method provides a structured, practical approach to improving task management by encouraging individuals and teams to focus on the concrete results of their efforts, the users of those results, and the specific ways those users will benefit. The Groningen Experiment successfully validated the method's effectiveness, demonstrating increased efficiency, improved prioritization, and greater clarity for participants who applied it.

While some challenges—such as discomfort in asking the T3 questions and difficulties maintaining consistency—were identified, participants were able to overcome these obstacles through peer support and regular feedback. The experiment highlighted the importance of sustained engagement with the method, particularly through feedback sessions that provide opportunities for reflection and improvement.

Looking forward, the T3 method has potential far beyond the individual or team level. By adapting the method to suit different contexts, sharing it through workshops and internal champions, and integrating it into broader organizational processes, individuals and organizations can maximize its impact.

At a departmental or organizational level, the T3 method can become a standard tool for improving project management, task execution, and cross-departmental collaboration. Its versatility allows it to be tailored to specific tasks or environments, while its structured approach ensures that all efforts remain aligned with clear objectives. With continued support and thoughtful application, the T3 method can help organizations and teams become more efficient, focused, and strategic in their work, ultimately leading to better outcomes and more meaningful results.

Key Takeaways:

- The T3 method improves task management by focusing on clear results, user needs, and their specific actions with the results.
- Feedback sessions were critical in overcoming obstacles and reinforcing the consistent use of the method.
- The T3 method can be scaled from individual use to organizational processes, contributing to better efficiency, alignment, and collaboration.
- Continued support and flexibility in applying the method will enhance its long-term impact on teams and organizations.

7. References

Cambridge. (2013). **The Psychology of Task Management: The Smaller Tasks Trap**. Retrieved from https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/judgment-and-decision-making/article/psychology-of-task-management-the-smaller-tasks-trap/71EC8D2356D1313AB5E7D788BBEBAA93.

Gartner. (2023). **Gartner HR Survey Finds 77% of Employees Are Placing Increased Importance on Manager Support**. Retrieved from https://www.gartner.com/en/newsroom/press-releases/2023-06-22-gartner-hr-survey-finds-77-percent-of-employees-are-placing-increased-importance-on-manager-support.

Kahneman, D. (2011). **Thinking, Fast and Slow**. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

McKinsey & Company. (2024). **Performance management that puts people first**. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/in-the-spotlight-performance-management-that-puts-people-first.

Pfeffer, J., & Sutton, R. I. (2000). **The Knowing-Doing Gap: How Smart Companies Turn Knowledge into Action**. Harvard Business Review Press.

Project Management Institute. (2013). **Managing Communications Effectively and Efficiently**. Retrieved from https://www.pmi.org/learning/library/managing-communications-effectively-efficiently-5916.

Project Management Institute. (2020). **Increase Project Team Effectiveness***. Retrieved from https://www.pmi.org/learning/library/increase-project-team-effectiveness-steps-6070.

Standish Group. (2013). **CHAOS Manifesto 2013**. Retrieved from https://www.standishgroup.com/sample_research_files/CM2013.pdf

Standish Group. (2015). **CHAOS Report 2015**. Retrieved from https://www.standishgroup.com/sample_research_files/CHAOSReport2015-Final.pdf