

D4.1 NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT

WP 4

30th June 2019

Report to identify, analyse and assess the needs of farmers and DIHs in relation to digital transformation.



DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION

Project	SmartAgriHubs	
Project Full Title Connecting the dots to unleash the innovation properties for digital transformation of the European agrissector		
Project Number	No. 818182	
Starting Date	November 1 st , 2018	
Duration	4 years	
H2020 Call ID & Topic	DT-RUR-12-2018: ICT Innovation for agriculture – Digital Innovation Hubs for Agriculture	
Website	smartagrihubs.eu	
File Name	me D4.1 Needs Assessment Report	
Date	30/06/2019	
Version	2.0	
Status	Final; second version	
Dissemination level	Public	
Author	Judit Anda	

Mª Ángeles Lora Noemí Molina Agustín Serrano Miguel Calero Frank Berkers

Caroline Van der Weerdt

Milou Derks Tineke Hof

Stavros Tsitouras

Ahmad Issa

Contact details of the

coordinator

George Beers

george.beers@wur.nl

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Explanation	
CAPDER	Regional Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Sustainable Development. Andalusia.	
СС	Competence Center	
D4.1	Deliverable 4.1	
DEI	Digitising European Industry	
DIHs	Digital Innovation Hubs	
DSM	Digital Single Market	
EC	European Commission	
EU	European Union	
ICT	Information and Communications Technology	
IT	Information Technology	
ІоТ	Internet of Things	
ICT	Information and Communications Technology	
IT	Information Technology	
IEs	Innovation Experiments	
ISMM	Innovation Services Maturity Model	
FIEs	Flagship Innovation Experiments	
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation	
RIS3	Research and Innovation Strategy for Smart Specialisation	
RCs	Regional Clusters	
SAHs	SmartAgriHubs	
SMEs	Small Medium Enterprises	
SWOT	Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats	
TNO	The Netherlands Organisation for applied scientific research	
WP	Work Package	
WUR	Wageningen University and Research	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Categorised services and activities of a Digital Innovation Hub (source: I4MS initiative)	18
Figure 2 - Survey outcomes for farmers and Digital Innovation Hub surveys	20
Figure 3 - Global participation	31
Figure 4 - DIHs participation per Regional Cluster	32
Figure 5 - Distribution of surveys per regional cluster	33
Figure 6 - Distribution of surveys per role in the DIH	34
Figure 7 - Farmers participation on the survey per Regional Cluster	35
Figure 8 - Distribution of far's surveys per Regional Cluster	36
Figure 9 - Main sectors represented by respondents (farmers and farming ecosystem)	37
Figure 10 - Distribution of respondents by Regional Cluster within each sector	38
Figure 11 - Distribution of the main sectors represented	39
Figure 12 - Farmers position in the industry	40
Figure 13 - Age of the farmers	40
Figure 14 - Distribution of respondents according to their age per Regional Cluster	41
Figure 15 - Languages used by respondents	41
Figure 16 - Distribution amongst farm categories	42
Figure 17 - Distribution of farm categories according to the sector	43
Figure 18 - Size of farms	43
Figure 19 - Sectors served by the farmers ecosystem	45
Figure 20 - Participants willing to collaborate in the future	46
Figure 21 - Composition of the DIH ecosystem	46
Figure 22 - Composition of the DIH ecosystem shown as a net	47
Figure 23 - Farmers perception of digitalisation needs	49
Figure 24 – Importance of services to operate as a DIH from their own point of view	51
Figure 25 – Availability of services for DIHs	52
Figure 26 – Importance of services according to farmers	54
Figure 27 – Availability of services according to farmers	55
Figure 28 - Tools used by DIHs to deliver services	59
Figure 29 - Vision of Digital by Farmers and DIHs	60
Figure 30 - Importance of Cloud Services ranked by DIH	63
Figure 31 - Use of Cloud Services ranked by DIH	63
Figure 32 - Importance of digital services for farmers according to DIHs	65
Figure 33 - Application areas assessed by DIHs	67
Figure 34 - Assessing versus importance	67
Figure 35 - Strengths of farmers word cloud	69
Figure 36 - Challenges of farmers workd cloud	69
Figure 37 - Opportunities of farmers word cloud	70
Figure 38 - Threats of farmers word cloud	71
Figure 39 - Ambitions of farmers word cloud	71
Figure 40 - Main needs to fulfill ambitions mentioned by farmers	72
Figure 41 - Strengths of DIHs	73

Figure 42 - Challenges of DIHs	74
Figure 43 - Biggest contributions of DIHs	75
Figure 44 - Ambitions of DIHs	75
Figure 45 - Needs to fulfil ambitions of DIHs	76
Figure 46 - InnovaIndex and challenges	78
Figure 47 - Innovation services quadrant according to importance and gap between	00
importance and availability	88
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1 - Number of Digital Innovation Hubs per Regional Cluster included in the SAH	
Catalogue	19
Table 2 - Content structure for the Digital Innovation Hubs Survey	21
Table 3 - Content structure for the Farmers's needs in digital innovation Survey	23
Table 4 - Number of surveys discarded in each data preparation phase	30
Table 5 - Number of participating DIHs per Regional Cluster	33
Table 6 - Sectors where DIHs provide services	34
Table 7 - Number of surveys completed according to the role in the DIH	35
Table 8 - Number of valid farmers's surveys per Regional Cluster	37
Table 9 - Number of respondents by sector	38
Table 10 - Number of surveys according to the typology of respondent	39
Table 11 - Number of surveys per entity providers	42
Table 12 - Number of surveys according to the farm category	42
Table 13 - Number of surveys according to the size of the farms.	44
Table 14 - Respondents' perception of their farm in terms of size	44
Table 15 - Number of respondents serving sectors	45
Table 16 - Number of DIHs connected with each type of entity	47
Table 17 - Digitalisation needs detected by farmers and identified by DIHs	48
Table 18 -Services scoring according to their importance	52
Table 19 – Available service scoring for DIHs	53
Table 20 – Gaps between importance and availability of services for DIHs	53
Table 21 - Gaps between importance and availability of services for farmers	56
Table 22 - Gaps between farmers and DIHs in terms of importance of services	57
Table 23 - Gaps between farmers and DIHs in terms of availability of services	58
Table 24 - Vision of Digital by Farmers and DIHs	60
Table 25 - Cloud Services importance for farmers according to DIHs	62
Table 26 - Cloud Services used by Farmers according to DIHs.	64
Table 27 - Cloud Services Importance for Farmers x Cloud Services Usage by Farmers	64
Table 28 - Importance of digital services for farmers' businesses according to DIHs	65
Table 29 - Ranking of assessment of farmers' needs	66
Table 30 - Strengths of Farmers	68
Table 31 - Challenges of Farmers	69
Table 32 - Opportunities of Farmers	70



Table 33 - Threats of Farmers	70
Table 34 - Ambitions of Farmers	71
Table 35 - Needs of Farmers to fulfil ambitions	71
Table 36 - Strengths of DIHs	73
Table 37 - Challenges of DIHs	74
Table 38 - Biggest contributions of DIHs	74
Table 39 - Ambitions of DIHs	75
Table 40 - Needs to fulfil ambitions of DIHs	75
Table 41 - InnovaIndex according to the relative size of farms	77
Table 42 - InnovaIndex in relation to main sectors	77
Table 43 - InnovaIndex according to main sector and subjective size of farms	78
Table 44 - InnovaIndex in farmers according to challenges	79
Table 45 - InnovaIndex across the different Regional Cluster	79
Table 46 - InnovaIndex across the different Digital Innovation Hubs	80
Table 47 - Needs covered by FIEs	80
Table 48 - Innovation services delivered by FIEs	81
Table 49 - Digitalisation needs farmers x main sector	90
Table 50 - Digitalisation needs farmers producers x size Has	90
Table 51 - Digitalisation needs farmers producers x size livestock	91
Table 52 - Digitalisation needs farmers producers x relative size	91
Table 53 - Digitalisation needs farmers producers x number of workers	92
Table 54 - Subjective size of the farm x importance of services, availability of services	92
Table 55 - Main sector x importance of services, availability of services (1)	94
Table 56 - Main sector x importance of services, availability of services (2)	95
Table 57 - Size of the farm has x importance of services, availability of services	97
Table 58 - Size of the farm livestock x importance of services, availability of services	99
Table 59 - Number of workers x importance of services, availability of services	100

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIS	ST OF ABBREVIATIONS	3
LIS	ST OF FIGURES	4
LIS	ST OF TABLES	5
PR	OJECT SUMMARY	9
EXI	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	10
1.	INTRODUCTION	12
2.1 2.2 2.3	APPROACH & METHODOLOGY DIGITAL INNOVATION HUBS DIGITAL INNOVATION HUBS CATALOGUE WITHIN THE SAH PROJECT SURVEY DESIGN DATA COLLECTION PLAN DATA PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS	17 18 19 26 27
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10		29 46 48 50 58 61 65 68 76 80
4.2 4.3 4.4	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS DIHS ROLE IN DIGITAL INNOVATION PRODUCTION IS STILL IN THE FOUNDATION ROOTS OF EUROPEAN FARMERS DIFFERENT FARMERS, DIFFERENT NEEDS AN ACTIONABLE GUIDE FOR INNOVATION SERVICES METHODOLOGICAL REFLECTION	83 83 85 86 87 89
5.	ANNEX I: ADDITIONAL TABLES	90
6.	ANNEX II: FARMERS' NEEDS SURVEY	103
7.	ANNEX III: DIGITAL INNOVATION HUBS SERVICES SURVEY	152

8.	ANNEX	IV: GDPI	R CONSE	NT			200
9.	ANNEX	V: EMAII	L TO DIH	IS			202
10.	ANNEX	VI: EXA	MPLE EM	AIL TO	REACH I	PARTNER	S 204

PROJECT SUMMARY

Digital technologies enable a transformation into data-driven, intelligent, agile and autonomous farm operations, and are generally considered as a key to address the grand challenges for agriculture. Recent initiatives showed the eagerness of the sector to seize the opportunities offered by ICT and in particular data-oriented technologies. However, current available applications are still fragmented and mainly used by a small group of early adopters. Against this background, SmartAgriHubs (SAH) has the potential to be a real game changer in the adoption of digital solutions by the farming sector.

SAH will leverage, strengthen and connect local DIHs and numerous Competence Centres (CCs) throughout Europe. The project already put together a large initial network of 140 DIHs by building on its existing projects and ecosystems such as Internet of Food and Farm (IoF2020). All DIHs are aligned with 9 regional clusters, which are led by organizations that are closely related to national or regional digitization initiatives and funds. DIHs will be empowered and supported in their development, to be able to carry out high-performance Innovation Experiments (IEs). SAH already identified 28 Flagship Innovation Experiments (FIEs), which are examples of outstanding, innovative and successful IEs, where ideas, concepts and prototypes are further developed and introduced into the market.

SAH uses a multi-actor approach based on a vast network of start-ups, SMEs, business and service providers, technology experts and end-users. End-users from the agri-food sector are at the heart of the project and the driving force of the digital transformation.

Led by the Wageningen University and Research (WUR), SAH consists of a pan-European consortium of over 160 Partners representing all EU Member States. SAH is part of Horizon2020 and is supported by the European Commission with a budget of €20 million.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) are one of the EU key initiatives to support digital transformation in all sectors. SmartAgriHubs focuses on DIHs in the agrifood sector. However, DIHs are emerging in the regions without a clear strategy nor organized connections within a network or with the agrifood sector. This lack of contact with end users results in a gap between the farming sector needs and the services offered by DIHs.

The Needs Assessment conducted by SmartAgriHubs marks the starting point for the project's activities on improving the capabilities of Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs). DIHs play an essential role in delivering relevant services as a 'one-stop-shopping-window' for parties working on digital innovations in agriculture. By means of the assessment, gaps were identified between what DIHs deliver and what the farming sector needs. This in turn provides the SmartAgriHubs community actual demand-driven guidance on capability building priorities.

Overall the results point towards a focus on productivity as the main driver of digital transformation in the farming sector. Less importance is ascribed to business model innovation and customer intimacy; yet these are key for ensuring the sustainability of the sector.

We analysed the following items: Ecosystem, Digitalisation Needs, Vision on digitalisation and DIH Innovation services:

Ecosystem

Most network connections of hubs are with University/Research Centres, local SMEs, Competence Centres, farmer associations and communities, local governments and education & training institutes. Connections with larger local businesses and start-up programmes are less usual. A starting point is for DIHs to familiarise more with the farming sector in their own ecosystem, as the data point towards a disconnect here.

Digitalisation needs


DIHs are aligned with farmers in their digitalisation needs: both state "optimise production" as most important need, and "change business models" amongst the least needed. This prioritisation of production-related issues is also observed in the digital solutions that are most popular amongst respondents: sensoring, predictive analysis and business intelligence.

Vision on digitalisation

"Data" and "mindset" are most prevalent associations with the concept of digitalisation. In turn, items relating to customers and marketing were seldomly selected when both farmers and DIHs were asked to share their vision. When asking about the mindset regarding innovation in general, we found that bigger farms give more priority to innovating than smaller farms, who are more focused on profitability.

Innovation services

By asking both the DIHs and the farming sector how important they consider a list of predefined services and whether they are, respectively, delivered or readily accessible, the gaps could be identified between the two respondent groups. Here you find a graphical representation of the findings:



For some services there is a solid and promising match between what the farming sector requires and what is offered by hubs (top left quadrant) and the strategy for these is to continue to ensure quality and availability.

For the services in the top right corner another view arises: "Community Building" (e.g. scouting for new partners and ecosystem building) for instance is much less covered in the current services of hubs - which is also reflected by the earlier mentioned analyses of the Ecosystem. Services can be improved here, e.g. through support on ecosystem mapping and co-creating with stakeholders such as the farmer community. There is a notable difference here between the Regional Clusters though, which supports the idea of recognising "champions" and exchanging best practices amongst participating hubs.

Below left we see another remarkable result: "Access to finance and funding" and "Business planning support" seem so-called "hygiene factors"; they are available but not regarded to be of great importance (but would probably be missed if not present).

Finally, the services in the bottom right quadrant are deemed relatively unimportant by both DIHs and the farming sector. These underline the findings that digitalisation is now mostly productivity-driven and less attention is given to potential strategic moves and/or starting-up new businesses. In due course these deserve more attention.

Recommendations going forward

There is an obvious focus on the operational benefits of digitalisation throughout the sector. This indicates that the services of the hubs should remain to evolve around the pragmatic consequences of digital innovations on the farm: how they are used, the impact on processes and balance sheets, how they can be tested, and so on. True transformation for ensuring a sustainable and thriving sector does however require more: an out-of-the box approach to business model innovation and a better connection to the customer. We need DIHs to plant and grow the seeds for change while supporting productivity improvements. Digital innovation services are still hard to grasp for the majority of actors in the agrifood sector, especially those more closely linked to changes in the sector's paradigm. DIHs have the opportunity but also the challenge to work on this. DIHs and Regional Clusters are strongly encouraged to interpret and prioritise these findings presented in this document.

1. INTRODUCTION

Policy framework

The agriculture sector and rural areas are capable of delivering sustainable solutions to current and future challenges such as assuring a safe and sustainable provision of quality food, fostering resource efficiency, developing the circular economy and combating climate change.

In this context, 'digital transformation' will play a crucial role for rural business and the farming sector. For instance, the adoption of modern farming technologies, including those based on robots, the Internet of Things (IoT) and Big Data, has great potential in leading to a more productive, sustainable and environmentally responsible food production. Smart farming systems can help farmers improve decision-making processes and develop more efficient operations and management.

Digitisation is one of the main pillars of the European Commission, as it is recognised by the Cork 2.0 declaration, the Digital Single Market (DSM) and the specific communication on "Digitising European Industry" (COM(2016)180).

One of the main elements of the Communication playing a key role in supporting the digital transformation in the agriculture sector is the development of Digital Innovation Hubs across Europe.

In addition, the European Commission's DG Agriculture and Rural Development (DG AGRI) organised the EIP-AGRI Seminar on 'Digital Innovation Hubs: mainstreaming digital agriculture' in 2017¹. In this meeting, 150 delegates from 24 EU Member States and Serbia met in Kilkenny (Ireland) to share experiences, discuss needs and identify priority actions to develop Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) for agriculture. Thus, a large part of the EIP-AGRI Seminar was focused on understanding what a DIH is and what it can do for the farming sector. In an 'open space' format, they decided on the most relevant issues to work on and they listed priority actions to start building DIHs for agriculture in their regions. One of the seven priority actions for building DIHs for agriculture that the participants identified in this seminar was: "Identify the local/regional needs and specialisations in rural areas to develop a DIH model that can deliver integrated services adapted to the context." Another identified priority issue was "Map existing initiatives and identify which 'building blocks' are already available in the local/regional context as the basis to develop DIHs".

Regarding the inclusion of Digital Innovation Hubs in Smart Specialisation Strategies and its synergies, a recent report of the Joint Research Centre (JRC) has been published². In this publication, it is highlighted how regional innovation ecosystems are able to meet the priorities included in regional Smart Specialisation Strategies and how can potentially contribute. Concretely, it is pointed out that a coherent RIS3 and DIHs interaction is critical to target the industry needs and to support the place-based ecosystem. DIHs in addition can be key partners for the strategy development processes by providing their expertise and helping to upgrade the local industry. In this sense, WP4 is working together with the JRC in order to create synergies.

hubsinsmartspecialisationstrateigespdffinal.pdf

¹ https://ec.europa.eu/eip/agriculture/sites/agri-eip/files/eipagri_seminar_digital_innovation_hubs_final_report_2017_en.pdf ² http://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC112111/digitalinnovation

DIHs main challenges to reach the agrifood sector

Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) are one-stop-shops where companies –especially SMEs, startups and mid-caps– can get help to improve their business, production processes, products and services by means of digital technology. One of the key priorities of the Digitising European Industry Communication (DEI) is to support a strong network of DIHs to ensure that every company in Europe can take advantage of digital opportunities.

Digital technologies (or the interchangeable acronym, ICT) are one of the most important innovations for all actors in the agri-food value chain and especially advances in precision agriculture are already helping to address the global challenge of raising agricultural productivity in a more sustainable manner.

Despite the overwhelming interest of tech companies, investors and policymakers, the adoption rate of Digital Agriculture is still limited. In most EU member states, there is a consistent but small group of farmers that are frontrunners in this field, which are often seen as role models for other farmers. However, the majority of farmers does not yet adopt digital technologies or only invests in proven and tangible technologies such as autosteering tractors or milking robots. The current impact of digitisation is way below its true potential. According to section 1.4.1 of the approved SAH proposal, broad digital transformation is hampered by the following:

- 1. There are still many technological barriers, farmers need advanced skills e.g. to transfer data manually from one system into the other. Improvements on interoperability accompanied with training and advice are required.
- 2. There are context-specific barriers meaning that a certain solution might work for a specific crop and/or region but cannot be one-on-one transferred to another crop or region.
- 3. The business case is still lacking for many solutions. Positive business cases indicate that precision agriculture or digital solutions only become beneficial if they are applied in an integrated manner throughout the whole farm operation and beyond in the whole value chain network around the farm business.
- 4. The high number of SMEs, around 11.3 million farmers and other agricultural companies, results in a lack of (financial) resources, technical expertise and management skills to invest successfully in digital solutions³.
- 5. There are many user concerns among other about data ownership, privacy and security resulting in a lack of trust and a 'wait-and-see' attitude. As a result, end-users in particular farmers remain sceptical about these developments and are hard to convince of the benefits because a proof of concept relevant to their specific case is lacking and this vicious circle is hard to breakthrough.
- 6. This makes it very challenging for technology and solution developers to develop sustainable business models for their products and services.
- 7. New technology providers are often small start-ups that come and go delivering isolated solutions. Towards the bigger technology providers, farmers are still reluctant to adopt their technology, fearing that they will become too dependent on them and lose control of their data and farm business.

industry-2011/

13/204

³ European Union (2013): "Agriculture, forestry and fishery statistics", Eurostat pocketbooks, 2013 edition, ISBN 978-92-79-33005-6; FoodDrink Europe (2012): "Data & Trends of the European Food and Drink Industry 2012", http://www.fooddrinkeurope.eu/S=0/publication/data-trends-of-the-european-food-and-drink-

It is far beyond the scope of individual farmers or small technology providers to tackle these issues and even the big companies can only influence a small part of the system of systems. For this reason, innovation ecosystems have been established in all member states to stimulate the uptake of digital technologies in farming. These ecosystems often concentrate on a sub-part of DIHs, e.g. either networking, or technical experimentation and testing.

In the light of experts, forums and events related to this subject, it is evident that Digital Innovation Hubs are the main element of cohesion to boost the digitisation in all sectors but especially in the agri-food sector for their own characteristics: fragmentation of knowledge and technology expertise in the proximity of farms, the lack of promising business cases for farmers and business models for the technology providers, farming is more subject to sector- and region- specific conditions than other sectors, fragmentation and misalignment between the various types of public and private funding.

Nowadays, the fact is that too many DIHs are emerging in an uncoordinated way and with not so close connection with the agri-food sector as it would be desirable for a successful digital transformation process in this sector. Hence, the farmers need in terms of digitisation are not easy to detect for most of the existing DIHs which is one of the key existing gaps in order to enhance the digitisation in the sector.

Project framework

The main objective of the SmartAgriHubs project is to consolidate and foster a European wide network of Digital Innovation Hubs for Agriculture to enhance the Digital Transformation for Sustainable Farming and Food Production.

In this framework, WP4 objectives are:

WP4 aims to ensure that all DIHs have the capacity to develop and deliver an adequate portfolio of relevant, value-adding and applicable innovation services in a one-stop-shop formula for end-users.

Through capacity building WP4 contributes to the creation of pan-European added value of the project by building a strong and sustainable network of DIHs in the agri-food sector.

Work package 4 will contribute in many ways to achieve the overall SmartAgriHubs objectives. It will support the establishment of DIHs across Europe. It will help DIHs to become self-sustaining entities that support the digital transformation of the European agri-food sector. It will support the development of a pan-European network of DIHs. And it will create effective learning and knowledge exchange mechanisms between DIHs.

Although valuable results have been outlined, the local DIHs face several bottlenecks including:

- 1. Local DIHs are not able to keep pace with the high speed of technological innovation. They miss the critical mass and competences to link up with state-of-the-art digital expertise.
- 2. Local DIHs are too often reinventing the wheel and hardly learn from experiences in other European countries and sectors. There is still a very limited transfer of knowledge and expertise across DIHs in Europe. There is a large fragmentation of developments and projects. This is partly inherent to the agricultural sector: every crop, livestock, etc. is often served with specific solutions and different contexts in various regions require customized approaches.

3. There is a misalignment between public and private innovation support. Farmers and practitioners often complain that promising prototypes are developed with public funding, but then it is very difficult to bring them to the market because there is a lack of private investors or that technology providers do not know how to reach them (so-called 'valley of death'). Despite recent successful incubators and accelerators and despite the rise of alternative finance such as equity crowdfunding and peer-to-peer lending, there is still a very low progress in comparison with other developed countries such as the United States.

For this reason, aiming to properly drive the rest Work Package 4, it is crucial to know what the main needs of the sector are, as well as to detect what the required services are and if the sector has access to them. In addition, it is important to analyse the impact of the implementation of these services to move towards a true digital transformation in farms and to improve the added value of the existing Digital Innovation Hubs.

The frame guiding this assessment is the digital transformation of the agri-food sector and the consequent potential methods of closing the existing gap between the farming community and the IT sector. The needs assessment has been undertaken in close cooperation with the Regional Clusters, existing hubs, Competence Centres and Flagship Innovation Experiments to obtain a detailed picture of the current state of-play and stakeholders of the ecosystem. To this end, we have identified, analysed and assessed the needs of farmers, the farming communities and DIHs in relation to digital transformation and what capabilities are consequently needed in the DIHs services portfolio.

The results obtained in this document provides useful insights for the other tasks included in Work Package 4 since this assessment has helped to identify the main services that the DIHs need to develop or improve for the following tasks within this project: tasks 4.2 "Capacity development for establishing a DIH"; task 4.3 "Capacity building for operating a DIH"; and, task 4.4 "Building networks of DIHs". All of them will focus especially in the weaknesses detected in this analysis.

In addition, there are other work packages within SmartAgriHubs with tasks connected with this document that will take advantage of the obtained results to improve their work, such as the one related to DIH ecosystem building in WP1, those in WP2 in charge of the network expansion by open calls and in WP5 focused on the Competence Centers.

Regarding the document structure, it comprises of four main sections:

- Introduction
- Approach and Methodology
- Results
- Conclusions and Recommendations

If the reader is not familiar with the SmartAgriHubs project, please start reading the Project summary and have a look at the list of abbreviations. Section 1 Introduction, provides details concerning this particular task and the digital innovation in the agrifood sector.

Section 2: Approach & methodology covers the four main methodological aspects used in this report: the digital innovation hubs catalogue of services and activities and innovation services maturity model; the process of updating the agrifood-related digital innovation hubs; the methodology used in designing the survey, including content, pilot and translations; the plan followed in distributing the survey; collecting and analysing the data.

Along with the methodology, the reader could look at Annex II: Farmers' Need Survey and III: Digital Innovation Hubs Services surveys that include links and copies for every language used.

Moreover, the reader could check the resources provided to the Regional Cluster to comply with the General Data Protection Regulation in Annex IV: GDPR consent. Some messages to reach a high number of representation actors in the sectors, examples of emails to help obtaining finalised surveys in each region can also be found in Annex V: Email to DIHs. Annex VI: Example email to reach partners, contains a copy of the emails sent to DIHs regarding the data collection plan.

Section 3: Results include analysis and discussion organized around ten main topics: Survey distribution and data collection results, including participation, regional distribution and additional information coming from the responses, DIHs ecosystem characterization, DIHs and farmers' digitalisation needs, DIHs innovation services portfolio vs expectations and availability for farmers, tools used and required to deliver innovation services by DIHs, definition of "Digital" for farmers and DIHs, Cloud services, Digital services, SWOT analysis and innovation capacity and entrepreneurial mindset. More detailed results tables are included as Annex I: Additional tables.

Conclusions and recommendations (see Chapter 4) are structured around five main clusters: the DIHs role in digital innovation, discussing their ecosystem and position about digitalisation needs, the vision of "digital", digital innovation and cloud services; how production is still in the foundation roots of European farmers, and this also reflects the approach to the digital transformation of the ecosystem; the different farmers and different needs about innovation services in the agrifood ecosystem, and how to address and manage diversity in terms of sectors and economic size; an actionable guide for innovation services, to help DIHs avoid bias when evaluating their portfolio of services from the farmer and farming ecosystem point of view; and a methodological reflection on the whole process of survey design and data collection, quite special considering the scope and target.

2. APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

The frame guiding the assessment is the digital transformation of the agri-food sector and the consequent promising ways of closing the existing gap between the farming community and the IT sector. To this end, there are different works we have carried out in order to identify, analyse and assess the needs of DIHs, farmers and the farming ecosystem in relation to digital transformation.

The methodology was based on the following main aspects:

- 1. Digital Innovation Hubs actions previously developed that support this Needs Assessment such as the Catalogue of Services and other state of art activities.
- 2. Updated catalogue of active Digital Innovation Hubs.
- 3. Surveys designed to collect information about Digital Innovation Hubs Services and Farmers' Needs. The surveys were translated into seven languages in order to improve the rate of responses and enhance respondents.
- 4. Plan to distribute the surveys and data collection.
- 5. Preparation of the survey responses in order to be analysed.
- 6. Analysis of the resulting data.

In the next chapters, more detailed information is presented.

2.1 DIGITAL INNOVATION HUBS

The European Commission in their working group 1 report "Digital Innovation Hubs: Mainstreaming Digital Innovation Across All Sectors" define a Digital Innovation Hub (DIH) as a support facility that helps companies to become more competitive by improving their business/production processes as well as products and services by means of digital technology. DIHs act as a one-stop-shop, serving companies within their local region and beyond to digitalise their business. They help customers address their challenges in a business focused way and with a common service model, offering services that would not be readily accessible elsewhere. The services available through a DIH enable any business to access the latest knowledge, expertise and technology for testing and experimenting with digital innovations relevant to its products, processes or business models. DIHs also provide connections with investors, facilitate access to financing for digital transformations, help connect users and suppliers of digital innovations across the value chain, and foster synergies between digital and other key enabling technologies (such as biotech, advanced materials, etc.).

WP4 will ensure that all DIHs have the capacity to develop and deliver an adequate portfolio of relevant and applicable innovation services for end-users such as farmers, advisors, SMEs and start-ups in the scope of a portfolio of supported Innovation Experiments.

⁴ https://ec.europa.eu/futurium/en/content/report-wg1-digital-innovation-hubs-mainstreaming-digital-innovation-across-all-sectors-final

	Service		
	Community building	Scouting, brokerage, awareness creation, dissemination, ecosystem building	
E	Strategy development	Market intelligence, market assessments, roadmapping	
Ecosystem	Ecosystem learning	Workshops, seminars to share knowledge and experience	
E	Project development	Identification of opportunities, creating consortia, development of proposals	
	Lobbying	Representing interests during meetings & conferences, organizing (country) visits	
	Strategic RDI Joint, pre-competitive R&D		
g	Contract research Specific R&D, technology concept development, proof of concept		
Fechnology	Technical support on scale-up	Concept validation, prototyping, small series production	
36	Provision of technology infrastructure	of technology infrastructure Renting equipment, low rate commercial production, offering platform technology infrastructure	
1	Testing and validation Certification, product demonstration, product qualification		
SS	Incubator/accelerator support	Voice of customer, market assessment, business development, consortia building, offering location	
Busine	Access to finance	Financial engineering, connection to funding sources, investment plans	
B	Skills and education	Courses, workshops, offering technological infrastructure for educational purposes	

Figure 1 - Categorised services and activities of a Digital Innovation Hub (source: I4MS initiative)

Within the project, a maturity model for DIHs is being developed. It generally identifies 5 distinct levels of maturity for a service.

WP4 aims to advance most DIHs from low to intermediate levels, using the experience of other DIHs in the network, specifically most advanced ones and also knowledge available from the RIS3 community. The higher levels are not expected to be achieved during the project but they can hereafter. The Innovation Services Maturity Model (ISMM) helps DIHs to identify areas of attention and it allows the community of DIHs to structure and share knowledge more efficiently. Tools will be made available through the SmartAgriHubs Innovation Portal. The list of capabilities is open to new ones if desired by the community. Hence, advancing maturity of services is not an individual Hub's objective, but a European matter.

All the information coming from these actions have been taken into account together with what is detailed in the following section to design the surveys.

2.2 DIGITAL INNOVATION HUBS CATALOGUE WITHIN THE SAH PROJECT

In order to distribute the surveys among the SmartAgriHubs DIHs network, the first step needed was to know the exact number of Digital Innovation Hubs per Regional Cluster, who they are, legal status, services offered, etc. For that reason, preliminary actions took place in order to verify that the information base provided during the proposal phase was correct, as well as to collect other relevant information or update the possible changes in the different Regional Clusters.

Thus, an excel file with the DIHs involved in each RC, their characterization and services portfolio was circulated. This first DIH Catalogue with the most updated information is included in the SAH SharePoint and will be available in the Innovation Portal.

In summary, the evolution in the amount of Digital Innovations Hubs belonging to each Regional Cluster is shown in this table.

Table 1 - Number of Digital Innovation Hubs per Regional Cluster included in the SAH Catalogue

Regional Clusters	Nº DIHs at the proposal stage	Nº DIHs at June 2019
North West Europe	37	40
Italy & Malta	15	21
Central Europe	10	10
British Isles	14	12
Scandinavia	4	4
Iberia	19	21
South East Europe	17	18
France	15	15
North East Europe	10	10

2.3 SURVEY DESIGN

This step focuses on discovering gaps between farmer needs in terms of digital transformation and innovation and the services provided by Digital Innovation Hubs. To that end two surveys were designed: one addressed to farmers and another one to DIHs

This section covers each survey design to collect primary information from farmers, their supporting ecosystem and DIHs.

The surveys have been carefully designed to detect gaps between farmer needs in terms of digital transformation and innovation, and the services provided by Digital Innovation Hubs.

The surveys were designed to obtain the following outcomes:

- An analysis of the differences between the ranked needs of farmers and DIHs.
- An analysis of the significance of the differences between the services to be provided and the digital maturity level in the DIHs.
- An analysis of the different DIHs services and their availability compared to farmers' expectations.
- An analysis of the gaps between innovation services at the DIHs and corresponding expectations from farmers.
- An inventory of the different tools used and required to deliver services by the DIHs.
- An analysis of the digital transformation and innovation areas awareness by the different participants of the surveys, including an analysis of the entrepreneurial mindset.
- A SWOT analysis of the ecosystem.

F	ARMERS SURVEYS	DIHs SURVEYS		
Questions Number			Questions Number	
1-13	General information	General information	1-9	
14	Ranked needs	SWOT	10-14	
15-16	Service Availability & Expectation	Ranked needs	15	
17	Entrepreneurial & innovation mindset	Services to Provide & Current Maturity	16-17	
18	Digital transformation areas of interest	Tools used and required to deliver the services	18-19	
19-20	SWOT	Digital transformation areas of interest	20	
		Cloud Services Used	21-22	
		Digital services applications areas of interest	23	

SURVEY OUTCOMES

SWOT Analysis of the ecosystem

Analysis of the differences between ranked needs of farmers and DIHs

Differences between needs & maturity for the DIH,, and comparison with farmers' expectations

Inventory of the different tools used and required to deliver services by the DIHs

Analysis of the digital transformation and innovation areas awareness by the different participants of the surveys, including an analysis entrepreneurial mindset.

Figure 2 - Survey outcomes for farmers and Digital Innovation Hub surveys

Content Structure for The Digital Innovation Hubs Services Survey

The Digital Innovation Hubs Services survey is structured in eight sections: welcome, introduction, community, vision, DIH services, delivering services, digital capabilities and contact information.

Table 2 - Content structure for the Digital Innovation Hubs Survey

DIHs Survey sections	Brief description		
0,000 0 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,00			
Welcome	Show the framework and objective of this activity.		
Introduction	Questions related to the basic information about the DIHs and the role of the respondents.		
Community	This section deals with community building aspects.		
Vision	Questions related with the vision for the future for each DIH.		
DIH services	This section is focused on the digitalisation of farming, and includes topics of interest regarding digitalisation and services that are being delivered as a DIH.		
Delivering services	Questions included in this section refer to the tools currently used to deliver services and tools needed by the DIHs.		
Digital Capabilities	This section intends to collect the DIHs thoughts on digitalisation, such as how farmers use technology and how the DIHs provide services to them.		
Contact Information	More detailed information regarding the participant's role in this survey and a black box to include any other comments, questions or concerns.		

- The first section introduces the survey and the project to the respondent.
- The second section gathers basic data about the DIH, including name, main sector, regional cluster, location, date of establishment for the DIH, a question about the innovation focus of the DIH and the role of the respondent in the DIH. This section aims to discover what type of ecosystem we are analysing as well as to develop the geographical clustering and a comparison of the level of services versus the time they are running and/or operational.
- The Community section deals with the network of the DIH. Questions about connections
 with other partners, events organised and other actions in order to build a community
 are included here.
- The Vision section is oriented to get relevant information to perform a basic SWOT analysis and to discover any trends or recurring topic, if any.
- In the DIH services section, there are three questions: specific farmers and farming
 ecosystem needs related to digitalisation where the DIH wants to supply services, the
 importance the DIH ascribe to every service identified as relevant in the categorised
 services and activities of a digital innovation hub, and the services they are already
 implementing. The last two questions are needed to build a DIH Maturity Index.
- The Delivering services section aims to check what services are they using and which ones do they need.
- The Digital Capabilities Section gathers data to measure the level of digital transformation of the DIH.

Given the different ways of approaching digital transformation, it seems necessary to identify whether the DIH and the farming ecosystem are aligned in their digital transformation focus that is in mindset, customer-centric approach, data-based decisions, technology, infrastructure and innovation.

Cloud is the first entry technology to digital transformation, being mandatory to start using big data, IoT or any other exponential technology. Both questions will help to build a Digital Transformation Index.

The last question is about digital services from the DIH and farming ecosystem point of view, in order to check alignments.

In the Contact information section, we collect contact details from the participant.

The whole survey takes approximately 18 minutes to be completed, a duration we consider acceptable for the DIHs, organizations that have a certain level of commitment with the project.

Content Structure for The Farmers' Needs in Digital Innovation Survey

The survey for the farmers and farming ecosystem has been designed with the DIHs survey in mind, therefore there is a certain correlation between the structure and questions of both surveys.

The Farmers survey is structured in eight sections: welcome, introduction, farm structure, support ecosystem, access to digital innovation services, digital capabilities, vision and future and contact information.

Table 3 - Content structure for the Farmers's needs in digital innovation Survey

Farmers Survey sections	Brief description
E O	
Welcome	Show the framework and objective of this activity.
Introduction	Questions related with the basic information and the general position in the farming sector of the respondents.
Farm structure	In case of farmers, landlord or workers in a farming company, it is shown this section in order to have an idea about the dimensions of the farm.
Support ecosystem	This section is accessible for other stakeholders related to the farming community. It is focused on knowing the main related sector and some characterisation of the farms around the agricooperative, service or product provider, or farmers' association, organisation or institution.
Access to digital innovation services	Questions related to the digitalisation of farming: with this part of the questionnaire it is possible to know the main topics of interest regarding digitalisation for farmers and the access to specific available services.
Digital Capabilities	This section intends to collect the farmers and farming community's thoughts on digitalisation, and how they use technology.
Vision and Future	Questions related to the vision for the future for farmers and the farming community.
Contact Information	More detailed information regarding the role of the participants of this survey and a black box to include any other comments, questions or concerns.

- The first section introduces the survey and the project to the respondent.
- The second section gathers basic data about the respondent, including location, main sector, position in the industry, age, Regional Cluster and Digital Innovation Hub or organisation provider of the survey. We tested in the pilot that the last two questions answers are usually unknown for a majority of respondents, so we used open-ended questions that need further work to get some valid data.
- The Farm structure section is only accessible to those respondents whose position in the industry is dedicated or part-time farmer, landlord or worker in a farming company. It is related to the size of the agribusiness.
- The Support ecosystem section is accessible for other stakeholders related to the farming community. It is focused on knowing the main related sector and some characterisation of the farms around the agri-cooperative, service or product provider, or farmers' association, organisation or institution.
- In the Access to digital services section, there are three questions related to the DIH services section in the DIH services survey: specific farmers and farming ecosystem needs related to digitalisation where the DIH wants to supply services, the importance farmers ascribe to every service thought to foster digital innovation for their business, and the services available for them. There is also a last question designed to build an entrepreneurial and innovative mindset index for the farmer or farmer ecosystem respondent.
- The Digital Capabilities Section gathers data to measure the level of digital transformation of the farmer or farming ecosystem respondent. As in the DIH services survey, due to the wide range of the digital transformation approach, it seems necessary to identify whether the DIH and the farming ecosystem are aligned in their digital transformation focus, that is in mindset, customer-centric approach, data-based decisions, technology, infrastructure and innovation.
- The Vision and future section are oriented to get relevant information to perform a basic SWOT analysis and to discover any trends or recurring topic, if any.
- In the Contact information section, we collect contact details from the participant.

The whole survey takes approximately 14 minutes to be completed.

Sample

There are two types of subjects analysed in this survey: Digital Innovation Hubs and farmers and farming ecosystem.

Sampling for the DIHs is not relevant as we have full coverage with the survey.

Regarding farmers' survey, non - probability techniques as *quota* and *snowball* were used to select subjects for the sample in this analysis.

The sample included the whole farming ecosystem, including farmers, both full-time and part-time, landlords, workers in farming companies, but also services and products external providers, Agri-cooperative representatives, farmers associations and agriculture institutions.

We asked for 19 representative farmers' needs surveys to be completed from every DIH and one DIH survey per DIH. Then, taking into account that there were 140 DIH in the project proposal, 140 DIHs surveys and more than 2,000 farmer surveys were expected.

Type of Questions

We include four types of questions in the surveys:

- Likert-type scales, where respondents are asked whether they agree or disagree with a statement.
- Multiple-choice questions, where respondents are asked to choose out of two or more answers.
- Open-ended questions, where respondents are asked to supply their own answer.
- Closed-ended questions were respondents are asked to answer with a free text.

This diversity of type of questions allows the farmers, farming ecosystem and DIHs to see different perspectives of their needs and to make some reflections about the digitalisation of the sector.

Pilot

A first version of both surveys was launched prior to the definitive deployment in order to test usability and content. The testers were selected by all WP4 members amongst experts in different locations and typology within the agrotech sector to ensure a good representation of the whole consortium of this project.

This process took two weeks and conclusions were incorporated in the final version of the surveys.

The main outcomes from the pilot were: i) the need to adapt the technological vocabulary to the farmers and farming sector "language" to fully identify their needs, ii) the requirement to translate the farmers' need survey to maximize the number of surveys coming from non-English speaking countries and iii) the need to correctly discriminate between technologies and needs in order to avoid duplication or different criteria between the work packages responsibilities within the project.

Translation

The Farmers' Needs Survey was then translated into Spanish, German, French, Italian, Polish, Portuguese, Romanian, Greek and Serbian, as a consequence of the pilot phase. Surveys were only translated into the languages Regional Clusters and DIHs asked for as interactions with farmers were up to DIHs.

The translation process involved members from WP4 and Regional Clusters with technical and field agri-food knowledge and fluent in both English and the translation language.

An analysis of the impact of the translations in the number of survey respondents is included as part of the results.

Beyond time and dedication, the translation itself did not affect the data reliability. Most type of questions are not affected at all and, for open-ended questions, they just had to be translated, categorized and labelled in order to do all the data analysis and mining.

GDPR Compliance

In order to comply with GDPR during the whole data collection process the following actions were carried out:

- a previous GDPR consent (see Annex III) was sent to each DIHs belonging to the Regional Clusters of the project.
- a 3rd-party tool compliant with GDPR was used to collect data from both DIHs and farming ecosystem.

2.4 DATA COLLECTION PLAN

The surveys were developed, distributed and pre-processed with a 3rd-party tool called SurveyMonkey, allowing multi-language, customized links, web embedding, and manual data entry. As surveys are meant to be completed online, results were immediately available to the partner responsible for this task, not requiring the survey teams to take any further action.

Digital Innovation Hubs Services Survey

The survey for the Digital Innovation Hub was meant to be filled by the executive responsible for the DIH, the highest-ranking person ultimately responsible for managerial decisions.

The survey was available online in different languages:

English: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs DIHs

Spanish: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs-DIHs?lang=es
Serbian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs-DIHs?lang=sr

Farmers Needs Survey

The second survey was meant to be filled by farmers or landlords (no matter their commitment to farming) and the support ecosystem (meaning agri-cooperatives, service and product providers, farmers' associations, organizations and institutions).

The interaction and communication with farmers and the farming ecosystem was up to each DIH, then, every DIH was compelled to get a minimum of 19 surveys completed with this distribution:

- 13 surveys at least filled by farmers, either full-time, part-time or landlords, including surveys with farm sizes and sectors that represents their region
- 2 surveys at least filled by a worker in a farming company
- 2 surveys at least filled by service or product external providers
- 2 surveys at least filled by agri-cooperatives, farmers association, or agriculture institution

DIHs were strongly recommended to ask for help within their ecosystem, specifically key partners with a day to day relationship with farmers, specifically agri-cooperatives, but also associations and institutions (see Survey distribution and Annex V).

The survey was available online in different languages:

English: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs-farmers

German: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=de
Spanish: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=fr
Greek: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=el
Italian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=pl
Serbian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=sr

Distribution Means

The main channel of distribution was Regional Clusters and Digital Innovation Hubs, according to data included in the project, but also agri-cooperatives and farmers' associations. WP4 contacted Regional Clusters, leaders and co-leaders, with:

- Instructions for DIHs in order to:
 - Be able to fill in the DIH survey.
 - Be able to reach their farmers and farming ecosystem, distribute the farmers' survey and provide instructions on how to fill in the farmers' survey.
- An e-mail example to be sent to DIHs with the content mentioned above and the link to the DIH survey.
- An e-mail example to be sent by DIHs to their farmers and farming ecosystem and the link to the farmers' survey in English and to the suitable translated survey (if that was the case).
- An updated list of the DIHs within the RC in order to contact them. In order to provide this, and as it was mentioned at the beginning of this section of methodology, an update on the Digital Innovation Hubs Catalogue of the project was necessary.
- A GDPR consent document from the partner in charge of this task (CAPDER) for each DIH to fill it and send it back.

Follow Up and Feedback

A two-week period was initially planned for the collection of answers. However, many Regional Clusters and DIHs decided during that period that translation into their languages was needed in order to reach their farmers. Because of that, that deadline was extended two weeks more.

There were sent tailor-made communications with updated reports on the number of surveys collected to every Regional Cluster during the data collection phase to increase the engagement of stakeholders.

In addition to the tailor-made e-mails, communication tools were suggested to Regional Clusters and DIHs to disseminate the surveys and reach a higher number of respondents to ensure the representativeness of the results. These tools were the following:

- WhatsApp's: sending landing messages with a link embedded to Whatsapp groups and contacts.
- Websites: embedded links in different websites managed by the organisation and their partners.
- Social media: publishing landing messages with a link embedded in the different social media accounts (Twitter, LinkedIn, Instagram, Facebook, etc.) managed by the organisations or their partners, such as the SmatrAgriHubs Project and Regional Cluster's twitter accounts.

2.5 DATA PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS

After data collection, data was pre-processed and prepared to ensure consistency and readiness for the ulterior analysis. This operation included: discarding incomplete and inadequate responses according to a criteria we needed to set up; and correcting minor data on responses to ensure integrity and representativeness. A detailed description of data preparation is included in section 3.

As for the analysis, there were different type of questions that needed a different treatment in order to be analysed. This is the methodology used for each type:

- Regarding likert-type scales, where respondents were asked whether they agree or disagree with a statement, each option is given a score which can be used to analyse results quantitatively, calculating mean and variance and comparing them amongst segments in the sample.
- Concerning multiple-choice questions, where respondents were asked to choose out of two or more answers, results could be analysed quantitatively, showing a ranking of most chosen questions and comparing segments.
- With Open-ended questions, where respondents were asked to supply their own answer, results have been processed identifying main response categories, then addressing every response to one or more categories and getting a ranking of most addressed categories.
- In the case of closed-ended questions, respondents were asked to give data to be analysed, normalized and processed at a later stage.

3. RESULTS

The main results obtained from this processed information are included throughout this chapter in 11 sections.

In section **3.1 Survey Distribution and Data Collection**, the data preparation process, an overview of participation figures, the regional distribution and additional information coming from the DIHs and farmers' responses are presented. Regarding DIHs responses, overall participation, distribution of surveys per regional cluster, sectors served by the DIHs and DIHs survey respondent role are analysed. Regarding farmers survey, participation, the regional cluster of origin, sectors, position in the industry, age, the language of completion of the survey, DIHs assignation, farm structure and farmers ecosystem characterisation are also included.

In section **3.2 Digital Innovation Hubs Ecosystem**, results about the connections of the DIHs with other entities in their ecosystem are analysed.

In section **3.3 Digital Innovation Hubs and Farmers' Digitalisation Needs** results regarding the questions about most perceived digital needs and the perceived importance of some digital services are presented.

In section **3.4 DIHs Innovation Services Portfolio Versus Expectations and Availability for Farmers.,** innovation services importance and availability for both farmers and DIHs are analysed.

In section **3.5 Tools Used and Required to Deliver Innovation Services by DIHs**, results regarding tools coming from the DIHs survey are analysed.

In section **3.6 Definition of "Digital" For Farmers and DIHs** the vision of what "digital" means for both farmers and DIHs is presented.

Section **3.7 Cloud Services** includes the analyse of the usage and importance of cloud services by farmers as perceived by DIHs.

The **3.8 Digital Services** section shows results about DIHs evaluating the most important digital services application areas and if they are assessing farmers' needs in these areas.

In section **3.9 SWOT Analysis**, results coming from the farmers SWOT analysis are presented.

In section **3.10 Innovation Capacity And Entrepreneurial Mindset**, the index reflecting the innovation capacity and entrepreneurial mindset (InnovaIndex) is analysed.

Lastly, the section **3.11 Flagship innovation experiments** deals with the analysis in terms of digitalisation needs and innovation services that has been elaborated for the FIEs involved in this SAH project.

3.1 SURVEY DISTRIBUTION AND DATA COLLECTION

In the frame of this task 4.1 Needs Assessment, two surveys, one for Digital Innovation Hubs and other for farmers and farming community – as explained in previous section 2 methodology – were launched to the Regional Clusters involved in this project for a period of 4 weeks.

In this section we will cover the process of data preparation to obtain data ready to be analysed, the overall participation, and the characterization of the surveys analysed coming from DIHs and farmers.

Data Preparation

After the data collection phase that started on 8/3/2019 and lasted until 5/4/2019, data was prepared for the analysis according to the following:

- i) Surveys that completed until question 18 for farmers' survey and question 19 for DIHs survey were considered as valid and used for analysis. Also surveys that only lacked answers to the open-ended question about vision were included in the analysis.
- ii) Responses were considered "inadequate" when data were a consequence of testing the survey platform, incoherent, inconsistent or duplicated (easily identifiable as answers were "ajaja", "dbsw", etc.).
- iii) Farmers' responses where the Regional Cluster was obviously not related to the city and country of the respondent were corrected to have a representative Regional Cluster based analysis. Some respondents from the Iberia Regional Cluster marked, for instance, South-East Europe Regional Cluster. 47 farmers' responses showed an incorrect correlation between city, country and regional cluster.
- iv) Answers to Open–ended questions in languages not natively spoken by the survey team were automatically translated with Google services to extract meaning.

A total number of 817 farmer's and 112 DIHs responses were collected. However, after going through the process mentioned before (i) and (ii), as it is shown in the table 4, the resulting number of surveys selected for further analysis is 570 farmers' needs surveys and 79 DIHs services surveys. Therefore, finally, 649 complete and consistent surveys have been obtained for their subsequent treatment.

Table 4 - Number of surveys discarded in each data preparation phase

Data preparation phases	Number of surveys		
	Farmers	DIHs	Total
Initially received	817	112	929
Incomplete surveys (i)	216	24	240
Surveys after phase (i)	601	88	689
Inadequate surveys (ii)	31	9	40
Surveys valid for the analysis	570	79	649

Participation Overview

In terms of participation, the first remarkable thing is the level of participation in general in both surveys. The total amount of surveys reaches almost 1000. Out of which tests/fake attempts and those surveys considered as incomplete were rejected according to the previous mentioned data preparation procedure.

The global participation rate was calculated making the comparison of the number of complete surveys with the sample established per DIH and per farmers. Thus, each Regional Cluster should reach 1 DIH survey per each DIH involved in their region. In the case of the farmer surveys, the goal number of completed surveys was 19 per each DIH belonging to each RC, with the following strongly suggested distribution:

- 13 from farmers.
- 2 from cooperatives, organizations and organisations.
- 2 from external/services providers.
- 2 from workers in farming company.




Figure 3 - Global participation

As can be seen in the graph above (Figure 3), DIHs participation rate has been really high overpassing 60%.

In the case of farmers, participation rate has been significantly lower but considering that our target was really ambitious and the problems Regional Clusters and DIHs have encountered during these 4 weeks, almost reaching the 30% is clearly a success.

DIHs participation rate has been really high overpassing 60%

In the case of farmers, participation rate has been significantly lower but considering that our target was really ambitious almost reaching the 30% is clearly a success.

For Farmers, we requested to collect a minimum of 19 surveys from each DIH or Regional Cluster. To have a representative sample, we requested that at least 12 of them came from Producers and at least 6 of them came from the Ecosystem, leaving them some margin to include surveys from Producers or Ecosystems, as they were able to collect, from those minimum figures and up. **The proportion of responses was close to 74% Producers 25% Ecosystem Surveys, with no differences across Regional Clusters.**

It is important to mention in this report the main problems, worries and concerns that Regional Clusters have experienced during this period:

Digital Innovation Hubs, in SmartAgriHubs, are meant to serve the farming ecosystem
and their customers but the results of the survey participation show that there is a lack
of connection between many DIHs and their farming sector. This is probably because
these DIHs are mainly driven by technology providers.

Digital Innovation Hubs are key to consolidate, activate and extend the current ecosystem, then improving these connections should be one of the main challenges of this project. Then, it would be important to increase awareness within the farming sector regarding the possibilities the DIHs are able to offer. To this end, extra attempts should be made to connect farmers to the DIHs concerned within this project. As for example; Regional Clusters could organise workshops to bring together DIHs and the farming sector.

Also, DIHs are recommended to develop community-based customer-centric strategies, with clear objectives and key results, real time monitoring and co-creation and knowledge-sharing sessions both within local ecosystems and Regional Clusters at European level.

• There is a lack of interest or response from some DIHs included during the proposal phase of the project. This is something that has happened in the majority of Regional Clusters, then, this is a big issue to debate in the heart of the project. Why these DIHs are not participating in the project (maybe because they are no longer interested, maybe because they are not real DIHs, maybe because they are immature DIHs and their level of involvement cannot be higher), what to do with them and what we could do to engage them again or if we ever should do so.

It can be concluded that there are still farmers very unaware of their DIH and the possibilities they offer.

DIHs

In addition to participation this chapter outlines the main aggregated data by Regional Cluster for that complete surveys and their characterisation.

The number of surveys aggregated by RC has been analysed to show the ecosystem reached in terms of distribution and characterization.

PARTICIPATION

Focusing on the number of DIHs, exclusively, there were 112 records, out of which 79 can be considered valid. The rest were fake or incomplete surveys.

You can see below the graphic of DIH participation per Regional Cluster (Figure 4). It is important to highlight a really low participation rate in two Regional Clusters: Central Europe and South East Europe, compared to the average participation rate achieved in the rest.

On the other hand, the graphic shows a strange result for Scandinavia, since it is more than 100%. That is because one of their DIHs filled in the survey 3 times but by different roles inside the same DIH. We have maintained the 3 registers as it could show interesting insights.



Figure 4 - DIHs participation per Regional Cluster

DISTRIBUTION OF SURVEYS PER REGIONAL CLUSTER

When looking at the geographical distribution of DIHs (Figure 5 - Distribution of surveys per regional cluster) that had completed the surveys, there was a predominance of DIHs belonging to the RC North-West Europe and Iberia.




Figure 5 - Distribution of surveys per regional cluster

DIHs that participated in the survey are based mostly in North-West Europe (18), Iberia (15) and Italy & Malta (10). The Regional Clusters with the least representation are Central Europe (4), South-East Europe (4) and UK & Ireland (6).

Table 5 - Number of participating DIHs per Regional Cluster

REGIONAL CLUSTER	Number
Central Europe	4
France	7
Iberia	15
Italy & Malta	10
North-East Europe	8
North-West Europe	18
Scandinavia	7
South-East Europe	4
UK & Ireland	6
Grand Total	79

DIHs that participated in the survey are based mostly in North-West Europe, Iberia and Italy & Malta.

SECTORS

Almost all the main sectors related to agriculture and food have been featured in this analysis. Nevertheless, the majority of DIHs provide services to the arable farming sector.

DIHs consulted indicated the following main sectors served: Arable farming (46), Dairy (35) and Fruits (33). The least sectors served are Agroforestry Ecosystems (5), Olive trees (13) and Poultry (22).

Table 6 - Sectors where DIHs provide services

Sector	Number of surveys	Percentage
Arable farming	46	16.79%
Fruits	33	12.04%
Poultry	22	8.03%
Greenhouses	25	9.12%
Dairy	35	12.77%
Vegetables	31	11.31%
Piggery	22	8.03%
Organic	20	7.30%
Olive trees	13	4.74%
Animal husbandry (ie. cattle, sheep, goat)	22	8.03%
Agroforestry ecosystems, like dehesa.	5	1.82%
Total	274	100.00%

DIHS SURVEY RESPONDENT ROLE

In relation to the role of the respondents that have completed the DIHs surveys (Table 7), the most surveys have been filled in by DIH managers (almost 55%).

Indeed, some of the respondents that marked the "other category" option also perform manager positions or similar though they have used different expressions.




Figure 6 - Distribution of surveys per role in the DIH

Respondents representing the DIH self-reported working on the following roles: Manager (53), Researcher (10), Consultant (10) and Advisor (7).

Table 7 - Number of surveys completed according to the role in the DIH

Role	Number of surveys
Manager	53
Researcher	10
Consultant	9
Advisor	7
Total	79

Farmers

PARTICIPATION

The bar chart below represents (Figure 7) the real participation in green colour -called total- versus surveys completed and valid for analysis - called completed-. Both percentages, on its turn, have been compared with the target established per each Regional Cluster. This was explained in the previous section - global -.

Let's see the example of Italy & Malta. There are 14 DIHs within this Regional Cluster, then the target concerning farmers was 14 times 19 (14 DIHs and 19 surveys from farming sector and farmers per each DIH), that is 266 surveys. That would be the 100%.

The green bar shows the percentage of farmers that initially filled in the survey against the target. Then, this RC could not reach the 266 surveys foreseen but almost 40% of its target. This percentage includes all surveys from this RC, valid and not valid ones.

Valid ones, in the case of Italy & Malta represent almost 30%, that is the black bar (number of valid surveys against the RC target).




Figure 7 - Farmers participation on the survey per Regional Cluster

The distribution of surveys is quite uneven across Regional Clusters, with Iberia and Italy very significantly standing out.

On the other hand, RC Central-Europe, France, North-East Europe and Scandinavia had less than 20 surveys answered. For that reason, a segmentation by RC in these cases do not have statistical significance.

In relation to the translation of the surveys to different languages, action taken to increase the number of reached stakeholders within the sector, it is important to mention the following results: the number of surveys answered in English represents 16.67% of the total, while the translated surveys represent the rest of the 585. In particular, there are some RCs where there is no survey answered in English (Central Europe, France, Italy & Malta), or these represent a very small percentage (Iberia, 3 of 108, South East Europe, 3 of 26). There are enough indications to think that translating the survey has had a high impact on the number of responses obtained and their representativeness.

REGIONAL CLUSTER OF ORIGIN

The geographical distribution of the surveys according to the Regional Cluster is shown in the below Figure 8 and Table 8.

Iberia, Italia & Malta and South-East Europe are the Regional Clusters where there were more responses. According to the data collection plan, every DIH was asked to obtain at least 19 completed responses from the farming ecosystem. Scandinavia, Central Europe and France did not reach that minimum.

Iberia, Italia & Malta and South-East Europe are the Regional Clusters where there were more responses from farmers and farming ecosystem.

There is a huge difference between the first region and the second and third ones. And there is still another big gap from 2nd and 3rd position to the following one.




Figure 8 - Distribution of far's surveys per Regional Cluster

Regional Clusters have encountered many difficulties to reach all DIHs and contacted DIHs were not always able to reach farmers or to have surveys filled in. There are some reasons for this last issue to happen which may be the following⁵:

 DIHs were technological DIH, willing to work with the agrifood sector but not know the sector yet.

36/204

⁵ This list of reasons are just conjectures based on the Regional Clusters' feedback

- DIHs may not reach the level of maturity enough to contact the sector.
- Farmers were not willing to participate.
- Farmers were willing to participate but they did not have a translated version of the survey in their mother tongue.

Table 8 - Number of valid farmers's surveys per Regional Cluster

Regional Cluster	Number of surveys
Central Europe	7
France	15
Iberia	242
Italy & Malta	110
North-East Europe	25
North-West Europe	41
Scandinavia	3
South-East Europe	89
UK & Ireland	38
Total	570

SECTORS

The chart below shows (Figure 9) the main sectors represented by the respondents. Arable farming is the most important one, followed by "Other", composed mainly by vineyard and Olive trees.




Figure 9 - Main sectors represented by respondents (farmers and farming ecosystem)

These results are quite influenced by the geographical location of respondents, as sectors are not equally represented across Regional Clusters (especially Iberia, Italy&Malta and South-East Europe).




Figure 10 - Distribution of respondents by Regional Cluster within each sector

As we mentioned before, a large number of farmers marked the "Other category" (116) and wrote Vineyard (41). Due to this huge number, we considered creating Vineyard as a category/sector such as Arable farming, etc. during the analysis.

Table 9 - Number of respondents by sector

Sector	Number
Arable farming	199
Fruits	62
Poultry	19
Greenhouses	24
Dairy	49
Vegetables	44
Piggery	55
Organic	42
Animal husbandry (ie. cattle, sheep, goat)	84
Olive trees	103
Agroforestry ecosystems, like dehesa	15
Vineyard	41
Other (including vineyard)	116

When looking at the number of sectors indicated per respondent, most were dedicated to one sector (255) or two sectors (101), representing a total of 62% of the farmers surveys analysed.

We asked respondents such as cooperatives, agricultural organisations, etc. (farmers ecosystem) to define the sector they serve. The largest proportion reported serving the Arable Farming sector (40), followed by Olive trees (36). The smallest subsets are Poultry (3), Agroforestry ecosystems (4), and Piggery (8). Most respondents indicated they serve just one sector (63), followed by no sector (24) and two sectors (20).




Figure 11 - Distribution of the main sectors represented

POSITION IN THE INDUSTRY

We considered different typologies of respondents within the farmers' survey and grouped them into two large categories: Producers (435) and Ecosystem (135) (see the table below). The sum of the total of Producers (435) is 75.7%.

Table 10 - Number of surveys according to the typology of respondent

Position (producers)	Number
Dedicated farmer	291
Landlord, not farmer	11
Part-time farmer	82
Work for a farming company	51
Total	435
Position (Ecosystem)	Number
Position (Ecosystem) Farmers' agri-cooperative	Number 56
Farmers' agri-cooperative	56
Farmers' agri-cooperative Farmers' association, organization or institution	56 35

As we can see in Figure 12 and Figure 13, the main respondents of the survey were "Farmers full-time dedicates (291) ", representing approximately 50%, followed by Parttime Farmer (82), Workers of Farming Companies (51), and a small subset of Landlords that don't farm (11).

Within the Ecosystem category representing a total of 24.3%, the largest group is that of Farmers Agri-Cooperatives (56), followed by Service/Product External Providers (44) and Farmers Associations (35).




Figure 12 - Farmers position in the industry

AGE

In the age classification the highest number of answers came from Farmers that are 40-49 years old, very closely followed by the age range 50-64.

As you can see in the figure below (Figure 13), most surveys were completed by farmers aged between 40-49. Although it is to remark the high participation of people aged under 40.




Figure 13 - Age of the farmers

Looking at the data by Regional Cluster it is to be said that several Regional Cluster does not have sufficient representation.

Only data from the following regions could be taken into account: Iberia, Italy & Malta, North - West Europe, South-East Europe and UK & Ireland. The graphic shows (*Figure 14*) that in UK & Ireland and Italy & Malta the number of young people is higher than in the rest. In the case of Iberia and North-East Europe the number of young people under 30 is very low.




Figure 14 - Distribution of respondents according to their age per Regional Cluster

LANGUAGE OF COMPLETION

Most surveys (210) were answered in Spanish, followed by Italian (110) and English (92). The least used languages were Dutch (1), Polish (14) and German (23).




Figure 15 - Languages used by respondents

DIHS ASSIGNATION

In this section, farmers have been grouped according to the specific question number 6: "What is the name of the organisation or Digital Innovation Hub ("DIH) that has provided you this survey?". With this request we wanted to know if farmers and farming ecosystem support were aware of this information and their perception of belonging to this community. The most numerous groups of farmers are associated to the Andalucía Agrotech DIH (106), followed by Coldiretti (53) and DIHGAS (31).

This association of each respondent with a DIH was not possible for a considerable number of Farmers (70+25) that answered with a name which is not really a DIH or at least it does not belong to the DIH Catalogue of this project. It is important to keep in mind this fact since it reveals the need of promotion for the DIHs.

Table 11 - Number of surveys per entity providers

Entity providers	Number of surveys
Digital Innovation Hub	
Andalucía Agrotech DIH	106
DIHGAS: Digital Innovation Hub for Galician Sector.	31
RIOHUB	22
PSNC	13
ADVID - Associação para o Desenvolvimento da Viticultura Duriense	12
ΕΛΓΟ-ΔΗΜΗΤΡΑ	11
mAgro	11
T4E DIH Extremadura	10
Organisations	
COLDIRETTI	53
UE COOP	24
Other	
Unknown	70
SmartAgriHubs	25
Others (under 10 responses)	182
Total	570

FARM STRUCTURE

If we analyse the **number of workers by farm**, more than half of the farmers reported being part of companies with 2 to 10 workers (53%), followed by farmers from companies with less than 2 workers (26%). The smallest group of farmers (21%) reported working in companies with more than 10 workers.

Table 12 - Number of surveys according to the farm category

Farm category	Percentage	Number of answers
1- Less than 2 people	25.98%	113
2- Between 2 and 10 people	52.87%	230
3- More than 10 people	21.15%	92
Total	100.00%	435




Figure 16 - Distribution amongst farm categories




Figure 17 - Distribution of farm categories according to the sector

In relation to the farm **dimensions**, the most common size of farms (Figure 18) amongst respondents is the farm bigger than 30Has, which represents the option marked by the 45% of farmers.




Figure 18 - Size of farms

Farmers working on farms that are 5 to 30 Has (139) and less than 5 Has (59) are less numerous. A total of 37 Farmers did not indicate a farm size, which could be related to livestock farms.

We analysed sizing in terms of livestock as well, although only 30% of the respondents contributed this information. Large farms, with over 300 livestock animals, represent 13%

of the responses (58) followed by medium farms with 75 to 300 animals (47) and small farms with less than 75 animals (27).

Table 13 - Number of surveys according to the size of the farms.

Percentage	Number of answers		
13.56%	59		
31.95%	139		
45.98%	200		
100.00%	435		
Percentage	Number of answers		
6.21%	27		
10.80%	47		
13.33%	58		
	13.56% 31.95% 45.98% 100.00% Percentage 6.21% 10.80%		

On top of sizing the Farms according to their extension in Has, the number of workers and the number of Livestock we asked Farmers to **self-assess their size** from 1 (very small) to 5 (very large). Around 40% of respondents (Table 14) perceive their farms as medium compared to the size of other farms near them. If farmers do not consider medium their farm, they tend to consider them as small or small/medium.

100.00%

435

Table 14 - Respondents' perception of their farm in terms of size

Total

Range	Percentage	Number of answers
1	22.53%	98
2	16.32%	71
3	40.00%	174
4	9.43%	41
5	11.72%	51
Total	100.00%	435

FARMERS ECOSYSTEM CHARACTERISATION

We asked respondents that belong to the Farmers Ecosystem group to define the sector they serve. The largest proportion reported serving the Arable Farming sector (40), followed by Olive trees (36). The smallest subsets are Poultry (3), Agroforestry ecosystems (4), and Piggery (8). Most respondents indicated they serve just one sector (63), followed by no sector (24) and two sectors (20).




Figure 19 - Sectors served by the farmers ecosystem

Table 15 - Number of respondents serving sectors

Served Sector	Number
Arable farming	40
Fruits	24
Poultry	3
Greenhouses	18
Dairy	20
Vegetables	21
Vegetables	21
Piggery	8
Organic	22
Olive trees	36
Animal husbandry (i.e. cattle, sheep, goat)	15
Agroforestry ecosystems, like dehesa	4
Other (please specify)	18

Surveys Contacts

This section includes the percentage of farmers and DIHs that wanted to be contacted for further information with regards to their surveys. As it is shown in the graph (Figure 20), in the case of farmers the percentage is over 50% and in DIHs surveys this percentage is higher, being approximately 70%.

It is to draw your attention to the fact that on the contrary we would think, not all DIH that participated in completing the survey were interested in being contacted later on, even though we were talking about a survey to assess their farmers' needs and also the way they approach them. These surveys could represent very useful tools providing them quite valuable information but the 30% of participating DIHs was not interested in.




Figure 20 - Participants willing to collaborate in the future

To conclude section **3.1 Survey Distribution and Data Collection**, it is remarkable the high level of participation, the lack of connections with the farming ecosystem of most DIHs, the non-awareness of belonging to a DIHs or RC for the majority of farmers, and the determinant influence of multilingual surveys in the results.

3.2 DIGITAL INNOVATION HUBS ECOSYSTEM

The objective of this question was to have a clear insight of the different entities DIHs are connected with. As can be seen in (Figure 21) and (Figure 22), Universities and Research Centers are in first position (with almost 90% of DIHs connected to them), closely followed by SMEs (73%). The lowest percentage is for Orchestrator (with only 11%).





Figure 21 - Composition of the DIH ecosystem

University/Research Center



Farmer association(s)/communitie(s)

Figure 22 - Composition of the DIH ecosystem shown as a net

Table 16 - Number of DIHs connected with each type of entity

Entities connected	Number
University/Research Centre	72
Local SMEs	65
Competence Centre	58
Farmer association(s)/community(ies)	53
(Local) government	53
Education & training institutes	52
Local larger businesses	47
Other DIH	45
Incubator/accelerator/startup programs	38
Orchestrator	8

Most DIHs network connections are with University/Research Centres, Local SMEs, Competence Centres, Farmer associations and communities, local governments and education & training institutes, while connections with larger local businesses and start-up programmes are less common.

Connecting with the precedent section, generally speaking DIHs have more connections to research and education organizations and institutions than with businesses and startups. These connections and networks could influence in their perception of innovation and digital transformation, as well as in the innovation services they are providing.

3.3 DIGITAL INNOVATION HUBS AND FARMERS' DIGITALISATION NEEDS

This section includes the results related to specific digitalisation needs detected by farmers, whether these needs are identified by the DIHs or the DIHs provide services that cover those identified needs.

Firstly, it was asked to DIHs and farmers to rate their digitisation needs using a scale from 1 to 5 in the following topics:

- The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork (i.e. improving traceability systems so consumers know where the product comes from or how it was processed or improving traceability systems so consumers know where the product comes from or how it was processed)
- The need to optimise farm operations (such as improving irrigation, fertilisation, disease treatment, harvesting, livestock management and administration)
- The need for changing the way to do business (e.g. the way you sell your products or with a specific focus on adaptable and flexible digital solutions to address the business needs of farms)
- The need to utilise data to make better decisions/ The need to combine and exchange data to create value (such as developing standards, knowledge and infrastructures for collecting data from the field with sensors, satellite or drone imagery to make better decisions)
- The need for environmentally-sustainable production (e.g. making use of ICT to improve the environmental performance of food production and agrifood value chains)

The aim was to identify the needs of farmers and the farming ecosystem within the agrifood sector and which farmers needs the European DIHs were interested in supplying services in order to assess the preferences of these ecosystems involved in this project.

Table 17 - Digitalisation needs detected by farmers and identified by DIHs

NEEDS ASSESSMENT	Farmers	DIHs	Difference
The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork	3.12	3.28	-0.16
The need to optimise farm operations	3.51	3.52	-0.01
The need for changing the way to do business	3.15	3.18	-0.03
The need to combine and exchange data to create value/ The need to utilise data to make better decisions	3.33	3.48	-0.15
The need for environmentally-sustainable production	3.31	3.51	-0.20
Average Digitalisation Needs	3.28	3.36	-0.08

We asked **Farmers** for their digitalisation needs, using a 1 to 5 scale. We have made this analysis independently for Producers and Ecosystem. In both groups all needs scored over 3, with slight variations on the preferences for each group.

For both groups the most important need is "The need to optimize farm operations (such as improving irrigation, disease treatment, harvesting, livestock management and administration)" with a score of 3.51. The second most relevant with 3.33 is: "The need to utilize data to make better decisions".

We can also extract from this, not only the importance of the need, but also that many of them are already trying to deal with some issues or, even more, already dealing with.

Then, mainly, respondents are already interested, trying to address or already addressing all the 5 topics given in the survey. On the contrary of the "The need to optimise farm operators", that was the most important need, the less interesting topic is "the need for new business models" which is also the least addressed by farmers.




Figure 23 - Farmers perception of digitalisation needs

Focusing specifically on farmers, we have crossed the needs of digitisation with the sector, the size of the farm and the number of workers.

In relation to the sectors (Table 49) reported by Farmers, we can say there are no trends but we did find some interesting insights. The most important need is "to optimize farm operations", except for Vineyards. Farmers in this sector consider that the most important is "the need for environmentally-sustainable production", which contrasts the absence of interest in sustainability found in the Poultry, Fruits, Piggery and Vegetables sectors. Greenhouses and Dairy Producers do not perceive "Track and Trace" as a need, although Dairy Producers have a big need for the use of data for decision making.

Concerning the farm size, having in mind the difference between farms and livestock farms and giving each digitalisation need a score in relation to the size as well as an average, we observed (Table 50, Table 51 and Table 52) that the perceived needs to optimise farm operations and to utilise data to make better decisions increase significantly as we look at larger farms.

It's interesting to note that the perceived need to "track and trace" and "the need for environmentally-sustainable production" are lower in bigger farms.

Finally, in relation to the number of workers (Table 53), all needs proposed were perceived of more importance in larger teams, except for "the need for environmentally-sustainable production" which was generally less important in bigger farms. In fact, the latter decreased in importance as the size of teams increases. The need to utilise data is directly proportional to the number of workers.

For farmers the most important need is "The need to optimize farm operations, such as improving irrigation, disease treatment, harvesting, livestock management and administration".

Paying attention to **DIHs**, digitisation needs scored a bit more than 3. The aim of this question was to identify which farmer's needs the European DIHs (*Table 17*) were interested in supplying services in order to assess the preferences of these ecosystems involved in this project.

The highest ranked is "The need to optimize farm operations" (3.52) closely followed by "The need for environmentally-sustainable production (e.g. making use of ICT to improve the environmental performance of food production and agrifood value chains)" (3.51). Besides, a high percentage of DIHs are already addressing "the need to optimise farm operations, such as improving irrigation, fertilisation, disease treatment, harvesting, livestock management and administration".

Another main need detected is "the need to combine and exchange data to create value" which includes issues such as developing standards, knowledge and infrastructures for collecting data from the field with sensors, satellite or drone imagery to make better decisions.

On the one hand, the fact that all needs are at a medium level stands out. It is relevant that there is an interest above 2.5 (the average value between possible scores: 1 and 5) in all of them, since it shows the interest in those needs. None of them reaches the highest values in the scale (which would be 4 and 5) letting us think that digitisation would not be a top priority in the European agri-food sector.

But on the other hand, it is positive to know that the needs of DIHs and farmers are aligned. Since there is an interest to provide services by DIHs in line with the detected needs of the farmers.

There are no significant differences in the ranking of needs done by farmers and by DIHs.

Both the sorting of their priorities and theirs scores are similar.

In conclusion, there are **no significant differences** in the ranking of **needs** done by **farmers and by DIHs** and **both focus on production** - related needs versus business or customer related needs. Both the sorting of their **priorities** and theirs scores are **similar**. "The need to optimize farm operations" is the most important digitalisation need, while "The need for changing the way to do business" is the least important for both again. This hint in the innovation and digitalisation point of view for farmers and DIHs will be analysed in the following sections.

3.4 DIHS INNOVATION SERVICES PORTFOLIO VERSUS EXPECTATIONS AND AVAILABILITY FOR FARMERS.

This section contains an analysis of the level of importance of the main services provided by DIHs according to their consideration as well as their level of availability. Also, farmers and the farming sector were asked for the importance they give to digital services and the available services, then, an analysis is also provided. And, finally, this section tries to clarify the correlation between both analyses, in order to assess if the services that are being implemented are also the services that the farmers need most.

Importance and Availability of Innovation Services for the DIHs

Based on a portfolio of services frequently provided by DIHs, respondents were asked to score the **importance** of those services using a scale from 1 to 5. Generally speaking, all services are ranked over 3. Although 91,25% considered Research and Development services as most important (for instance: technology concept development, realising proof of concepts), closely followed by services related to Community building (e.g. scouting for partners, marketing communication, ecosystem building)" and Visioning and strategy development (e.g. market intelligence, innovation strategy development), with a percentage of 84% and 83% respectively, as it is shown in Figure 24 and Table 18.

Research and Development services were considered as the most important for DIHs. For instance: technology concept development, realising proof of concepts.

On the contrary, the less important services from the DIHs' point of view are Incubators and accelerators, followed by "Mentoring (in the network) (e.g. training of/by other hubs and competence centres)".

These data reveal the need to reinforce the collaboration between DIHs and between DIHs and Competence Centres – exchanging experiences-, taking advantage of the lessons learned from other DIHs with a higher level of maturity. This is noteworthy find to be taken into account by Task "Building networks of DIHs" within this project, revealing this task as an extremely important one in order to mitigate this weakness.




Figure 24 - Importance of services to operate as a DIH from their own point of view

Table 18 -Services scoring according to their importance

Importance of services	Value
Access to finance and funding	4.08
Business planning support	3.80
Skills and Education	4.15
(Collaborative) R&D	4.48
Technical Support	4.04
Product testing	3.91
Incubator/Accelerator	3.76
Mentoring (in the network)	3.81
Visioning and Strategy Development	4.18
User acceptance	3.97
Community Building	4.27

A further step in this analysis consisted in knowing which services out of those asked before are already being implemented by DIHs, showing a good maturity of these ecosystems. (Figure 25). Respondents had to use the same 1 to 5 scale. The idea was to have a clear concept of the gap between importance and availability, as well as to be able to compare with the farmers perception in a second stage.

Results show that services implemented are in line with the importance they are given. Then, services related to Research and Development are already in place in almost 70% of the surveyed DIHs, being the first service in both rankings. The second highest score is associated to the availability of "Community Building", which was also the second one in the importance ranking.




Figure 25 - Availability of services for DIHs

The lowest availability is reported for "Incubator/Accelerator (e.g. market assessment, business development)", slightly overpassed by "User acceptance (e.g. collecting and analysing voice of customer data, concept validation with users)".

In this last case, it is to remark that nor the concept of connected end-users nor the advantages of using information coming from consumers in the decision-making process have not taken root in the agrifood sector yet. There are numerous experiences and tools that are emerging across Europe in this sense and that could be part of the exchange of experiences between DIHs mentioned before. Also, as this quite new but also very beneficial for the agrifood sector and a wide field for technological companies, DIHs are advised to explore on the issue searching for opportunities for their ecosystems.

Table 19 - Available service scoring for DIHs

Availability of Services	Value
Access to finance and funding	3.25
Business planning support	2.90
Skills and Education	3.91
(Collaborative) R&D (e.g. technology concept development, realising proof of concepts)	4.01
Technical Support (e.g. prototyping, small series production)	3.08
Testing (e.g. certification, product qualification)	3.03
Incubator/Accelerator	2.62
Mentoring (in the network) (e.g. training of/by other hubs and competences centres)	2.90
Visioning and Strategy Development	3.33
User acceptance	2.67
Community Building	3.94

Analysing the gaps between the importance and the availability of services according to the DIHs results, it is visible that the smallest gaps are between the importance and the availability of Skills and education, community building and (Collaborative) R&D, and the largest gaps are in User acceptance, Incubator/Accelerator and Technical support.

In the case of small gaps, that means that services are being implemented according to the importance they have. Then, there is some sort of "problem" with those services with largest gaps. Recommendations for DIHs then would be to implement more incubators/accelerators and to explore more, as was before, on the opportunities of having consumers experiences, information and opinions into account.

Table 20 - Gaps between importance and availability of services for DIHs

Importance of Services X Availability of Services	Values
IMPORTANCE	3.97
AVAILABILITY	3.24
GAP	0.73
Access to finance and funding	0.82
Business planning support	0.90
Skills and Education	0.24
(Collaborative) R&D	0.47

Technical Support	0.96
Product testing	0.89
Incubator/Accelerator	1.14
Mentoring (in the network)	0.91
Visioning and Strategy Development	0.85
User acceptance	1.30
Community Building	0.33

Innovations Services Importance and Availability for Farmers

The same reflection as with DIHs was made with farmers, asking them to evaluate – in a 1 to 5 scale- the importance of the services to foster digital innovation for their business and the level of availability. Again, we observe that all scores are over 3 (see Figure 26).

The most relevant service is "Technical support to incorporate new technologies in their farming business" (4.12) followed by "Skills and Education (e.g. Courses, workshops, offering technological infrastructure for educational purposes)" with 4.03. Very close, there are also two important services: "access to finance and funding" and "participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector".

The least relevant services for Farmers are "Incubator / Accelerator" (3.47) and "User Acceptance" (3.58).




Figure 26 - Importance of services according to farmers

In relation to services offered by DIHs to farmers (Table 21 and Figure 27), these ones perceive the following as most available:

- i) Skills and education
- ii) Access to finance and funding

On the one hand, the service "Skills and education" that was in the top position of importance is being properly delivered to the farmers. Thus, this means that what they found most important is also the most available.

However, in spite of being so important "Technical support to incorporate new technologies in the farming business" and "Research and Development" when talking about the importance of services, these are perceived mainly as not provided or partially provided by DIHs.

In this case, promotion of figures such as demo-farms would be strongly recommended to DIH. This type of figures let farmers visit diverse experiences with different technologies implemented so as to check which of them would be of utility for them. Also, hackathons would be to foster or creating new specialised agrotech jobs.

The lowest score (1.84) corresponds to Incubator/Accelerator which was also the least important

Perhaps, it would be interesting for DIHs to explain the importance of the entrepreneurial character and of the creation of new businesses for the agrifood sector, using different communication tools.

The importance of most services increases together with the size of the farms but not in the case of "Incubators/Accelerators" and "User acceptance" (Table 54). While in the first one the scoring is higher in medium farms, still higher in smaller ones than bigger ones, in the second service, the trend is completely opposite. It decreases with the increasing of size.

This is not really difficult to understand, as small producers usually need to focus their commercial strategies in the quality of their products and in a strong positioning in front of consumers. They are based in a very close and reliable relationship with consumers in order to gain loyalty.




Figure 27 – Availability of services according to farmers

Analysing the gap between the importance of services and the availability according to farmers, we observe that the gap is significantly smaller for access to finance and funding and the needs for skills and education. The biggest gaps are reported for the needs for incubator/accelerator, mentoring, vision and strategy development, and user acceptance.

Table 21 - Gaps between importance and availability of services for farmers

GAPS of services	Importance	Availability	GAPS
Access to finance and funding	3.87	3.25	0.62
Business planning support	3.77	2.59	1.18
Skills and Education	4.03	3.29	0.74
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	3.91	2.63	1.28
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	4.12	2.91	1.21
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	3.87	2.55	1.32
Incubator/Accelerator	3.47	1.84	1.63
Mentoring	3.67	2.17	1.5
Visioning and Strategy Development	3.71	2.16	1.55
User acceptance	3.58	2.02	1.56
Community Building	3.88	2.51	1.37

As we did in the needs section, we have already done the analysis taking into account farm size, main sector and also having in mind the difference between farms and livestock farms. In these last two cases, data are not sound enough to draw conclusions. This is due to the fact that there were very few answers for some categories and values were too dispersed. All tables can be found in Annex I: Additional Tables.

Then, concerning the farm size, we ran an analysis of size in relation to the gap between importance and availability of services for the farmers that indicated a number of livestock.

In this case we found a relationship: the bigger the size of the livestock the highest the reported importance of most services, including access to finance and funding, skills and education, participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities; technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business and participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector.

The availability of access to finance and funding is higher for larger farms, as does the perceived availability of services like "Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities" and "Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector".

The gap between importance and availability is inversely proportional to size for "access for finance and funding" and "Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities".

The analysis of importance and availability of services when measured against size in terms of number of workers indicates that the importance of services grows as the team size grows except for the needs for Incubator/Accelerator, Mentoring, Visioning and Strategy Development, and User acceptance.

The availability of services is higher overall for farms with more than 10 workers, and the biggest gap between importance and availability of services is found in farms where 2 to 10 people work.

Analysis of the Gap in Innovation Services between DIHs and Farmers

We analysed the differences in gaps between the importance and availability of services as reported by Farmers and DIHs. In the tables below a positive gap is related to services that are more important or available for Farmers, and a negative gap is associated to services that are more important or available for DIHs.

If we compare how important services are for farmers to how they are for DIHs, see Table 22, Participation in collaborative projects and technical support are more relevant for Farmers than for DIHs, and DIHs consider Skills and Education, and Mentoring, more important than Farmers. Nevertheless, leaving apart "Skills and Education", "Mentoring", "User acceptance", "Visioning" and "Participation in pilot projects" where there is a higher difference of perception, farmers and DIHs have more or less the same perception of how important services are.

Table 22 - Gaps between farmers and DIHs in terms of importance of services

Importance Farmers Vs Importance DIHs	Value
Access to finance and funding	0.03
Business planning support	-0.11
Skills and Education	-0.49
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	0.10
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	0.02
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	-0.21
Incubator/Accelerator	-0.08
Mentoring	-0.41
Visioning and Strategy Development	-0.32
User acceptance	-0.34
Community Building	0.03

In terms of availability and implementation of services, there is a difference between farmers and DIHs points of view. In this case, both points of view should coincide as they are referred to services that really exist. However, DIHs says they are implementing more services than the services farmers know that are available. In many cases, this difference of perception is really high, such is the case of services like "Community Building", "Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities, and "Visioning and Strategy Development".

If we cross these results with the importance farmers give to services, we find out that in the case of "Participation in collaborative projects" and "Community Building", these services are also very important. Then, DIHs are already implementing them, these services are considered very important for farmers but farmers say these services are less available than they already are. Then, there is a problem of communication between both. Farmers do not have enough information from DIHs in relation to services.

The only service perceived similarly by DIHs and farmers is "Access to finance and funding".

Table 23 - Gaps between farmers and DIHs in terms of availability of services

Availability Farmers Vs Availability DIHs	Value
Access to finance and funding	0.03
Business planning support	-0.23
Skills and Education	-0.63
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	-1.19
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	-0.13
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	-0.35
Incubator/Accelerator	-0.67
Mentoring	-0.67
Visioning and Strategy Development	-1.07
User acceptance	-0.54
Community Building	-1.40

The most important conclusion we can draw is that the **DIHs are more optimistic than** farmers about the importance and, especially, the availability of innovation services.

Also, the economic size of the farms, measured as subjective size of the farms, are determinant in the perception of innovation services.

3.5 TOOLS USED AND REQUIRED TO DELIVER INNOVATION SERVICES BY DIHS

Answers to the questions referred to the tools currently used to deliver services and tools needed is analysed in this section.

A short list of tools to deliver services was offered to DIHs asking them to indicate whether they were used or not.

The results shows that workshops are the most often used tools by DIHs (Figure 28), followed by Live events and Connection to other hubs. Actions could be done to improve this last option in order to encourage a common learning amongst DIHs.




Figure 28 - Tools used by DIHs to deliver services

In addition to these options, we left the "other tools" option where respondents could add what they considered suitable. Amongst responses there were the following ones: collaborative projects, hackathons, DemoLab.

We also asked DIHs to say if there are any tools, they are not using in order to adequately deliver services. 46.7% of respondents said YES and 53.3% said NO. Then, half of the respondents think they have the right tools and the other half feel they should be using other tools. Respondents had the opportunity to say which tools they were not using and some of the answers are: E-learning platform, help guides, single portal with "good practices", DemoLab, one-stop-shop portal.

We can **conclude** that there is a **lack of innovation in the use of tools** and also that there is a **shortage of digital communication from the DIHs**.

3.6 DEFINITION OF "DIGITAL" FOR FARMERS AND DIHS

We wanted to know what "digital" means for both farmers and DIHs. For that, we asked respondents to say which of the statements provided in the survey are part of the definition of Digital or to provide their own definition. Statements provided to farmers and DIHs were the same.

Most farmers have a clear vision of what "digital" or digitalisation is, though they differ in their concept. There is a 7,37% of respondents that are unsure of the real meaning of it (see Figure 29).

Almost 60% of farmers usually perceive that digital goes beyond technologies and refers to a mindset.

Almost 60% of farmers usually perceive that digital goes beyond technologies and refers to a mindset.

In the option "Others" respondents gave different responses but mainly related to the use of screen instead of paper and the decision-making process based on data.

The concept of "digital" is understood by almost 64% of the DIHs (Figure 29) as something that goes beyond technology alone to reflect a mindset that embraces constant innovation, decision-making and the integration of technology into all phases of the business.

However, the most interesting insight that comes out of this section is that anyone has answered "unsure". That means that all DIHs have a very clear vision of what they think digital or digitalisation means though their understandings do not coincide. And a question arises out of this, in order to have homogenous services in all DIHs, and in order to have a real assessment of their maturity level, should not be important the establishment of a common (built by all) definition of "digital/digitalisation"?




Figure 29 - Vision of Digital by Farmers and DIHs

Having a look to Table 24 Vision of Digital by Farmers and DIHs, we can see that farmers and DIHs have a very similar perception of what digital means.

Although all of the statements are indeed related to digital, in both cases Farmers and DIHs the highest score (0.56) and (0.68) is associated to the statement "Digital goes beyond technology alone to reflect a mindset that embraces constant innovation, flat decision-making, and the integration of technology into all phases of the business".

When talking about the lowest score they differ. For farmers the lowest is "all customer-facing technology activities" (0.16) and for DIHs (0.14) it corresponds to the definition "Digital is synonymous with technology".

Table 24 - Vision of Digital by Farmers and DIH	ls
---	----

Vision of digital	Farmers	DIHs	Difference
Digital refers to all technology innovation-related activities (Innovation)	0.40	0.41	-0.01
Digital is synonymous with technology (Infrastructure)	0.32	0.14	0.19
Digital refers to all customer-facing technology activities (Customer and marketing)	0.16	0.16	0.00

Digital refers to all the investments we are making to integrate technology into all parts of our business (Funding)	0.26	0.30	-0.04
Digital goes beyond technology alone to reflect a mindset that embraces constant innovation, flat decision-making, and the integration of technology into all phases of the business (Culture and business processes)	0.56	0.68	-0.12
Digital refers to all data and analytics activities (Data)	0.42	0.56	-0.14
Unsure	0.07	0.00	0.07
AVERAGE DIGITAL	0.31	0.32	-0.01

Thus, both farmers and DIHs agree in their vision of "digital" as a concept related to mindset and culture beyond, and related to business processes, followed by data and analytics activities and innovation. Customer and marketing are the least considered aspect of "digital" for both DIHs and farmers.

3.7 CLOUD SERVICES

Cloud is not just an infrastructure, it is also an enabler for digital transformation. According to the most recent communication of the European Commission regarding the cloud strategy, some of the benefits of adopting cloud technologies are:

- "as a result of the adoption of cloud computing 80% of organisations reduce costs by 10-20%."⁶
- "via the cloud, enterprises access relatively more advanced end customer software applications, e.g. for finances/accounting and managing information about their customers (customer relationship management – CRM) (38 % and 29 % respectively)"⁷
- "other benefits include enhanced mobile working (46%), productivity (41%), standardisation (35%), as well as new business opportunities (33%) and markets $(32\%)^{18}$

We can assume that connectivity still has room for improvement in rural areas in the EU. But as overall broadband connectivity in rural areas is over 99%, including fixed DSL (94%) and mobile HSPA and LTE (98%), connectivity can't be considered an impediment for the access to cloud services.

Even when mobile internet use by degree of urbanisation shows that the use of mobile phones (or smartphones) to access the internet when away from home or work was greater amongst people in cities (61 %) in the EU-28 in 2016 than it was amongst people living in towns and suburbs (55 %) or those living in rural areas $(47 \%)^{10}$, the overall internet usage shows that over 79% of the EU-27 population are internet users.

 $(\underline{https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/3217494/8222062/KS-HA-17-001-EN-N.pdf/eaebe7fa-0c80-45af-ab41-0f806c433763})$

⁶ Communication from the commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European economic and social Committee and the Committee of the Regions - Unleashing the Potential of Cloud Computing in Europe (Text with EEA relevance) {SWD(2012) 271 final} https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/ec_cloud_strategy.pdf

Teurostat - Cloud computing - statistics on the use by enterprises (https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Cloud_computing_-statistics_on_the_use_by_enterprises#Use_of_cloud_computing)

⁸ IDC (2012) "Quantitative Estimates of the Demand for Cloud Computing in Europe and the Likely

⁹ Broadband coverage in Europe (July 2017)

¹⁰ Eurostat Regional Yearbook 2017

The lack of awareness about the importance of cloud, even more for DIHs than for farmers, is in line with the penetration of this technology in other sectors of the European Union.

Only 26 % of EU enterprises were using cloud computing in 2018, mostly for hosting their e-mail systems and storing files in electronic form. 11

We asked DIHs to evaluate their perceived importance of Cloud Services for Farmers in a scale of 1 to 5. All services ranked over 3, being the highest ranked service "Farm management applications: any web or mobile app to manage the farm such as a field diary and livestock management" (4.08) and the lowest ranked service "Enterprise applications: Salesforce, SAP web, SAGE web or any other web based ERP/CRM" (3.25).

These services can be grouped according to their level of importance: The most important group includes services related to farm management services, the second group those of customer and business productivity services, and a third group with enterprise and infrastructure services, that are considered the least important for farmers.

Table 25 - Cloud Services importance for farmers according to DIHs

Cloud Services ranked by DIH	Rank 0-5
Customer applications: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram or similar	3.68
Business productivity: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype or similar	3.68
Enterprise applications: Salesforce, SAP web, SAGE web or any other web-based ERP/CRM	3.25
Infrastructure/applications: FiWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AWS, Google Cloud, Heroku or similar	3.42
Farm management applications: any web or mobile app to manage the farm such as a field diary and livestock management	4.08

According to respondents, (Figure 30) all cloud services are important for farmer's business, highlighting especially those related to farm management applications which are considered as absolutely essential by more than 50% of DIHs. Customer applications and Business productivity are also quite important according to DIHs.

Services considered as less important out of the 5 categories are those that have to be with infrastructures and applications.

62/204

¹¹ Eurostat - Cloud computing - statistics on the use by enterprises (https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Cloud_computing_-_statistics_on_the_use_by_enterprises#Use_of_cloud_computing)




Figure 30 - Importance of Cloud Services ranked by DIH

In the same way, we asked them to rank their perception of the use of specific cloud services by Farmers. The highest score is associated to "Customer applications: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram or similar" (4.29) and the lowest score is for "Infrastructure/applications: FiWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AWS, Google Cloud, Heroku or similar" (2.66). More than 60% of DIHs also agree on the wide use of Business productivity cloud services by farmers.

These services can be grouped attending to their usage: The most used group includes services related to customer cloud services, the second group that of business productivity and farm management services, and a third group with enterprise and infrastructure services, that are considered the least used by farmers.




Figure 31 - Use of Cloud Services ranked by DIH

There is a difference between what DIHs think are important clouds services and what DIHs think farmers are using. Though DIHs think Farm management applications are the most important cloud services for farmers businesses, they also think that farmers use most Customer applications.

Nevertheless, all the 3 cloud services identified as more important cloud services are also the most used, according to DIHs, by farmers.

Also, in spite of considering Infrastructures/applications less important cloud services than Enterprise applications, farmers seem to use more the former than the latter.

Table 26 - Cloud Services used by Farmers according to DIHs.

Cloud Services used by Farmers	Rank 0-5
Customer applications: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram or similar	4.29
Business productivity: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype or similar	3.75
Enterprise applications: Salesforce, SAP web, SAGE web or any other web-based ERP/CRM	2.77
Infrastructure/applications: FiWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AWS, Google Cloud, Heroku or similar	2.66
Farm management applications: any web or mobile app to manage the farm such as a field diary and livestock management	3.72

As the transition to cloud is a relevant factor for successful digitalisation, we analysed the gap between the perceived importance and usage of these services by farmers, and the importance and usage reported by the DIHs.

Looking at the data we observed that Farmers use Customer Applications and Business Productivity Cloud Services more than what DIHs consider important, and that the opposite happens with more complex services like cloud enterprise applications, cloud infrastructure and farm management applications.

Table 27 - Cloud Services Importance for Farmers x Cloud Services Usage by Farmers

Cloud Services Importance for Farmers x Cloud Services Usage by Farmers	Importance	Usage	Gap
Customer applications: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram or similar	3.68	4.29	-0.61
Business productivity: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype or similar	3.68	3.75	-0.06
Enterprise applications: Salesforce, SAP web, SAGE web or any other web-based ERP/CRM	3.25	2.77	0.48
Infrastructure/applications: FiWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AWS, Google Cloud, Heroku or similar	3.42	2.66	0.76
Farm management applications: any web or mobile app to manage the farm such as a field diary and livestock management	4.08	3.72	0.35

Summarizing, the less advanced cloud services are perceived to be more used by farmers than DIHs consider important, while the most advanced cloud services are less used than DIHs perceive important. Considering that the cloud is considered an enabler for digital transformation and their use is still low, DIHs should be leading awareness actions on using cloud services.

3.8 DIGITAL SERVICES

It is important to know the importance of digital services for farmer's businesses according to DIHs and also the application areas they are assessing farmer needs. We also asked for the different tools and methods DIHs are using to assess that farmer needs.

Concerning the importance, DIHs reported it scoring digital services on a scale from 1 to 5. All services have a score higher than 3.




Figure 32 - Importance of digital services for farmers according to DIHs

The services with the highest importance are "Monitor farming and agro-industry conditions to make better decisions (e.g. sensoring)" (4.29), "Predict harvest, production, diseases, weather, maintenance on equipment or market conditions" (4.25) and "Analyse existing own data from field, livestock, business or customers to make informed decisions (business intelligence)" (4.24). The lowest score is for "Use virtual environments for training, education or collaboration using glasses" (3.14).

Table 28 - Importance of digital services for farmers' businesses according to DIHs

Digital Services	Rank 0-5
Obtain and analyse aerial images to make better decisions (e.g. obtained with satellites or drones)	4.19
Analyse existing own data from field, livestock, business or customers to make informed decisions (business intelligence)	4.24
Use of programmable robots for farming or agro-industry tasks, autonomous vehicles and any other autonomous collaborative machines	3.81
Monitor farming and agro-industry conditions to make better decisions (e.g. sensoring)	4.29
Access your data, applications, software and any other tools over the internet	4.10

predict harvest, production, diseases, weather, maintenance on equipment or market conditions	4.25
Use virtual environments for training, education or collaboration using glasses	3.14
Overlay a digital layer to reality or use video inmersive experiences to improve information management in the field or agro-industry using smartphones or glasses	3.23
Using technology to track and monitor product delivery and supply chain	3.92

We asked DIHs to indicate whether or not they are assessing farmers' needs in specific Digital Services. The most assessed application area is "Monitor farming and agro-industry conditions to make better decisions (e.g. sensoring)" (0.73), "Analyse existing own data from field, livestock, business or customers to make informed decisions (business intelligence)" (0.70) and "Access your data, applications, software and any other tools over the internet" (0.67)

Table 29 - Ranking of assessment of farmers' needs

Digital Services	Rank 0-5
Obtain and analyse aerial images to make better decisions (e.g. obtained with satellites or drones)	0.62
Analyse existing own data from field, livestock, business or customers to make informed decisions (business intelligence)	0.70
Use of programmable robots for farming or agro-industry tasks, autonomous vehicles and any other autonomous collaborative machines	0.46
Monitor farming and agro-industry conditions to make better decisions (e.g. sensoring)	0.73
Access your data, applications, software and any other tools over the internet	0.67
Predict harvest, production, diseases, weather, maintenance on equipment or market conditions	0.62
Use virtual environments for training, education or collaboration using glasses	0.24
Overlay a digital layer to reality or use video immersive experiences to improve information management in the field or agro-industry using smartphones or glasses	0.25
Using technology to track and monitor product delivery and supply chain	0.52

It is possible to dig more into the needs in order to know what services addressing those needs should be more important for farmers, according to the DIH point of view, and the services DIHs are already offering assessing farmers in concrete application areas. Let's see the latter first.

As we can see in the Figure 33, DIHs are assessing farmers' needs mainly in these application areas:

- 1. Monitor farming and agro-industry conditions (for example: sensoring).
- 2. Analyse existing own data from field, livestock, business or customers. That is business intelligence.
- 3. Access data, applications, software and any other tool over the internet.




Figure 33 - Application areas assessed by DIHs

If we connect these results with the DIH perception on how important concrete digital services are for farmers, it is possible to see that there is some correlation between the applications areas DIHs are assessing and how important they see digital services.

These two application areas DIHs are assessing the most are two out of the three most important digital services.

We observed a close relationship between importance and ongoing assessments that would show that DIHs are putting efforts in what they consider relevant.




Figure 34 - Assessing versus importance

In view of these results, we can advance that the most important digital services are related to production, like sensoring and monitoring, business intelligence and predictive analysis.

3.9 SWOT ANALYSIS

In order to be able to have a very wide overview of what strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats farmers have we asked them to respond with a free text to some questions. Texts were translated into English for the analysis.

Farmers

Concerning farmers, the best outcomes come from the "Challenges" question, where profitability and business are perceived as the most challenging, followed up by innovation, work-life balance and succession. In the rest of the questions, production, business and price related words are always the most important.

Strengths are quite related to production and knowledge, also to experience. In fact, they are saying that they have a very good basis to work with, they are strong in the most basic part of the sector.

However, they are not good enough in costs and making the activity as profitable as they would desire.

Threats are just highlighting those weaknesses. They have pointed out competition and prices as the most important aspects they have to deal with. Also, climate is one of their main concerns.

According to that situation, opportunities they remark are just in line to continue improving their strengths, have a big impact in their weaknesses and reduce their threats. These opportunities are related to improving, production, use of data, decision-making and climate.

Detailed information and tables of this analysis can be found below.

Strengths are quite related to production and knowledge, also to experience.

Weaknesses: farmers consider they are not good enough in costs and making the activity as profitable as they would desire.

Threats: farmers have pointed out competition and prices as the most important aspects they have to deal with. Also, climate is one of their main concerns.

Opportunities are related to improving, production, use of data, decision-making and climate.

Strengths

Production (53), Knowledge (44) and Experience (37), innovation (36) and work (30) are the five most commonly mentioned strengths.

Table 30 - Strengths of Farmers

STRENGTHS	number
production	53
knowledge	44

experience	37
innovation	36
work	30
quality	27
technology	25
adaptability	16
perseverance	16



Figure 35 - Strengths of farmers word cloud

Challenges

There are 5 main categories of answers. Profitability, cost and business (231) is the most common challenge, followed by Innovation (138).

Table 31 - Challenges of Farmers

Challenges	Number
profitability, cost, business	231
innovation	138
work-life balance	88
succession	48
environment&health	48



Figure 36 - Challenges of farmers word cloud

Opportunities

Production (51), Improvements (47), Data (42), Decision Making (40) and Climate (40) are the five most common opportunities perceived.

Table 32 - Opportunities of Farmers

Opportunities	Number
production	51
improvements	47
data	42
decision-making	40
time	32
costs	31
control	27
efficiency	27
management	26



Figure 37 - Opportunities of farmers word cloud

Threats

In this case, Price (57), Climate (40) and Competition (27) are the most common threads perceived.

Table 33 - Threats of Farmers

Threats	Number
price	57
climate	40
competition	27
change	25
costs	24
products	23
production	19
farmers	17
market	14



Figure 38 - Threats of farmers word cloud

Ambitions

Business (51), production (27), and quality (24) are most scored categories.

Table 34 - Ambitions of Farmers

Ambitions	Number
business	56
production	27
quality	24



Figure 39 - Ambitions of farmers word cloud

Needs to Fulfil Ambitions

In the case of needs to fulfil ambitions, farmers marked as most important issues funding (46), support (40) and technology (36).

Table 35 - Needs of Farmers to fulfil ambitions

Needs	Number
funding	46
support	40
technology	36
prices	31
knowledge	27
innovation	13
products	12



Figure 40 - Main needs to fulfil ambitions mentioned by farmers

Having a look at the most important farmers' needs from section 3.4, we can see that there is a coincidence:

- 1. The need to optimise farm operations
- 2. The need to combine and exchange data to create value/ The need to utilise data to make better decisions
- 3. The need for environmentally-sustainable production

Having in mind that they gave a score of more than 3 in a 1 to 5 scale when talking about the importance of digital services, these opportunities addressed by digitalisation.

We also asked farmers for their ambitions and needs to fulfil them. In relation to the farmer, they mark as more important: business, growth and production. Concerning the latter, they believe they need funding, support and technologies. All this is also in line with the most important services pointed out by farmers, which are the following:

- 1. Technical support to incorporate new technologies in their farming business
- 2. Skills and Education
- 3. Access to finance and funding
- 4. Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector

DIHs

Regarding DIHs, similar questions were included in the survey. As every DIH responded in English there was no need to cope with translations.

Generally speaking, DIHs SWOT analysis is very aligned with the rest of the results.

They mention as strengths the words network, innovation and research. This is connected with the results in the sections 3.1 (survey distribution and data collection) and 3.2 (digital innovation hubs ecosystem) where shortcomings of connections from DIHs with farmers and the farming ecosystem are pointed out. However, they have developed more connections between DIHs and research and education centres. On the other hand, DIHs have considered R&D as the most important innovation service from their own point of view.

We also asked them for their main contributions to the sector, then they mention research, innovation and digital; and concerning their ambitions, they mention innovation, and technologies.

Again, research is their main contribution, supporting the previous statement about the importance of R&D for DIHs.

According to their challenges, they are about digital, innovation and funding while their needs to fulfil ambitions are funding, support, network, knowledge and digital technologies.

It is also noticeable that DIHs mention network both as a strength and as a need to fulfil their ambitions.

Regarding technology, it is remarkable that is considered both as an ambition and as a need to fulfil their ambitions.

Also, funding is mentioned as a challenge and as a need to fulfil their ambitions. These is strongly disconnected to farmers and the farming ecosystem, and it is reflected in section 3.4 (table 23), where access to finance and funding is the only innovation service that DIHs perceive less available than farmers.

We can imagine DIHs as research-focused institutions, considering they have a strong network but probably not the right one to connect with farmers and the farming ecosystem, without a clear business model nor customer – centric approach and with a high dependency on public funding.

More details regarding this SWOT analysis can be found below.

Strengths: network, innovation and research.

Ambitions: innovation and technologies.

Challenges: innovation and funding.

Needs: funding, support, network, knowledge and digital technologies.

Strengths

DIHs mention network, innovation and research as their main strengths, although network has been identified as a key weakness.

Considering innovation and research as strengths is aligned with their connections and ecosystem.

Table 36 - Strengths of DIHs

Strengths	Number		
network	17		
farmers	16		
DIH	11		
sector	9		
innovation	7		
research	7		



Figure 41 - Strengths of DIHs

Challenges

DIHs mention digital, innovation and funding as challenges.

Table 37 - Challenges of DIHs

Challenges	Number			
sector	13			
farmers	11			
digital	8			
farm	7			
innovation	7			
funding	7			
agriculture				



Figure 42 - Challenges of DIHs

Contribution

When asked about their biggest contributions to the sector, besides common words, DIHs mention research, innovation and digital.

Table 38 - Biggest contributions of DIHs

Contributions	Number
sector	11
agriculture	10
research	10
innovation	8
farmers	7
digital	6



Ambitions

Regarding their ambitions, DIHs mention innovation and technologies.

Table 39 - Ambitions of DIHs



Figure 44 - Ambitions of DIHs

Needs to Fulfil Ambitions

It is noticeable that DIHs mention funding as their main need to fulfil their ambitions, followed by support, network, knowledge and digital technologies.

Table 40 - Needs to fulfil ambitions of DIHs

Needs	number
funding	12
support	10
network	9
need	7
knowledge	7
digital technologies	5



Figure 45 - Needs to fulfil ambitions of DIHs

As final conclusion, best outcomes comes from the "Challenges" question, where profitability and business are perceived as the most challenging, followed up by innovation, work-life balance and succession. In the rest of the questions production, business and price related words are always the most important.

3.10 INNOVATION CAPACITY AND ENTREPRENEURIAL MINDSET

We obtain an indicator for the innovation capacity and entrepreneurial mindset of the farmers based on a list of statements that were provided in the farmers' survey. Farmers were asked to agree with them using a range of responses from "not at all" to "very much", moving through "very little" and "somewhat".

In most cases "Not at all" has been given a score of 1 and "Very Much" a score of 4, except for the statement "Experience and technical knowledge is the primary driver to make decisions about farm and business" where "Not at all" scores 4 (as it is a false statement) and "Very Much" scores 1.

The average of these numeric scores is the **INNOVAINDEX: Innovation and Entrepreneurship Mindset Indicator.**

INNOVAINDEX: This is an indicator defined as part of the survey methodology.

INNOVAINDEX measures the innovation capacity and entrepreneurship mindset of farmers based on their answers to that series of statements.

Statements are, with one exception, positive factors to innovation maturity.

This is an indicator defined as part of the survey methodology. Innoval ndex measures the innovation capacity and entrepreneurship mindset of farmers based on their answers to that series of statements. Statements are, with one exception, positive factors to innovation maturity.

A higher InnovaIndex indicates a higher capacity of innovation and entrepreneurship mindset.

InnovaIndex Relationship to Sector and Subjective Size of The Farm

An analysis of the variations in InnovaIndex across the different groups of subjective farm size indicates a direct link, with the largest the subjective size of the farm, the higher the capacity of the farm to innovate.

Table 41 - InnovaIndex according to the relative size of farms

Size	INNOVAINDEX	VARIANCE of INNOVAINDEX
Small	2.54	0.12
Small/Medium	2.68	0.26
Medium	2.65	0.16
Medium/Big	2.97	0.11
Big	2.98	0.15
Grand Total	2.70	0.19

InnovaIndex is also strongly linked to the main sector assigned to the farmer, as stated in Table 42. Olive trees, vegetables, fruits and vineyard are the least innovative sectors, while piggery, dairy, poultry and greenhouses are the most innovative ones.

It is noticeable that sample variance is higher for poultry and agroforestry sectors, so these data should be treated with care.

Table 42 - InnovaIndex in relation to main sectors

Main sectors		VAR of	COUNT of
	INNOVAINDEX	INNOVAINDEX	INNOVAINDEX
Olive trees	2.59	0.153	94
Vegetables	2.60	0.094	16
Fruits	2.65	0.155	43
Vineyard	2.67	0.174	23
Arable farming	2.67	0.217	78
Mixed	2.68	0.152	25
Animal husbandry (i.e. cattle, sheep, goat)	2.70	0.145	58
Agroforestry	2.71	0.308	17
Greenhouses	2.79	0.155	20
Poultry	2.80	0.572	6
Dairy	2.89	0.241	31
Piggery	3.03	0.087	27
Grand Total	2.70	0.184	438

In line with the previous results, InnovaIndex is linked to the subjective size of the farm in every sector.

Table 43 - InnovaIndex according to main sector and subjective size of farms

InnovaIndex by Size Sector	Subjective size of the farm				
Sector	1 Smallest	2 Small	3 Medium	4 Big	5 Biggest
Olive trees	2.50	2.57	2.53	3.12	2.91
Vegetables	2.30	2.93	2.80	2.73	2.47
Fruits	2.62	2.81	2.43	3.00	2.69
Vineyard	2.43	2.87	2.53	3.00	2.69
Arable farming	2.47	2.41	2.59	2.88	3.08
Mixed	2.48	2.94	2.66		
Animal husbandry (i.e. cattle, sheep, goat, please give us more detail below)	2.66	2.56	2.68	3.17	3.36
Agroforestry	2.59	2.73	2.80	3.00	2.47
Greenhouses	2.74	2.87	2.70	2.93	3.20
Poultry			2.73	3.03	3.30
Dairy	2.52	2.87	2.87	2.92	3.28
Piggery	2.73	3.02	3.10	2.92	3.06

InnovaIndex and Challenges

Innovation and entrepreneurship mindset are closely related to a decrease in challenges such as profitability, cost and business, and an increase in challenges such as innovation. InnovaIndex is not related to any other challenges reported by Farmers.




Figure 46 - InnovaIndex and challenges

Table 44 - InnovaIndex in farmers according to challenges

InnovaIndex in farmers x challenges	Grouped INNOVAINDEX					
	< 2.30	2.30 - 2.60	2.60 - 2.90	2.90 - 3.18	> 3.18	Total
Profitability, cost, business	40	47	72	41	31	231
Innovation	7	23	49	33	26	138
Work-life balance	12	15	29	20	12	88
Succession	10	11	13	8	6	48
Environment & health	5	10	18	10	5	48
Mean InnovaIndex	2.02	2.44	2.73	3.01	3.37	2.72
Total of surveys	90	111	170	110	89	570

Regional Cluster Results and Differences

We analysed the changes in InnovaIndex across the different regions and did not find any significant relationships or differences.

Most relevant outcome from this data is that there is no correlation between InnovaIndex and the Regional Cluster.

Table 45 - InnovaIndex across the different Regional Cluster

Regional Cluster	mean of INNOVAINDEX	variance of INNOVAINDEX	Number of INNOVAINDEX
Iberia	2.64	0.18	242
Italy & Malta	2.65	0.13	110
North-East Europe	2.69	0.30	25
UK & Ireland	2.82	0.19	38
South-East Europe	2.83	0.19	89
North-West Europe	3.04	0.15	41
Grand Total	2.72	0.19	545

DIH Results and Differences

We analysed the changes in InnovaIndex across the different DIHs that obtained the minimum of 19 completed farmers surveys trying to see if there were any significant trend, pattern, difference, etc. but we did not find any.

Table 46 - InnovaIndex across the different Digital Innovation Hubs

Digital Innovation Hubs	mean of INNOVAINDEX	variance of INNOVAINDEX	Number of INNOVAIND EX
Andalucía Aggrotech DIH	2.63	0.14	106
COLDIRETTI	2.64	0.15	53
DIHGAS: Digital Innovation Hub for Galician Sector.	2.62	0.19	31
RIOHUB	2.63	0.19	22
UE COOP	2.66	0.12	24
Grand Total	2.63	0.15	236

Once the analysis was developed assessing the variation in the InnovaIndex across the different groups of farms categories (farm size, sectors, farm subjective size, etc.), the following main insights were extracted:

- Bigger farms show an overall higher innovation capacity and entrepreneurship mindset in all sectors (InnovaIndex).
- A higher InnovaIndex is usually associated with farmers that perceive innovation as more challenging than profitability. Small and Medium farms give more priority to profitability. This indicates bigger farms are more aware of the importance of digital innovation, being one step ahead of medium and smaller farms.
- InnovaIndex is also closely linked to sectors (so there are sectors that are more innovative than others) and challenges (more innovative farms declare innovation as more challenging than profitability and business) but not to RC nor DIHs.

3.11 FLAGSHIP INNOVATION EXPERIMENTS

We analysed Flagship Innovation Experiments (FIEs) catalogued in SmartAgriHubs¹² in terms of digitalisation needs covered and innovation services provided.

Regarding the digitalisation needs, a score of 1 was assigned if the need was specifically covered by the FIE, or zero if it was not. Same scoring was applied to innovation services being delivered by FIEs to farmers, assigning 1 if it was explicitly delivered, and a 0 if it was not.

It is noticeable that scoring is assigned considering farmers as target beneficiaries of FIEs, while the agri-food industry and consumers and the whole society are users (regarding user acceptance). In case of considering the service providers as beneficiaries results may show remarkable differences.

Table 47 - Needs covered by FIEs

¹² Deliverable 3.2 IE Execution Plan and Flagship Innovation Experiments section in SmartAgriHubs website: https://smartagrihubs.eu/flagship-innovation-experiments

Needs Covered by FIEs	Value
The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork	0.21
The need to optimise farm operations	0.75
The need for changing the way to do business	0.21
The need to combine and exchange data to create value/ The need to utilise data to make better decisions	0.86
The need for environmentally-sustainable production	0.50

Source table: Own elaboration based on Deliverable 3.2 IE Execution Plan and Flagship Innovation Experiments section in SmartAgriHubs website.

The needs covered in FIEs are aligned with surveys for both farmers and DIHs. The first in the classification is "The need to utilise data to make better decisions" followed by "The need to optimise farm operations"

Flagship Innovation Experiments most delivered innovation services are product testing, R&D, skills and education and technical support. These four innovation services are also the most important for farmers.

For DIHs, these four innovation services are also considered important, along with Community Building, Visioning and Strategy Development, Access to finance and funding and User acceptance.

Table 48 - Innovation services delivered by FIEs

Innovation services delivered by FIEs	Value
Access to finance and funding	0.04
Business planning support	0.29
Skills and Education	0.43
(Collaborative) R&D	0.68
Technical Support	0.43
Product testing	0.75
Incubator/Accelerator	0.18
Mentoring (in the network)	0.11
Visioning and Strategy Development	0.18
User acceptance	0.18
Community Building	0.11

Source table: Own elaboration based on Deliverable 3.2 IE Execution Plan and Flagship Innovation Experiments section in SmartAgriHubs website.

Flagship Innovation Experiments are focused in bringing technology to farmers, covering opportunities related to the improvement of production and the creation of value with data. Helping in the long term to the digital and innovation challenges.

Results are aligned with surveys for both farmers and DIHs, the digitalisation needs most covered are **data** ("The need to combine and exchange data to create value/The need to

utilise data to make better decisions") and **optimization of farm operations** ("The need to optimise farm operations"), followed up by "The need for environmentally-sustainable production".

The least covered digitalisation needs are **traceability** ("The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork") and **business model innovation** ("The need for changing the way to do business"), also aligned with farmers and DIHs.

In terms of digitalisation needs, Flagship Innovation Experiments are closely aligned to farmers and DIHs priorities and perception.

As a suggestion, the SAH project should promote (with open calls and other methods) those experiments that help to provide services less represented in the actual Flagship Innovation Experiments within the project. Thus, experiments that deliver services in community building, mentoring trough networks and access to finance and funding.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This chapter aims at connecting the results obtained to deliver actionable conclusions in order to help DIHs and RCs to unleash the innovation potential for digital transformation in the agrifood sector by boosting the uptake of digital solutions by the farming sector.

Five main transversal topics were extracted from the cross - analysis of the results:

- The role of the Digital Innovation Hubs in the digital innovation of the agrifood sector, that refers to general conclusions about the DIHs ecosystem and network connections, digitalisation needs, digitalisation services, innovation services and cloud service. How farmers are still focused on optimizing production opposed to changing business model with a customer - centric approach, as initially suggested by the results about digitalisation needs of farmers and DIHs and supported by the overall results.
- The key differences between farmers regarding digital needs and innovation services, as identified in the results regarding InnovaIndex.
- Actionable analysis of the innovation services to be provided by DIHs, coming from the farmers perspective on innovation services and the evidence that DIHs need a tool to incorporate that perspective and take action.
- Lessons learned about methodology, with specific topics considered useful to further projects in the agrifood sector.

Every topic includes conclusions and general recommendations to be taken into consideration by Digital Innovation Hubs and adapted to their local ecosystems.

In addition, it has also been tried to extract the key trends on which it is necessary to reinforce the DIH capacity building tasks throughout the project, in order to be a successful approaching with the agrifood sector.

4.1 DIHS ROLE IN DIGITAL INNOVATION

We identified six main issues about DIHs that are worth a more thoughtful analysis: Ecosystem, digitalisation Needs, Vision of "Digital", Cloud Services, Digital Services and Innovation Services.

• **Ecosystem:** Most DIHs network connections are with University/Research Centres, Local SMEs, Competence Centres, Farmer associations and communities, local governments and education & training institutes. **Connections with larger local businesses and start-up programmes are less common.**

Digital Innovation Hubs, in SmartAgriHubs, are meant to serve the farming ecosystem and their customers but the results of the survey participation show a lack of connection with them. The focus on education, government and institutions also influences the vision of innovation services provided by the DIHs.

DIHs need to start mapping their agrifood innovation ecosystem, including the connections mentioned in the survey (University and research centres, local innovative SMEs, competence centres, farmer associations and communities, local governments, education and training institutes, local larger businesses and incubator, accelerator and any other start-up programs), but also any other relevant organisations, people, services and resources related to agrifood innovation¹³.

¹³ https://www.startupcommons.org/blog/mapping-startup-ecosystems

Then, connections with the farming ecosystem need to be fostered by developing community-based customer-centric strategies, with clear objectives and key results¹⁴, real time monitoring and co-creation and knowledge-sharing sessions both within local ecosystems and Regional Clusters at European level.

• **Digitalisation Needs:** DIHs are aligned with farmers in their perception of the digitalisation needs of the farming ecosystem, both detecting as most needed "optimize production" and least needed "track and trace" and "change business models".

On the one hand, this alignment is a good starting point, showing that DIHS and farmers are both incumbents in the farming ecosystem with shared perspectives.

On the other hand, business model innovation, transformation and disruption are fundamental in digital innovation. Then, communication and awareness of these issues will be key to allow DIHs to lead the digital innovation.

Good examples about communicating innovation are: curating existing content and distributing it via periodic newsletter, web and social media; organising live events for innovators in agrifood to show their own approach, or hosting informal and experiential education events like business hackathons and innovation design workshops.

- Vision of "digital": The DIHs vision of the concept of "digital" is more focused on data and culture, mindset or business processes than in technology and customer-centric activities. Again, raising awareness on technology and customer-centric approaches will be fundamental to give the DIHs tools to lead the farming ecosystem digital shift.
- Digital services: As observed in the Farmers surveys, the digital services considered more relevant by respondents from the DIHs point of view are those associated to production (monitoring, sensoring, descriptive and predictive analysis). We extend the recommendation of raising awareness about digital services with deeper impact on business models and customer relationship.
- **Innovation services:** Participation in R&D collaborative projects, Community building, Visioning and Strategy Development and Skills and Education are the innovation services that DIHs consider more important while Incubator/Accelerator is the least important.

Priorities in terms of innovation services are consistent with the influence of the network previously analysed in this subchapter.

Although community building is considered important for most DIHs the ecosystem analysis and lack of connections resulting from the scarcity of surveys, shows that improvement is needed in this respect.

This report shows the differences between the perception of innovation of DIHs and farmers ecosystem. Communication and monitor the perspective of farmers to DIHs periodically in a structured manner, like this report, will be fundamental for them to gain perspective and alignment on farmer's needs.

Cloud services: When we analyse cloud services, DIHs consider that the cloud services
more commonly used by Farmers are actually the least important ones for a successful
digitalisation of the sector, with the exception of Farms Management Applications.
Although cloud is considered to be the entry point to digital transformation and

_

¹⁴ https://rework.withgoogle.com/guides/set-goals-with-okrs/steps/introduction/

businesses in Europe are using these services very little, DIHs seems to understand that suffice.

DIHs should develop a strategy in order to create awareness on cloud services as well as providing skills and education.

4.2 PRODUCTION IS STILL IN THE FOUNDATION ROOTS OF EUROPEAN FARMERS

Farmers put the need to optimize farm operations as their main need in relation to digital transformation. Most concepts indicated in the SWOT analysis-related questions of the survey are somehow tied to production: Strengths mentioned include production, knowledge and experience; Threads include price, climate and competition; Ambitions include business growth, continuation and production. What they need to fulfil their ambitions is funding, support, technology and prices.

This Farmers focus on production is matched by the DIHs. The optimization of farm operations is also in the top of the list of needs for both of them, at the same level as the utilization of data and the need for environmentally-sustainable production.

It is interesting to observe that for both farmers and DIHs the needs "to change the way they do business" and "to track and trace" are less interesting. This pattern is consistent and uniform for all sectors and there are only slight differences in Organic, Agroforestry and Fruits and Vegetables, where the relative interest in the utilization of data is slightly lower than in the rest of the group. The interest in environmentally-sustainable production is slightly higher. The lowest interest across all sectors, sizes and Regional Clusters is the "need to change the way they do business".

These priorities are aligned with the definition of "digital" reported by Farmers and DIHs. According to their answers, in **both groups "digital" is considered in its relation to culture and business processes** (constant innovation, flat decision-making, and the integration of technology into all phases of the business as stated in the survey). This option was indicated significantly more often than the other options presented in the survey. Data and analytics activities as well as innovation-related activities, followed in popularity.

It is worth mentioning that definitions of "digital" in relation to customers and marketing were seldom selected by both Farmers and DIHs. This is aligned with the prioritisation of production and the traditional agrifood distribution funnel composition in Europe¹⁵, which show a deep disconnection between producers and customers.

This prioritisation of production-related issues is also observed in the answers to questions related to digital services. The most important digital services indicated by DIHs are those related to productivity: sensoring, predictive analysis and business intelligence.

While the focus on productivity is understandable and positive, it is important to ensure that Farmers and DIHs go beyond "digital" as an incremental innovation on means of production and pay attention to changes in business models and customer-centric approaches too. Production-related interventions are easily accepted by the sector as they have a direct impact in sales, productivity, etc., but other aspects of

85/204

¹⁵ The supply funnel in Europe (https://www.weltagrarbericht.de/reports/NAE/images/NAE_2_2-22.psd.jpg)

digital such as business model innovation, transformation and disruption, customer-centric approach and digital culture can't be neglected ¹⁶.

Strategies to reinforce the innovation related to production are well needed, mainly starting with a set of ecosystem building tools and skills to the DIHs, communication strategies and curated content to keep on leading innovation in their local agrifood ecosystem.

Thinking out-of-the-box is difficult for the incumbents in every sector, and that is also reflected for DIHs and the agrifood sector in terms of business model innovation, transformation and disruption and customer-centric approach.

Identifying the innovators, helping them to explore different approaches like the customer-centric and business model innovation ones will be needed to make a more significant impact. Trying different approaches like business innovation factories, where the change is designed by an entrant or disruptor; or partnership between the agrifood ecosystem and startups and pure digital companies needs to be evaluated in order to foster the cultural changes needed to take advantage of the vibrant European agrifood sector.

Overall, DIHs need to start having and sharing experiences about innovating in the agrifood sector.

4.3 DIFFERENT FARMERS, DIFFERENT NEEDS

Most of the aspects analysed in this report are related to the size of farms: digitalisation needs, innovation services importance and availability, innovation and entrepreneurship mindset, innovation and profitability challenges. Subjective size impacts the perceived necessity for these interventions more than any other characteristic of the farms, such as the sector or the Regional Cluster.

Considering the variety of sectors included in the analysis, subjective size reflects better the economic dimension of the farm, an indicator widely used in EU agriculture analysis as ESU¹⁷ (economic size units). This way, having in mind all the indicators of size provided in the survey, including size in number of workers, size in Has and number of livestock, it is the subjective size classification the one that throws more interesting results in the analysis.

Size measured in number of livestock shows also some consistency, as it does for size in terms of number of workers. Two indicators closely related to the economic dimension of the farm. Also, the size measured in number of Has shows no relationship at all with every other aspect of the farm, considering that greenhouses and agroforestry could be both considered small with a huge difference in terms of Has.

We extracted the following insights based on that subjective size classification (five categories from small to big):

• Bigger farms in every sector show an overall higher innovation capacity and entrepreneurial mindset, reflected in the report as InnovaIndex. InnovaIndex is an indicator defined as part of the survey methodology that measures the innovation capacity and entrepreneurship mindset of farmers based on their answers to a series of statements that shows a consistent behaviour explaining differences between farmers.

¹⁶ Why digital strategies fail, MacKinsey (https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/digital-mckinsey/our-insights/why-digital-strategies-fail)

¹⁷ https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Glossary:European_size_unit_(ESU)

- A higher InnovaIndex is usually associated with farmers that perceive innovation as more challenging than profitability. Small and Medium farms give more priority to profitability. This indicates bigger farms are more aware of the importance of digital innovation, being one step ahead of medium and smaller farms.
- Bigger farms give more importance to their digital needs. While sharing priorities, the need to optimize their farm operations and to utilize data to make better decisions are considered even more relevant than in smaller farms, that give more relative importance to the need "to track and trace" and "environmentally-sustainable production".
- Some services are clearly more relevant in larger farms than in smaller farms, such as Participation in collaborative projects, Technical support to incorporate new technologies and Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing action
- For these large units, the gap between the availability and the importance is negative for the innovation service access to finance and funding. So, bigger farms perceive more availability of finance and funding than the importance they give to this service.
 - This is an interesting behaviour that is not found in other innovation services or in smaller farms. This should lead to monitor and evaluate the impact of the finance and funding services for bigger farms in terms of digital transformation.
- A higher innovation capacity and entrepreneurship mindset is also strongly linked to
 more industrialised sectors like piggery, dairy, poultry and greenhouses. On the other
 hand, olive trees, vegetables, fruits and vineyard are the least innovative sectors. But
 the location of the farm in terms of Regional Cluster doesn't explain differences
 in terms of innovation capacity or entrepreneurship mindset. Innovators are
 everywhere and they appear to choose some specific sectors to thrive.

These points confirm that there is an alignment and successful performance of innovation services in larger farms and specific sectors. These farms are aware of the need to innovate and the importance of innovation services and services provided by DIHs are aligned with their needs. We can deduce that the impact is being positive and they want more of it: They report being more challenged by innovation than by profitability.

The biggest challenge now is to improve awareness and the provision of services and support to smaller farms and less innovative sectors.

4.4 AN ACTIONABLE GUIDE FOR INNOVATION SERVICES

We found four different relationships between perceived importance and availability (expressed as the gap between the importance and the availability) of the innovation services from the farmers' point of view:

- (Hi-Imp/Sm-Gap) high importance, small gap: this reflects the situation in which innovation services that farmers consider important are also perceived by the farmers to be delivered by DIHs.
- (Hi-Imp/Bi-Gap) high importance, big gap: this describes the situation in which innovation services that farmers consider important, are perceived not yet to be fully delivered by DIHs.
- (Lo-Imp/Sm-Gap) low importance, small gap: this pinpoints the situation in which innovation services that farmers consider unimportant are perceived to be delivered by DIHs.
- (Lo-Imp/Bi-Gap) low importance, big gap: this is about the situation in which farmers do not know whether innovation services that farmers consider unimportant are delivered by DIHs or not.
 - Although specific strategies need to be defined for each of the services, this preliminary classification in quadrants enables us to give initial recommendations for each of the four categories studied.




Figure 47 - Innovation services quadrant according to importance and gap between importance and availability

Services that are important and are properly delivered (Hi-Imp/Sm-Gap) include skills and education, and participation in collaborative projects. The interventions in relation to these services should be maintained.

Services that are important but are not properly delivered (Hi-Imp/Bi-Gap) include the technical support, participation in pilot projects and community building. The delivering entities should make a reflection and analyse the way these services have been traditionally delivered as well as what corrective actions could improve the delivery of these services to farmers across Regional Clusters. The general recommendation for services in this quadrant is to improve & extend.

Moving on to the analysis of services that have lower priorities and for which delivery expectations are met (Lo-Imp/Sm-Gap), this quadrant includes the access to finance and funding and business planning support. These services are required to ensure the viability of projects and are dependencies for many of the remaining services so they shall not be overlooked. Thus, we recommend to **continuously monitor them and measure their impact**, but there are no immediate interventions required.

Lastly, services that are reported as relevant and for which there are demands of improvement (Lo-Imp/Bi-Gap) are mentoring, visioning and strategy development, user acceptance and incubator/accelerator. Once (Hi-Imp/Bi-Gap) services are satisfied, the focus on improvements could move to these services. Increasing the overall awareness of initiatives covering these services could be a quick win for this category.

It is noticeable that DIHs perception about the importance and availability of innovation services is more optimistic than farmers', except for the access to finance and finance. Considering this bias, it is even more relevant that DIHs contrast their perception with data about the farmers' point of view.

Besides general recommendations for innovation services stated in this subchapter, providing this methodology as a self-assessment tool for DIHs, including survey design, distribution, collection and analysis tools could lead to a better understanding of the

perception of innovation services for their local ecosystem. Global and specific actions for every aspect of the innovation services would surely increase the impact on digital innovation in the agrifood sector all over Europe.

4.5 METHODOLOGICAL REFLECTION

This subchapter includes the lessons learned along the survey design and data collection and analysis that served as the main basis for this deliverable. Connecting with an incipient network of hubs and farmers all over Europe and collecting more than a thousand of surveys in a few weeks by digital means in the agrifood sector is as challenging as satisfactory.

The first remarkable thing is the level of participation in general in both surveys. The total amount of surveys analysed reaches almost 1000. DIHs participation rate has been really high overpassing 60% and almost reaching 30% in the case of farmers and farming community. Both figures are clearly a success, although during the survey collection **many of the DIHs had no access to farmers**, as they are mainly driven by technology providers. Bringing closer these DIHs to farmers and the farming sector is one of the main challenges of this project. For that reason, we have tried to provide throughout this document some keys to be able to face it.

It is also important to highlight that a high number of respondents did not indicate the DIH and/or Regional Clusters they belong to, meaning that most respondents are not aware of the existence of this structure, at least in their territories.

The recommendation given in the data collection plan about a minimum number of surveys per DIH and Regional Cluster was validated in the analysis stage, as we observed only Regional Clusters with more than 20 surveys throw consistent analysis. Although Regional Clusters that met this requirement have been considered for Regional Cluster based analysis, it is not possible to develop full Regional Cluster based analysis. This shortlist of Regional Clusters includes Iberia, Italy & Malta, North-West Europe, South-East Europe, and UK & Ireland.

We observed that **surveys responded in mother tongues had significantly higher completion rates,** being a key factor of success the support of RC and DIHs in this multilingual approach. Most respondents preferred surveys in their mother tongues.

During the analysis of farmers surveys, we observed that the quantitative data coming from the Ecosystem respondents was considerably different from that provided by Producers. (74% producers vs 25% ecosystem)

The list of proposed sectors for Farmer Classification seems suited for this analysis. After extracting the vineyard category out of "Other", only 10% of respondents were not associated with at least one of the sectors listed. This extraction of the Vineyard sector validates the recommendation made to add Other as an option in lists and allowing respondents to personalize their answer.

The distribution of sectors is affected by the origin of the answers. For example, the most popular sectors overall are Arable Farming and Olive Trees, two very popular sectors in Iberia and Italy & Malta, the two regions with the largest number of responses.

5. ANNEX I: ADDITIONAL TABLES

Table 49 - Digitalisation needs farmers x main sector

Digitalisation needs farmers x main sector	The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork	The need to optimise farm operations	The need for changing the way to do business	The need to utilise data to make better decisions	The need for environ mentally - sustaina ble producti on	AVERAGE NEEDS
Poultry	2.67	3.17	3.00	3.17	2.83	2.97
Arable farming	2.71	3.37	3.03	3.19	2.99	3.06
Dairy	2.81	3.48	2.94	3.65	3.16	3.21
Animal husbandry (ie. cattle, sheep, goat)	3.34	3.48	3.16	3.19	3.24	3.28
Greenhouses	2.80	3.65	3.20	3.35	3.50	3.30
Olive trees	3.11	3.51	3.21	3.31	3.36	3.30
Fruits	3.26	3.70	3.05	3.33	3.19	3.30
Vineyard	3.00	3.61	2.74	3.43	3.78	3.31
Agroforestry	3.29	3.57	3.43	3.07	3.29	3.33
Piggery	3.30	3.67	3.19	3.33	3.22	3.34
Mixed	3.44	3.52	3.20	3.24	3.64	3.41
Vegetables	3.63	3.56	3.56	3.19	3.25	3.44
AVERAGE	3.09	3.52	3.12	3.29	3.27	3.26

Table 50 - Digitalisation needs farmers producers x size Has

Digitalisation needs farmers producers	Size in Has			
	1- Less than 5 Has	2- Between 5 and 30 Has	3- More than 30 Has	Grand Total
The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork	2.85	3.07	3.13	3.06
The need to optimise farm operations	3.32	3.39	3.62	3.50
The need for changing the way to do business	3.11	3.12	3.14	3.13
The need to utilise data to make better decisions	3.22	3.13	3.36	3.26
The need for environmentally-	3.23	3.17	3.32	3.26

sustainable production				
Average Digitalization	3.14	3.18	3.32	3.24
Needs				

Table 51 - Digitalisation needs farmers producers x size livestock

Digitalisation Needs Farmers Producers	Size Livestock							
	1- Less than 75 livestock animals	2- Between 75 and 300 livestock animals	3- More than 300 livestock animals	Grand Total				
The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork	2.82	3.02	3.18	3.05				
The need to optimise farm operations	3.36	3.41	3.69	3.53				
The need for changing the way to do business	3.07	3.16	3.19	3.16				
The need to utilise data to make better decisions	2.93	3.31	3.47	3.30				
The need for environmentally- sustainable production	3.00	3.12	3.27	3.17				
Average Digitalization Needs	3.04	3.20	3.36	3.24				

Table 52 - Digitalisation needs farmers producers x relative size

Digitalisation Needs Farmers Producers	RELATIVE SIZE						
	1	2	3	4	5	Grand Total	
The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork	3.01	3.14	3.12	3.07	3.08	3.09	
The need to optimise farm operations	3.39	3.45	3.49	3.78	3.76	3.52	
The need for changing the way to do business	3.04	3.14	3.09	3.24	3.27	3.12	
The need to utilise data to make better decisions	3.17	3.28	3.24	3.59	3.47	3.29	
The need for environmentally- sustainable production	3.22	3.35	3.21	3.37	3.35	3.27	
Average Digitalization Needs	3.17	3.27	3.23	3.41	3.39	3.26	

Table 53 - Digitalisation needs farmers producers x number of workers

Digitalisation needs farmers producers X number of workers	NUMBER OF WORKERS							
	1- Less than 2 people	2- Between 2 and 10 people	3- More than 10 people	Grand Total				
The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork	2.88	3.18	3.13	3.09				
The need to optimise farm operations	3.35	3.56	3.63	3.52				
The need for changing the way to do business	2.93	3.22	3.12	3.12				
The need to utilise data to make better decisions	3.07	3.33	3.45	3.29				
The need for environmentally- sustainable production	3.18	3.32	3.25	3.27				
Average Digitalization Needs	3.08	3.32	3.32	3.26				

Table 54 - Subjective size of the farm x importance of services, availability of services

Subjective size of the farm x importance of services, availability of services	Relative Size						
	Small 1	Small /Medium 2	Medium 3	Medium /Big 4	Big 5	Grand Total	
IMPORTANCE							
Access to finance and funding	3.58	3.91	3.99	4.02	3.90	3.87	
Business planning support	3.63	3.72	3.87	3.86	3.73	3.77	
Skills and Education	4.00	3.95	4.06	4.14	4.02	4.03	
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	3.79	3.80	3.88	4.17	4.23	3.91	
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	3.89	4.13	4.13	4.33	4.35	4.12	
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	3.62	3.88	3.84	4.10	4.31	3.87	
Incubator/Accelerator	3.43	3.47	3.51	3.36	3.46	3.47	
Mentoring	3.63	3.71	3.66	3.69	3.67	3.67	
Visioning and Strategy Development	3.57	3.75	3.73	3.64	3.88	3.71	
User acceptance	3.63	3.61	3.54	3.48	3.65	3.58	
Community Building	3.81	3.96	3.85	4.00	3.87	3.88	
AVAILABILITY							

Access to finance and funding	2.74	3.50	3.17	3.52	3.96	3.25
Business planning support	2.21	2.66	2.55	3.19	2.96	2.59
Skills and Education	3.32	3.45	3.15	3.33	3.42	3.29
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	2.20	2.74	2.48	3.24	3.42	2.63
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	2.51	2.89	2.95	3.43	3.19	2.91
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	2.14	2.32	2.64	2.95	3.04	2.55
Incubator/Accelerator	1.67	1.87	1.86	1.95	2.00	1.84
Mentoring	2.27	1.97	2.11	2.52	2.19	2.17
Visioning and Strategy Development	2.01	2.24	2.09	2.43	2.35	2.16
User acceptance	2.03	1.97	1.95	2.10	2.23	2.02
Community Building	2.33	2.63	2.44	3.24	2.38	2.51
IMPORTANCE	3.69	3.81	3.82	3.89	3.92	3.81
I'II UKIANCE	5.05	5.01	3.02	3.03	0.00	0.0_
AVAILABILITY	2.31	2.57	2.49	2.90	2.83	2.54
AVAILABILITY	2.31	2.57	2.49	2.90	2.83	2.54
AVAILABILITY GAP	2.31 1.38	2.57 1.24	2.49 1.33	2.90 0.99	2.83 1.08	2.54 1.27
AVAILABILITY GAP Access to finance and funding	2.31 1.38 0.84	2.57 1.24 0.41	2.49 1.33 0.82	2.90 0.99 0.50	2.83 1.08 -0.06	2.54 1.27 0.63
AVAILABILITY GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support	2.31 1.38 0.84 1.41	2.57 1.24 0.41 1.07	2.49 1.33 0.82 1.32	2.90 0.99 0.50 0.67	2.83 1.08 -0.06 0.77	2.54 1.27 0.63 1.18
AVAILABILITY GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and	2.31 1.38 0.84 1.41 0.68	2.57 1.24 0.41 1.07 0.50	2.49 1.33 0.82 1.32 0.91	2.90 0.99 0.50 0.67 0.81	2.83 1.08 -0.06 0.77 0.60	2.54 1.27 0.63 1.18 0.74
AVAILABILITY GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities Technical support to incorporate new	2.31 1.38 0.84 1.41 0.68 1.60	2.57 1.24 0.41 1.07 0.50 1.07	2.49 1.33 0.82 1.32 0.91 1.39	2.90 0.99 0.50 0.67 0.81 0.93	2.83 1.08 -0.06 0.77 0.60 0.81	2.54 1.27 0.63 1.18 0.74 1.28
AVAILABILITY GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and	2.31 1.38 0.84 1.41 0.68 1.60	2.57 1.24 0.41 1.07 0.50 1.07	2.49 1.33 0.82 1.32 0.91 1.39	2.90 0.99 0.50 0.67 0.81 0.93	2.83 1.08 -0.06 0.77 0.60 0.81	2.54 1.27 0.63 1.18 0.74 1.28
AVAILABILITY GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	2.31 1.38 0.84 1.41 0.68 1.60	2.57 1.24 0.41 1.07 0.50 1.07	2.49 1.33 0.82 1.32 0.91 1.39 1.18 1.20	2.90 0.99 0.50 0.67 0.81 0.93	2.83 1.08 -0.06 0.77 0.60 0.81 1.15	2.54 1.27 0.63 1.18 0.74 1.28 1.33
AVAILABILITY GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector Incubator/Accelerator	2.31 1.38 0.84 1.41 0.68 1.60 1.37 1.48	2.57 1.24 0.41 1.07 0.50 1.07 1.24 1.57	2.49 1.33 0.82 1.32 0.91 1.39 1.18 1.20	2.90 0.99 0.50 0.67 0.81 0.93 0.90 1.14	2.83 1.08 -0.06 0.77 0.60 0.81 1.15 1.27	2.54 1.27 0.63 1.18 0.74 1.28 1.21 1.33
AVAILABILITY GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector Incubator/Accelerator Mentoring	2.31 1.38 0.84 1.41 0.68 1.60 1.37 1.48	2.57 1.24 0.41 1.07 0.50 1.07 1.24 1.57	2.49 1.33 0.82 1.32 0.91 1.39 1.18 1.20 1.65 1.55	2.90 0.99 0.50 0.67 0.81 0.93 0.90 1.14	2.83 1.08 -0.06 0.77 0.60 0.81 1.15 1.27	2.54 1.27 0.63 1.18 0.74 1.28 1.21 1.33

Table 55 - Main sector x importance of services, availability of services (1)

MAIN SECTOR X
IMPORTANCE OF
SERVICES,
AVAILABILITY OF

Main Sector

SERVICES						
	Agroforestry	Animal husbandry	Arable farming	Dairy	Fruits	Greenh ouses
IMPORTANCE						
Access to finance and funding	3.57	3.66	3.90	4.13	3.88	4.10
Business planning support	3.50	3.76	3.79	3.77	3.77	3.95
Skills and Education	3.36	4.17	3.86	4.13	3.93	4.45
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	3.79	3.88	3.91	3.55	3.93	3.90
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	3.64	4.00	4.27	4.16	4.14	4.55
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	3.79	3.60	4.15	3.48	3.93	4.00
Incubator/Accelerator	3.21	3.24	3.46	3.10	3.65	3.75
Mentoring	3.50	3.90	3.78	3.55	3.58	3.70
Visioning and Strategy Development	3.36	3.53	3.64	3.45	3.67	3.80
User acceptance	3.07	3.31	3.45	3.45	3.70	3.90
Community Building	3.71	3.95	3.76	3.97	3.86	4.10
AVAILABILITY						
Access to finance and funding	3.00	3.55	3.13	3.32	3.14	3.50
Business planning support	2.43	2.62	2.67	2.81	2.81	2.20
Skills and Education	3.71	3.41	2.95	3.58	3.28	3.20
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	2.43	2.31	2.51	2.48	2.67	2.70
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	2.57	2.72	3.23	3.65	2.67	3.00
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	2.14	2.28	2.79	2.87	2.40	3.00
Incubator/Accelerator	2.14	1.66	1.90	1.90	1.84	2.40
Mentoring	2.29	2.48	2.21	2.55	2.02	2.00
Visioning and Strategy	2.00	2.14	2.15	2.16	2.30	2.30

Development						
User acceptance	2.14	1.83	2.05	2.61	1.88	1.80
Community Building	2.57	2.79	2.62	2.87	2.16	2.30
IMPORTANCE	3.50	3.73	3.82	3.70	3.82	4.02
AVAILABILITY	2.49	2.53	2.56	2.80	2.47	2.58
GAP	1.01	1.20	1.25	0.90	1.35	1.44
Access to finance and funding	0.57	0.10	0.77	0.81	0.74	0.60
Business planning support	1.07	1.14	1.13	0.97	0.95	1.75
Skills and Education	-0.36	0.76	0.91	0.55	0.65	1.25
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	1.36	1.57	1.40	1.06	1.26	1.20
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	1.07	1.28	1.04	0.52	1.47	1.55
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	1.64	1.33	1.36	0.61	1.53	1.00
Incubator/Accelerator	1.07	1.59	1.56	1.19	1.81	1.35
Mentoring	1.21	1.41	1.58	1.00	1.56	1.70
Visioning and Strategy Development	1.36	1.40	1.49	1.29	1.37	1.50
User acceptance	0.93	1.48	1.40	0.84	1.81	2.10
Community Building	1.14	1.16	1.14	1.10	1.70	1.80

Table 56 - Main sector x importance of services, availability of services (2)

Specific Sector X Importance of Services, Availability of Ser-Vices	Specific Sector						
	Mixed	Olive trees	Piggery	Poultry	Vegetables	Vineyard	
IMPORTANCE							
Access to finance and funding	4.04	3.84	3.81	3.33	3.94	4.39	
Business planning support	3.96	3.77	4.07	3.17	3.31	3.70	
Skills and Education	3.88	4.13	4.15	3.67	4.06	4.09	
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	4.04	3.99	4.07	3.33	3.75	4.13	
Technical support to incorporate new	3.92	4.04	4.19	3.33	4.38	4.04	

technologies in your farming business						
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	3.80	3.85	4.04	3.83	4.06	3.96
Incubator/Accelerator	3.52	3.66	3.63	2.83	3.06	3.43
Mentoring	3.60	3.62	3.67	2.83	3.75	3.57
Visioning and Strategy Development	3.84	3.90	4.00	3.17	3.38	3.83
User acceptance	3.68	3.74	3.59	3.33	3.44	3.70
Community Building	3.96	3.89	3.74	3.17	4.06	3.87
AVAILABILITY						
Access to finance and funding	3.16	2.91	4.04	4.33	2.38	3.52
Business planning support	2.52	1.98	3.30	3.33	2.50	2.83
Skills and Education	3.56	3.17	2.78	4.67	3.38	3.52
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	3.48	2.30	3.22	3.33	2.75	3.17
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	3.16	2.49	3.22	3.33	2.38	2.83
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	2.68	2.28	2.85	3.67	2.50	2.39
Incubator/Accelerator	1.80	1.66	1.67	2.67	1.63	1.87
Mentoring	2.44	1.79	1.89	1.67	2.50	2.04
Visioning and Strategy Development	2.20	2.04	1.89	2.00	1.88	2.04
User acceptance	1.96	1.87	1.81	2.67	1.88	1.96
Community Building	2.28	2.28	2.63	3.00	2.88	2.13
IMPORTANCE	3.84	3.86	3.91	3.27	3.74	3.88
AVAILABILITY	2.66	2.25	2.66	3.15	2.42	2.57
GAP	1.18	1.61	1.24	0.12	1.32	1.31
Access to finance and funding	0.88	0.93	-0.22	-1.00	1.56	0.87
Business planning support	1.44	1.79	0.78	-0.17	0.81	0.87
Skills and Education	0.32	0.96	1.37	-1.00	0.69	0.57

Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	0.56	1.69	0.85	0.00	1.00	0.96
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	0.76	1.55	0.96	0.00	2.00	1.22
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	1.12	1.57	1.19	0.17	1.56	1.57
Incubator/Accelerator	1.72	2.00	1.96	0.17	1.44	1.57
Mentoring	1.16	1.83	1.78	1.17	1.25	1.52
Visioning and Strategy Development	1.64	1.86	2.11	1.17	1.50	1.78
User acceptance	1.72	1.87	1.78	0.67	1.56	1.74
Community Building	1.68	1.62	1.11	0.17	1.19	1.74

Table 57 - Size of the farm has x importance of services, availability of services

Size of the farm has x importance of services,	Size Has					
availability of services.	1- Less than 5 Has	2- Between 5 and 30 Has	3- More than 30 Has	Grand Total		
IMPORTANCE						
Access to finance and funding	3.83	3.82	3.91	3.87		
Business planning support	3.78	3.83	3.77	3.79		
Skills and Education	3.97	3.98	4.07	4.02		
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	3.95	3.84	3.94	3.91		
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	4.03	4.08	4.16	4.11		
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	3.88	3.78	3.92	3.87		
Incubator/Accelerator	3.57	3.47	3.43	3.47		
Mentoring	3.74	3.63	3.70	3.68		
Visioning and Strategy Development	3.62	3.69	3.75	3.71		
User acceptance	3.68	3.55	3.56	3.57		
Community Building	3.94	3.95	3.79	3.87		

AVAILABILITY				
Access to finance and funding	2.63	3.10	3.46	3.20
Business planning support	2.17	2.51	2.68	2.54
Skills and Education	2.97	3.25	3.37	3.27
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	2.35	2.51	2.74	2.60
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	2.42	2.78	3.08	2.87
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	2.26	2.36	2.66	2.49
Incubator/Accelerator	1.74	1.85	1.75	1.78
Mentoring	2.05	2.14	2.15	2.13
Visioning and Strategy Development	2.20	2.05	2.16	2.13
User acceptance	1.92	1.97	1.99	1.97
Community Building	2.38	2.44	2.48	2.45
IMPORTANCE	3.82	3.78	3.82	3.81
the state of the s				
AVAILABILITY	2.28	2.45	2.59	2.49
AVAILABILITY GAP	2.28 1.54	2.45 1.33	2.59 1.23	2.49 1.31
GAP	1.54	1.33	1.23	1.31
GAP Access to finance and funding	1.54 1.20	1.33 0.73	1.23 0.45	1.31 0.66
GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support	1.54 1.20 1.62	1.33 0.73 1.32	1.23 0.45 1.10	1.31 0.66 1.25
GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies,	1.54 1.20 1.62 1.00	1.33 0.73 1.32 0.73	1.23 0.45 1.10 0.70	1.31 0.66 1.25 0.76
GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming	1.54 1.20 1.62 1.00 1.60	1.33 0.73 1.32 0.73 1.34	1.23 0.45 1.10 0.70 1.20	1.31 0.66 1.25 0.76 1.31
GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products	1.54 1.20 1.62 1.00 1.60	1.33 0.73 1.32 0.73 1.34	1.23 0.45 1.10 0.70 1.20	1.31 0.66 1.25 0.76 1.31
GAP Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	1.54 1.20 1.62 1.00 1.60 1.62	1.33 0.73 1.32 0.73 1.34 1.30	1.23 0.45 1.10 0.70 1.20 1.08	1.31 0.66 1.25 0.76 1.31 1.24
Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector Incubator/Accelerator	1.54 1.20 1.62 1.00 1.60 1.62 1.62 1.83	1.33 0.73 1.32 0.73 1.34 1.30 1.42	1.23 0.45 1.10 0.70 1.20 1.08 1.27	1.31 0.66 1.25 0.76 1.31 1.24 1.38
Access to finance and funding Business planning support Skills and Education Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector Incubator/Accelerator Mentoring	1.54 1.20 1.62 1.00 1.60 1.62 1.62 1.62 1.69	1.33 0.73 1.32 0.73 1.34 1.30 1.42 1.62 1.49	1.23 0.45 1.10 0.70 1.20 1.08 1.27 1.68 1.55	1.31 0.66 1.25 0.76 1.31 1.24 1.38 1.68 1.55

Table 58 - Size of the farm livestock x importance of services, availability of services

SIZE OF THE FARM	SIZE LIVES	тоск		
LIVESTOCK X IMPORTANCE OF SERVICES, AVAILABILITY OF SERVICES	1- Less than 75 livestock animals	2- Between 75 and 300 livestock animals	3- More than 300 livestock animals	Grand Total
IMPORTANCE				
Access to finance and funding	3.71	3.76	3.97	3.84
Business planning support	3.61	3.55	3.74	3.65
Skills and Education	3.57	3.98	4.06	3.94
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	3.39	3.82	3.85	3.75
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	3.86	3.94	4.23	4.05
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	3.43	3.55	3.90	3.68
Incubator/Accelerator	3.39	3.18	3.47	3.35
Mentoring	3.46	3.73	3.61	3.63
Visioning and Strategy Development	3.75	3.41	3.79	3.65
User acceptance	3.57	3.27	3.47	3.42
Community Building	3.46	3.88	3.87	3.79
AVAILABILITY				
Access to finance and funding	3.07	3.45	3.94	3.59
Business planning support	2.71	2.63	3.26	2.93
Skills and Education	3.64	3.65	3.23	3.46
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	1.86	2.76	3.00	2.68
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	2.71	3.33	3.19	3.14
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	2.21	2.67	2.77	2.63
Incubator/Accelerator	1.79	2.10	1.77	1.89
Mentoring	1.93	2.76	2.13	2.31

Visioning and Strategy Development	2.14	2.10	2.10	2.11
User acceptance	2.00	2.10	2.10	2.08
Community Building	2.00	3.00	2.81	2.71
IMPORTANCE	3.56	3.64	3.82	3.70
AVAILABILITY	2.37	2.78	2.75	2.68
GAP	1.19	0.86	1.06	1.02
Access to finance and funding	0.64	0.31	0.03	0.25
Business planning support	0.89	0.92	0.48	0.72
Skills and Education	-0.07	0.33	0.84	0.47
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	1.54	1.06	0.85	1.06
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	1.14	0.61	1.03	0.91
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	1.21	0.88	1.13	1.06
Incubator/Accelerator	1.61	1.08	1.69	1.46
Mentoring	1.54	0.98	1.48	1.32
Visioning and Strategy Development	1.61	1.31	1.69	1.54
User acceptance	1.57	1.16	1.37	1.34
Community Building	1.46	0.88	1.06	1.08

Table 59 - Number of workers x importance of services, availability of services

NUMBER OF WORKERS X IMPORTANCE OF SERVICES, AVAILABILITY OF SERVICES	Number of workers			
	1- Less than 2 people	2- Between 2 and 10 people	3- More than 10 people	Grand Total
IMPORTANCE				
Access to finance and funding	3.53	3.95	4.09	3.87
Business planning support	3.53	3.90	3.87	3.79
Skills and Education	3.93	4.05	4.08	4.02
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies,	3.74	3.92	4.10	3.91

universities and other entities				
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	3.85	4.14	4.37	4.11
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	3.66	3.91	4.02	3.87
Incubator/Accelerator	3.25	3.59	3.43	3.47
Mentoring	3.60	3.78	3.55	3.68
Visioning and Strategy Development	3.50	3.80	3.74	3.71
User acceptance	3.40	3.68	3.53	3.57
Community Building	3.75	3.95	3.81	3.87
AVAILABILITY				
Access to finance and funding	3.04	3.13	3.63	3.20
Business planning support	2.26	2.45	3.14	2.54
Skills and Education	3.30	3.18	3.44	3.27
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	2.42	2.39	3.37	2.60
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	2.89	2.84	2.93	2.87
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	2.39	2.43	2.79	2.49
Incubator/Accelerator	1.72	1.68	2.14	1.78
Mentoring	2.19	2.04	2.28	2.13
Visioning and Strategy Development	2.07	2.10	2.30	2.13
User acceptance	1.74	1.99	2.26	1.97
Community Building	2.39	2.43	2.58	2.45
IMPORTANCE	3.61	3.88	3.87	3.81
AVAILABILITY	2.40	2.42	2.81	2.49
GAP	1.21	1.46	1.07	1.31
Access to finance and funding	0.49	0.83	0.47	0.66
Business planning support	1.26	1.45	0.73	1.25
Skills and Education	0.63	0.86	0.64	0.76
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	1.32	1.53	0.73	1.31
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	0.96	1.31	1.44	1.24
Participation in pilot projects,	1.27	1.48	1.23	1.38

demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector				
Incubator/Accelerator	1.54	1.91	1.29	1.68
Mentoring	1.40	1.74	1.27	1.55
Visioning and Strategy Development	1.43	1.71	1.44	1.58
User acceptance	1.67	1.69	1.28	1.60
Community Building	1.37	1.52	1.23	1.42

6. ANNEX II: FARMERS' NEEDS SURVEY

English: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs-farmers

German: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=es

French: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=fr

Greek: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=el

Italian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=pl

Serbian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=sr

English

Welcome to the Farmers Digitising Needs Survey

This survey is part of the H2020 initiative **SmartAgriHubs**, aiming to accelerate the digital transformation of the European agrifood sector.

The goal of this survey is to identify the most important digitalisation needs of the farming sector. With your answers, the project can define and prioritise actions, therefore your input is of crucial importance. This survey takes approximately 12 minutes to complete. All answers you provide will be kept in the strictest confidentiality and will be used only for the SmartAgriHubs project.

Thank you for your time and cooperation, the SmartAgriHubs team

Introduction

The following questions are related to your position in the farming sector

1.	In which location (city, country) are you based?
2.	Main agricultural sector (check ALL that apply)
	Arable farming
	Fruits
	Poultry
	Greenhouses
	Dairy
	Vegetables
	Piggery
	Organic
	Animal husbandry (ie. cattle, sheep, goat, please give us more detail below)
	Olive trees
	Agroforestry ecosystems, like dehesa (please give us more detail below)
	Other (please specify)

What's your position in t	industry?
O Dedicated farmer	Farmers' agri-cooperative
Part-time farmer	 Service/product external provider
 Landlord, not farmer 	Farmers' association, organization or
Work for a farming company	institution
Other (please specify)	
* 4. Age	
* 5. Which Regional Cluster	e you related to?
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
6. What is the name of the	ganisation or Digital Innovation Hub ("DIH") that has
provided you with this surv	?
Farm structure	
Different kinds of farms have differer	eeds.
You told us that you are a farmer you	elf; please give us an idea about the dimensions of your farm.
	the farm on average on a yearly basis? (please
	d those earning benefits instead of salaries, too)
Less than 2 people	
Between 2 and 10 people	
More than 10 people	

	What is the size	or the lami.			
	Less than 5 Has				
	Between 5 and 30 H	las			
	More than 30 Has				
	Less than 75 livesto	ock animals			
	Between 75 and 30	0 livestock animals	5		
	More than 300 lives	tock animals			
* 9.	Please rank you	r farm size to o	ther farms on a	regional level	
		TOUTH OILD TO O	arer rearries our e	rogiona iovei	
	Small	14111 0120 10 0	Medium	rogional lovel	Big
	Small	0		O	Big
Sup	small O oport ecosyster	•		O	Big
You a	0	m thrive and improve	Medium	•	Big

* 1	1.	What sectors do you serve mainly?
		Arable farming
		Fruits
		Poultry
		Greenhouses
		Dairy
		Vegetables
		Piggery
		Organic
		Olive trees
		Animal husbandry (ie. cattle, sheep, goat, please give us more detail below)
		Agroforestry ecosystems, like dehesa (please specify below)
		Other (please specify)
		Describe every main typology of farming you are serving to (in terms
0	f e	extension, main sector, irrigation system, number of employees, tasks
d	e١	veloped, average income, lifestyle, and whatever information relevant)
^k 1	3.	What is your role in the organization?

Access to digital innovation services

The following questions are about digitalisation of farming: what are your topics of interest regarding digitalisation? And to which digitalisation services do you have access?

***** 14. To what extent are you interested in the following topics?

	Not interested	A bit interested	Strongly interested	, ,	Already addressing it
The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork (i.e. improving traceability systems so consumers know where the product comes from or how it was processed)	0	0	0	0	0
The need to optimise farm operations (such as improving irrigation, fertilisation, disease treatment, harvesting, livestock management and administration)	\circ	0	0	0	0
The need for changing the way to do business (e.g. the way you sell your products)	0	0	0	0	0
The need to utilise data to make better decisions	0	\circ	\circ	0	0
The need for environmentally-sustainable production (e.g. making use of ICT to improve the environmental performance of food production and agrifood value chains)	0	0	0	0	0
Other (please specify)					

* 15. Below you find a list of services. Could you please indicate how much importance you ascribe to these services to foster digital innovation for your business? (The following question will address whether you perceive these services to be available for you as a farmer).

	Of no importance	Of minor importance	Neutral	Rather important	Very important
Access to finance and funding (e.g. financial engineering, connection to funding sources, investment planning)	0	0	0	0	0
Business planning support (e.g. marketing, distribution)	0	0	0	0	0
Skills and Education (e.g. courses, workshops, offering technological infrastructure for educational purposes	0	0	0	0	0
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	0
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	0	0	0	0	0
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	\circ	0	\circ	0	0
Incubator/Accelerator (e.g. market assessment, business development)	0	0	0	0	0
Mentoring (between farmers or between agrotech end-users communities)	\circ	\circ	\circ	0	0
Visioning and Strategy Development (e.g. market intelligence, innovation strategy development)	0	0	0	0	0
User acceptance (e.g. collecting and analysing voice of customer data, concept validation with users)	0	0	\circ	0	0
Community Building (e.g. support to connect with others farmers with similar challenges or support to connect with companies that use to give your technological solutions)	0	0	0	0	0

* 16. Are these services available to your business?

	Yes	No	Partially
Access to finance and funding (e.g. financial engineering, connection to funding sources, investment planning)	0	0	0
Business planning support (e.g. marketing, distribution)	\bigcirc	0	0
Skills and Education (e.g. courses, workshops, offering technological infrastructure for educational purposes	0	0	0
Participation in collaborative projects with R&D companies, universities and other entities	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ
Technical support to incorporate new technologies in your farming business	0	0	0
Participation in pilot projects, demo or testing actions of new products and services for the agrifood sector	\circ	\circ	\circ
Incubator/Accelerator (e.g. market assessment, business development)	0	0	0
Mentoring (between farmers or between agrotech end-users communities)	\circ	\circ	0
Visioning and Strategy Development (e.g. market intelligence, innovation strategy development)	0	0	0
User acceptance (e.g. collecting and analysing voice of customer data, concept validation with users)	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ
Community Building (e.g. support to connect with others farmers with similar challenges or support to connect with companies that use to give your technological solutions)	0	0	0

* 17. To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

	Not at all	Very Little	Somewhat	Very much
I often use my imagination for envisioning innovations on my farm	0	0	0	0
I feel I am part of a network that supports me to advance my farming business	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
I am flexible towards changes	0	0	0	0
I use ICT on a daily basis to support my business	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
I have enough access to finance and funding in order to address the digital transformation challenge in my farming business	0	0	0	0
I am an entrepreneur	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Experience and technical knowledge is the primary driver to make decisions about farm and business	0	0	0	0
I take time to reflect on innovation for my business, specially regarding digital technologies	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
I often attend events and activities related to agrifood	0	0	0	0
I often try new technology and software for professional use	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ
I have an external technology provider	0		0	
I have a greater responsibility than just my farm	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
I am optimistic about the future of my farming business	0	0	0	0
I make decisions about my farm and business based on data	0	0	\circ	0
I am fully aware of the technology solutions available for my farm and business	0	0	0	0

Digital Capabilities

The following questions are about your thoughts on digitalisation and how you use technology.

interes		tion, but your own vision about that
	sts us.	
What o	does <mark>digital</mark> mean to you? (choose A	LL that apply)
	tal refers to all technology innovation- ted activities	Digital goes beyond technology alone to reflect a mindset that embraces constant
	tal is synonymous with technology tal refers to all customer-facing	innovation, flat decision-making, and the integration of technology into all phases of the business
Digi	nnology activities tal refers to all the investments we are king to integrate technology into all parts of	Digital refers to all data and analytics activities
	business	Unsure
Oth	er (please specify)	
	ng questions are related to your vision for the ferested in what you find important; you can ans	
what you fe		wer einer nign-iever of detailed according to
-	nat are your strengths?	wer einer nign-iever of detailed according to
-		wer einer nign-iever of detailed according to
19. WI		ge for the future? (eg succession,
19. WI	nat are your strengths? nat do you feel is your biggest challer	ge for the future? (eg succession,
19. WI	nat are your strengths? nat do you feel is your biggest challer	nge for the future? (eg succession, ative)

22. What do yo	ou perceive to be the biggest threat(s) to the sector?
23. What is you	ur ambition for the future?
24. What do yo	ou need to fulfill this ambition?
Contact inform	action
Contact inform	ation
	for your time and cooperation. In the future regarding this project? If yes, please share your contact information
25. Contact inf	ormation
Name	
Company	
City/Town	
Country	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
26. Do you hav	ve any other comments, questions, or concerns?
* 27. We would I	ike to eventually contact you about this survey
Yes, please	
No, thanks	

Spanish

3	P	a	П		5	
	2.5	93	03			
Ir	itro	duc	cció	m.		

Introducción				Departed #
	Las improvins proguntas actific relactionadas co	n fu poeción en el sector agroalment	ers.	
	1. čEn qué ciudad y país estás	ubicado?		
			0000	
	 ¿En qué sector se encuadra TODOS los que se corresponda 		ite? (señala	
	☐ Terra de cultivo			
	Fridak			
	Aviora			
	Sovernadorpe Lácteo			
	Verdures			
	Percos			
	Organica/Ecológica			
	Otra panaderia (p.a), viacano, ovino, capri	no, per faver, indicate mán atrajo)		
	Otivar Defesa si otivos sistemas agroforestates (ir	officials whereof		
	Otra (sapecifique)			
	3. ¿Cuál es tu posición dentro	del sector?		
	 Agricultur a tilisio arrecipal 	Cooperative		
	Agricultur como complemento.	Prevendor de arreiçõe o		
	○ Propletaris; sens ne agricultur ○ Trabejador en una empresa agricola	Associación, argentación	a Institución agraria	
	Otro (especifique)			
	4. Edad			
	F 18 - 1 P - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	Commence of the Commence of th		
	5. ¿A qué Regional Cluster esti	s vinculado?		
	6. ¿Cuál es el nombre de la org	panización o Centro de Im-	novación digital	
	("DIH") que la proporciono est			
Estructura de la explotación				Toponio 2
	Diferentes tipos de coprotaciones fumen diferen			
	Non hos, diche que enes un agricultor a garaction	o; por fever, danos una idea de la din	remitte de la experiación.	
	7, ¿Cuantas personas trabajan	en la explotación durante	e el año de	
	media? (por favor, incluye tam	bién a trabajadores temp		
	que ganen beneficios en lugar	de sueldos)		
	○ Merios de 2 personas ○ Britro 2 y 10 personas			
	○ Mis de 10 personas			
	8. ¿Cual es el tamaño de la exp	plotación?		
	☐ Hervis de S Has			
	□ 8700 × 5 y (83 Has			
	Mas de 33 Has Menos de 75 cabazas de ganada			
	☐ 649 73 y 300 cebesas da ganado			
	Más do 300 sebeses de garanto			
	9. Por favor, compara el tamar	io de tu explotación con o	otras a nivel	
	regional	Thefa	Danie	
	Popuetia	- 0	•	

Las riquientes preguntas tratan native la digitalización de las expensocienes: ¿cuales son les temas que más te intereson relacionados con la digitalisación fich a qué servicios digitales tienes acceso?

10. ¿En qué medida te interesan los siguientes temas?

	0	0	0
0	0		0
-			
		otorina ur paris staninalis	No rec Moisterne Step may intercards whereas an appear of the control of the cont

* 11. A continuación tienes una lista de servicios, ¿Podrías indicarnos cuanta importancia le das a esos servicios para impulsar la innovación digital en tu negocio? (Las siguientes preguntas están orientadas a conocer si percibes que estos servicios están disponibles para ti como agricultor o ganadero).

***************************************	Sie Impertancia	Page Hypotherite	North	Binkerer	May reportants
Acons a francisción e invenión (p.ej. financiación de ingeneria, senéción a familia de svecsión, planificación financiera)					
Ayusta para el desentallo del plast de regocios (p. ej. marketing, distribucció)		0		0	0
Habitidules y educación (p.ej. cursos, falleres, infraestructura tecnológico para agrandar)					
Participación en proyectos de colebrarción con empresas de 1+D, selversidades y sitos entidades		0		0	0
Superte técnico para incorporar reamas tecniónques a la explotación agrogamentes					
Participación en proyectos printo, demos o provitos de nuevos productos y servicios para el secter agradimentario		0			
Producter/scaleracies (p.ej. assessmentes de mercado, desarrolo de regacio)	0				
Humonización (entre agricultures o entre comentiados de usualtes de tecnología agriculmentaria)					0
Visión y deserrollo retrictógra: (p.ej. estudios de recreato, deserrollo de sobretegia de interveción)	0				
Pruebas de mercato (julij, recoger y anasoar opiniones de usuarios, validación de conceptos con usuarios)	•	0			0
Composición de comunidad (p.ep. vieudo para contractar con circo agreganacionos con retos personas en appullo para conectar con empresas provincionas de personas termológicos).					

12. ¿Están estos servicios disponibles para tu negocio?

	16	tte	to perte
Access a financiación e reversión (p.e). Intenciación de regionería, coloción e fuertica de inventión, (conflicación financiació)			
Ayuda pare of desarrorio del plan de regocios (b.ej. marketing, distribución)			
Presidency education (2.0), cureos, telleres, infraestructure tecnológica para agrander)			
Articipación en groyertos de cosboaxión can empresas do I+O, universidades y stres entidades	0		
Suporte técnico pare incorporer nuovas tecnologias a lu explotación agroganatera			
Participación en proyectos politic, demos o pruebos de nuevos productos y vervicios pana al sector agricalización	0		0
incusación/scereroldis (p.e), acessamiento de vertado, dosamble de neposió)			
Montancación (entre aproutores a entre conumidades do asuarios de teoretogía oprostimientoris)	0	0	0
Visión y deserrollo estratógico (p.ej. extudice de mansado, decarrollo de estrategia de tenovación)			
Pruebas de Mercado (p.ej. recoger y analizar operioren de usuarios, salidación de consoptio con usuariós)	0		
Construction de sommétice (p.m), minés qui e servactur ser otres agrogateatures cen rétre amélieus a syndra para sometite con megmetar provendoras de servactura les assistances.			

	 En qué media te identificas con la 				
	Additionable ranges carts improve on the	No.	Paris	Sastante	Make
	exprotación agricola. Ma siento parte de una red que ma ayuda a majorar		0		
	mi expretarile agricota	10			Date
	Say flexible from a tor complie. Uso technique denumente en et megacio agricola.	0	0	- 0	-0
	Tengo suficiente acceso a financiación y inversión				
	para afforder be retoo-de transferreactiv abgraf de mil avgestactive agressie.				
	Say un enginerabalar				
	La experienzia y el conocimiento tácrisco es la principal micrososten ques tamar christières en re- exportación agrícose				
	We tamb tiempo para reflecionar active la amegación en minospero, especialmente en refación con las tecninagios displatina.	0			
	HADDLARTHAND MITTER & EVENTAL Y ACTIVIDADES - 1890CONTRACT AND 18 SOCIAL DEPOSITION FOR THE PROPERTY OF THE PR				
	Seele proteir ruseia techningia y programos de usa prohistorial	0			
	Tango un prosseder externo de secretagia	17053	100	100	ECNI
	Tango responsubilidades más allá de mi explotación			0	0
	agricola Seu appressa sidre el futuro de rei regente agricola	10/70	-		970
	Tome decisiones sobre mi explotación y ini regacio	0	0	0	0
	beselve on dates. Constructions has selectioned becoming one disposition.			101	
	para esi espiratutat y rei regota.				
	endecimado con la enovación tecnológica Digital es sterioren de beznológica Digital es refere a actuaciones tecnológicas pira comitar con literida Digital se referencia a las inventiones que	time que re introvación horizontale cualquier fi	Fiejo una mi constante, t y la integr mi del rego Plore a soci ettico	otamente benk intelidad pera i suna de decisio acalin de lacreol de e las actividad	le Vives logia en
futuro	Las siguentes progentes ealer relacionadas con fue expe Esternos muy informacion en acopor que es para ti anpo to paracolo aporture.	idativos de fu Narrio, sel qu	lyn). I nesponde i	se ol nissi de i	detalle que
	15. ¿Cuáles son tus fortalezas?				
	 ¿Cuál crees que es tu mayor reto rentabilidad, balance vida-trabajo, se 				cesión,
	17. ¿Qué oportunidades percibes en l agricola?	a digitaliz	ación de	e tu activio	dad
		A.			

19. ¿Cuál es tu aspiración para el futuro?

20. ¿Qué necesitas para llegar a cumplir con esa aspiración?

Español \$ Información de contacto

Gracias per tu tiempe y cosperación. ¿Podemos contactar contigo en el futuro? Si la respuesta es si, diljanos tus datos de contacto.

 Información de co 	ntacto	
Nombre		(3)
Empresa		
Cludad/Pueblo		
Pals		
Dirección de carreo electrónico		
N. C. da Beliffess		

22. ¿Tienes algún comentario, pregunta o sugerencia?

23. Nos gustaría poder contactar contigo en relación con esta encuesta O R. sin proterna O No. gracias

German

Einführung

Betriebsstruktur

Mehr als 10 Personen

Dautach				

	19	
	ee-d	
. Hauptlandwirtschaft (alle zutre	ffenden ankreuzen)	
Ackerbau		
Obst		
Geflügel		
Gewächshäuser		
Milchviehhaltung		
Gemüse		
Schweinehaltung		
Bio	and an efficiency control and a final and	
Tierhaltung (z.B. Rinder, Schafe, Ziegen, bitte	geben sie uns unten mehr Details)	
Olivenbäume	ara (hitto gobon Sia une unten mobe Detaile)	
Agroforstwirtschaftliche Ökosysteme, wie Dehe Sonstiges (bitte angeben)	are touce general see and united meter netals)	
Sonstiges (oftie angeben)		
. Wie ist Ihre Position in der Bra	nche?	
Landwirt	Agrargenossenschaft der Landwirte	
Nebenerwerbslandwirt	Dienstleistung/Produkt externer Anbieter	
Verpächter, nicht Landwirt	Bauernverband, -organisation oder -	
Angestellter auf einem landwirtschaftlichen Betrieb	einrichtung	
Sonstiges (bitte angeben)		
Alter		
•		
Zu welchem regionalen Cluster ge	horen Sie?	
Wie heißt die Organisation oder d	er Digital Innovation Hub ("DIH"),	
Sie mit dieser Umfrage versorgt		
		Deutsch #
edene Arten von Betrieben haben unterschiedliche B	[T. J. C. T. S. C.	
andwirt sind; bitte geben Sie uns eine Vorstellung vi	on der Große Ihres Betriebs.	
	building on Table of don 11457	
lie viele Menschen arheiten durchsr	nnittiich bro Jahr auf dem Horz	
Vie viele Menschen arbeiten durchso te berücksichtigen Sie auch Saisona		

8. Wie groß ist	der Betrieb?			
Weniger als 5 Hekt	ar			
Zwischen 5 und 30	Hektar			
Mehr als 30 Hektar	Fläche			
Weniger als 75 Nut	ztiere			
Zwischen 75 und 3	00 Nutztiere			
Mehr als 300 Nutzt	iere			
9. Bitte bewert	en Sie Ihre B	etriebsgröße im	Vergleich zu	anderen
Betrieben auf r	egionaler Ebe	ene.		
Klein		Mittel		Groß

Zugang zu digitalen Innovationsdiensten

Deutsch \$

Zur Digitalisierung der Landwirtschaft gibt es folgende Fragen: Welche Themen interessieren Sie im Zusammenhang mit der Digitalisierung? Und auf welche Digitalisierungsdienste haben Sie Zugriff?

10. Inwieweit interessieren Sie sich für die folgenden Themen?

	Nicht interessiert	Ein bisschen interessiert	Stark interessiert	Thematisierung Versuch vorgenommen	Bereits
Die Notwendigkeit, Qualitätsprodukte vom Erzeuger zum Verbraucher zu verfolgen (d.h. die Rückverfolgbarkeitssysteme zu verbessern, damk die Verbraucher wissen, woher das Produkt kommt oder wie es verarbeitet wurde).					
Die Notwendigkeit der Optimierung der landwirtschaftlichen Betriebe (z. 6. Verbesserung der Bewässerung, Düngung, Krankheitsbehandlung, Ernte, Tierhaltung und Verwältung)					
Die Notwendigkeit, die Art und Weise, wie Sie Geschäfte machen, zu ändern (z.B. wie Sie Ihre Produkte verkaufen).					
Die Notwendigkeit, Daten zu nutzen, um bessere Entscheidungen zu treffen.					
Die Notwendigkeit einer umweltverträglichen Produktion (z.B. Einsakz von EKT zur Verbesserung der Umweltliesskrung der Lebensmitteiproduktion und der Wertschöpfungskeiten der Agramahrung)					
Sonstiges (bitte angeben)					

* 11. Nachfolgend finden Sie eine Liste der Dienstleistungen. K\u00f6nnten Sie uns b\u00e4ts mitteilen, wie wichtig Ihnen diese Dienste sind, um die digitale Innovation f\u00fcr Ihr Unternehmen zu f\u00f6rdern? (Die folgende Frage wird sich darauf beziehen, ob Sie diese Dienstleistungen f\u00fcr Sie als Landwirt als verf\u00fcgbar ansehen).

	Davi Birdiniluta	untergeordrater Sindrytung	Anox	Zumick yeddg	Salve yielding
Zuprig to Presidency and Fordinary (J.B. Financial Engineering, Arbindung at Financiangsqualite, (Investigationary)					
Unterstützung bei der Geschäftsplanung (2.8. Marketing, Vertrieb	0	0		0	0
Qualificationer und Silbung (c.S. Nuclei, Workshope, Benefaterlung technologischer Defaulswirter für Bilbungszeiche)					
Teilnahme an Kooperatumprejekten mit PME- Unterstehmen, Universitäten und anderen Errschlungen	0	0	0	0	0
Technicke Unicrotitiong list do throughton near Technologies in Direct bodywitschaftlichen Bernes					
Testmatinghmes für neue Predukte und Diensteistungen für den Agran- und findtinungseister.		0		0	0
rekultator/Beschinunger (z.B. Marktimertelang, Geschäftperkeickkong)					
Mercoring (pusschen Landwitten oder zwischen agrantechnischen Endverbraucher Gemeinschaften)	0	0		0	0
Visites and Strategoentwickung (z.S. Plathet Intelligence, Innovative Strategoentwickung)					
Benutsetskisoptanz (z.B. Britanung und Analyse der Sprachauspebe von Kundendaten, Konseptvelidierung mit Benutzern)	0	0		O	0
Community Building (z.B. Unterstützung tei Ber Kontaktautriehne will anderen Leiderform mit Britisten Heraustonberungen aber Unterstützung bei der Kunssklautriehne mit Unterstützung des Dies technologischen Lösungen anbeiten)					

12. Sind diese Dienstieistungen für Ihr Unternehmen verfügbar?

	1.04	None	Technology
Suging is Franceing and Flintening (LS: Financei Exprensing, Arkenberg on Franceinspageston, (Investigationing)			
Unterstitzung bei der Geschäftsplanung (s.S. Plarketing, Vertreb			
Qualifiationer und Milany () B. Kurse, Waterope. Bereistollung technologischer Infrastruktur für Billiampionestei)			
Telliufune en tooperstionsgropeuter mit Pfel- Unternehmen, Universitäten und anderen Binnigfilungeri	0		0
Technologie Unterstützung fen der Entegration neuer Technologien in Shren Sandukrtsmaffächer Betrich			
Tostmellnetessn für naue Produkts und Dienstleissungen für den Agrar- und Ernähnungssolitze.	0		
Dikubatar/Trachmumper (7.8. Markfbeurterlung, Geschaftsemsichistig)			
Hentoring (zwiechen Landwirten oder zwischen agrantechnischen Endvertraucher Gerkenschaften)	0	0	0
Vision and Shringen-Marketony (2.ft. Norket Intalligence, Innovative Strategiesmoskickung)			
Benutoerskoeptariz (z.B. Erfansung und Amelyse der Sprochlusgobe von Syndonolaten, Karongövaldenung mit Benutoern)	0	0	0
Community Subtons (z.B. Unserstutzung ber der Kontantsuchenne mit anderen Landwitten mit derlichen Herbundhednungen oder Linkmitistung bei der Kontaktsuchen mit Zuberreitnann, die Else tochnologischen Lissungen anteieren)			

13. Inwieweit stimmen Sie den folgenden Aussagen zu?

	Other hasself exclar	Selectioning	free	Select year
In benutar off mems agains Vordahungsinsff, am nor Jenovationen auf exercer haf vorassiglies.				
tos tube mon tel erres Activenes, das with her de- Weterentwiskung meines landwintschaftlichen Betriebes erbensotzt.		0		
los bin flexibil payerable fordinarrangers	100		101	- KON
bit setse DCT täglich ein, um moin Geschäft zu unterntütten.				0
In fabr geograf Zugang is filtering und Frechnitten, en de Herautscheung der digitates Transformation in rechen landestachatöchen Betreib zu bewähigen.				
3ch bin Untersehmenn oder Unterhöheher		0		
Arfahung und technisches Missen sind die untdigsten Faktoren, um Entscheitungen über undunktschaftliche und einschaftliche Aspekte zu treffen.				
sich Zeit nehmen, um über Ennovationen für mein Untermehmen hachzuderteen, insbespildere über digitäte Tachneragien.				
bit refere off an Venezalaturgen and Albeitäten en Zusannenhang mit Agefloot teil.				
Ich problem oft neue Technologien und Software für den professionellen Einsatz aus.		0		0
3/3 fable eines externer Technologischister.			103	- ON
Ich habe one grobere Verentworkung als nur mone: Farm.				0
ich sin apprintation für die Zukunft meines Leverantechaftlichen Batriote.				
bit treffe this chedungen über meinen Betrob und meinen Betrieb auf der Grundlage von Daten.				
Ich bin mit der technologischen Lösungen bewereit, der für missen Remon verfügber sind.				

	2400		200	
Digit	ale I	Moqii	Chilos	man:

SHOWER.	
	iber die Digitalisierung gehört, aber urt uns. Was bedeutet Digital für Sie?
Digital bedant sich auf alle Aktivitäten im Zusennenhang mil technologischen Innovationen. Scratiges (bitte angebon)	Dignal gett über die rense Technologie kinzus und spiegott eine Derkweise wider, die ständige Innovation, fleche Evrocheologiehelung und die Integration von Technologie in alles Phasen des Unternahmens
Digital bepield sich auf alle kundenürlenberten. Technologisaktivitäten.	urrisest. Doptor besieft sich auf alle Dater- und
Diplat besiert sich auf alle Investitienen, die wir bitigen, um die Technologie in alle Beneiche unserne Geschaffs zu Hebgeleinen.	Analysetatigkeiter.

Vision und Zukunft		Opulació 8
	Die högenden Fregen beziehen sich auf Brei. Zutunftsvillen. Wir sind an dem interessiert, was Ihnen wittig erschandt, Sie klässen ertweder zügensen oder detailbeit antworten, ja rachbeit, was Sie für angebracht notion.	
	15. Was sind Thre Stärken?	
	16. Was ist Ihrer Meinung nach ihre größte Herausforderung für die Zukunft? (z.8. Nachfolge, Rentabilität, Work-Life-Balance, Innovationsfähigkeit)	
	Welche Möglichkeiten sehen Sie in der Digitalisierung Ihrer landwirtschaftlichen Tätigkeit?	
	18. Was ist Ihrer Meinung nach die größte Bedrohung für den Sektor?	
	19. Was ist Ihr Ziel für die Zukunft?	
	20. Was brauchen Sie, um diesen Anspruch zu erfüllen?	
Kontaktinformationen		Deutsch 8
	Vielen Dank für Thre Zeit und Zusammenarbeit. Dürfen wir Sie in Zukunft bezüglich dieses Projekts kontaklörenn? Wenn ja, teilen Sie uns bilbe Ner Thre Kontaklüßen mit.	
	21. Kontaktinformationen	
	Name	
	Unternehmen Ort/Stadt	
	Land	
	E-Nail-Adresse	
	Telefonnummer	
	22. Haben Sie weitere Anmerkungen, Fragen oder Bedenken?	
	 Wir möchten Sie eventuell über diese Umfrage kontaktieren. 	
	○ Ja, bitte	
	Nein, danke	

French

_			
	_		

Introduction								Français 🛊
	Les questions suivantes concernent	votre situation dans i	is fillère agr	icole.				
	Où êtes-vous situé ?		0					
	Secteur agricole prin concernent)	cipal (cochez	TOUTES	les rép	onses	qui vou	15	
	Grande culture							
	☐ Fruits							
	☐ Volaitle ☐ Productions sous serves							
	Produits laitiers							
	Légumes - Marsichage plein ch Porcs	amp						
	Agriculture bio							
	Reproduction/selection animals Oliviers	(précisez ci-dessous	1)					
	Agroforesterie (précisez ci-dess	ious)						
	Autre (veuillez préciser)							
	3. Quel est votre activit	té dans le sect	eur?					
	Agriculteur à temps complet Agriculteur à temps partiel		Agriculto					
	Propriétaire non-exploitant		O Fournissi O Associati	ion agricole				
	Salarié agricole Autre (verelles enfotues)		producte	LIFE .				
	Autre (veuillez préciser)							
	4. Age							
	5. A quel cluster région	al êtes-vous li	é?					
	6. Quel est le nom de l'			le d'inn	ovation	n numé	rique	
	("DIH") qui vous a foun	ni ce sondage	?					
Votre exploitation agricole								freque #
Votre exponential agricore								(Transport
	Pour permettre d'identifier les bassis concernent la laike de vetre exploite	ne specifiques seion s con.	in tupologie	s d'esploits	etions, les	questions	sulventire	
	Combien de personne sur une année ? (y com							
	percevant des avantage							
	Heins de 2 jursonnes Evine 2 et 10 personnes							
	O Plus de 10 personnes							
	8. Quelle est la taille de	some aveloits	ation 2					
	☐ More de Ériocores	YOU'L EXPION	acoult 1					
	☐ Erros S ot 30 tectano							
	Figs de 30 fectures Meire de 75 primais							
	Erize 75 of XXII are nava							
	This do 300 animaios							
	9. Estimez le positionne	ement de la ta	lle de v	otre ex	ploitati	on à l'é	chelle	
	régionale	Foot				Dree.	where	
	0 0			10				
Accès aux services d'innovation digitale								Primpin \$
	Les questions suiventes concernent : de digradisation 7 Et à quals services	a digitalisatori de l'a Literalitiques avez-ve	grouture i out acobs 7	quels signs	st visua into	Noticet C	agricant	
	10. Dans quelle mesure	les suints quint	wante ou	Aug Inhi		+2		
	10. paris quere mesere	TO LANGE LANGE	Pas	Dispres 6	orbinises I	Desire Cy	Steamer It's	
	Le besoin d'assurer une traçab	ilité des prodeits	Married	entimenal i	200000	to const	100000	
	de qualité de la ferme à l'assie systèmes de trapibilité pour que l sachest d'ob mest le produit).	on conformations						
	Le besoin d'aptimiser les apère					0	0	
	Jamilitorer Pirrigition, la fertificatio des malades, les récoltes, l'adesir	istratif)	-32					
	Le besoin de faire évoluer le ce (par exemple, le mantire sont un produite)	as vendos you						
	Le besoin d'utiliser des donnée de multieures décisions	is pour prendre					0	
	La besoin d'une production res							
	Pervironnement jou utition on andioner la performanci procure production alliminitation et des chal	rementate strike						
	agro-ekmentarios).							
	Autro (veu/Rez préciser)		3					

* 11. Pourriez-vous indiquer l'importance que vous donnez aux services cidessous pour renforcer l'innovation digitale de votre activité ? (les questions suivantes nous permettront d'évaluer si vous considérez que ces services vous sont accessibles en tant qu'agriculteur).

	Autorit	Pool of Languard annual	Neutre	PLATE Impertance	Tribs Importance
Acres as francement (es. inginiera fisarcino, corrector à des asurces de financement, planification des revolucierents)					
Soutier au plan de développement (ex. reuntoting, distribution)		0	0		
Compilerons el florration des cours, vorindeces, mos à dispussion d'infrasquatures technologiques à des Vra d'amargnement)	0				
Participation à des projets collaboratifs avec des entreprises de RND, universités et d'autres entités		0		0	0
Soutien betterque pour stagner de seuvelles technologies dans votre activité agricole					
Participation à des projets plutes, actions de démonstration ou lest de nouveaux produits et services pour le section apre elementaire.	9	0		0	0
Incubitos/Acollection (rs. diule de narch), Missippenert connectar)					
Parramage (entre agricultaurs ou communautés de bénéficiaires d'agrocetr)		0			0
Complian de divelapament strélâgujus (m. commissions du marché, dévensponent d'uns stratége d'annivation)					
Acceptation des utilisateurs (ex. cellecte et anolyse des exts de consoremateurs, validation de rencept par les utilisateurs)	9	0	0	0	0
Consistion de Séveloppement strakégique (ex- cornalisation du Marché, développement Fues stratégie d'innovation)	9				

12. Avez-vous accès à ces services ?

Die	1000	Factorizement
0		0
0		0

13. Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord avec les propositions suivantes ?

	Figs durings:	Très per-	Division	Beaucoup
Fulfiliae seuvent men irregination peur vouoliser des innovations peur men exploitation				
l'ai le contiment d'appartenir à un réceau qui me soutrent et m'arde à novilencer man autivité		0		O
It was except on chargement				
Putrice quotidionnement les YTC plur inon actività		0		
l'ai sufficiente arcés aux francements pour fanc face aux dafts de transfermation numérique dans mon activité				
le suis an extrapreneur	10	.0		
Les contenuations lecturques et l'expérience sont les préciseur militars de objette pour mon activité agriture				
le réfléchis à l'innovation dans mon activité particulièrement aux technologies numéropies			0	0
le partitipe sopreret à des avelruments et activités séa au sicteux agro-démentaire				
l'essais souvert des mayelles technologies et oquiels à des fins professionnebes				0
Far air fourneesse externe se technologies				
Mes responsabilités vont au-delà de ma seule explotation agricale	0	0	0	0
le sus estimiste quest à l'avenir de revi exploitation				
Te pronds des phistopes pour mon activité on n'appuyant sur des données		0		0
Je connels les safutiums bethnologiques disponibles deux mon activitétimopholisties				

Accès aux services d'innovation digitale		Français \$
	Les queriens subunites concernent vas apinens sur la digitalisation et l'usage des technologies.	
	 Vous avez probablement entendu beaucoup de choses sur la digitalisation, mais votre propre vision nous intéresse. 	
	Qu'est-ce que digital signifie pour vous ? (cochez TOUTES les cases	
	pertinentes) Toda activité liés à l'innovation technologique Au-delà de la saule technologique, c'ast un état	
	Digital est symmyme de technologie une price de docaco hanvantale, un price de docaco hanvantale, et	
	Trude activida fille à una cechnologie pour le l'indéproton de la technologie dens teun les conduct ou rélent.	
	Trust les investissements que nous fances pour . Toute activité lide aux données et à leur	
	Employer la municipa dans tous les sepects de sentene. Felle activité : () Ne soit pas	
	Autric (vesirling proliticar)	
Vision et futur		Français \$
	Les questions sulvantes concernent votre vision pour l'avenir. Ce que vous considérez comme important nous intéresse; vous pouvez répondre à l'échelle stratégique ou sur	
	des paints de détails en fonction de votre perception.	
	15. Quelles sont vos forces ?	
	16. Quel est selon yous votre plus grand défi à venir ? (ex. succession,	
	rentabilité, équilibre entre travail et vie personnelle, rester innovant)	
	17. Quelles opportunités voyez-vous dans la digitalisation de votre	
	activité?	
	18. Selon vous, quelle est (ou sont) la plus grande menace pour le	
	secteur ?	
	10. Cually not untry ambition pour l'avenir 2	
	19. Quelle est votre ambition pour l'avenir ?	
	20. De quoi avez-vous besoin pour l'accomplir ?	
	4	
Coordonnées		François B
	Herci inequating const votre temps at vides contribution.	
	5) vous acceptes d'être à niuveau consecté à l'avenir dans le coère de ce projet, mont de nous communiques vos confidences d'Assance.	
	21, Coordonnées	
	Non-	
	Extragrissi Vilia	
	Past	
	Advuse crust	
	Numbro da Wiliphana	
	22. Avez-vous d'autres questions, idées ou inquiétudes ?	
	23. Acceptez-vous d'être contacté pour les suites de ce projet ?	
	O 044	
	○ Non eyeri	

Italian

Trc	111	a	Ш
Intro	duzi	ione	

	Le seguenti domande riguardano la sua posizione nel	settore agrical				
	In quale località (città, paese) ha	sede?				
		0				
	2. Settore agricolo principale (anch	e tutti qu	elli indicati)			
	Sominativi Frutta					
	Pollame					
	Lattiero – cassario					
	☐ Orticolo ☐ Sulmicolo					
	Biologico					
	Allevamento (es. Bovine, ovi-caprino, si prega di Olivicale	fornire maggir	ori dettagli di se	puito)		
	Agroforestale, come dehesa (si prega di fornire n	naggiori dettag	și di seguito)			
	Altro (prego specificare)					
	 Qual è il suo ruolo nel settore? Apricotore dedicato 	○ Cooperat	Sico Amirodo			
	Agricoltore part-time		esterno di servi	izi/prodetti		
	Proprietario terriero, non agricoltore Operalo in un'acienda agricola	Organizz	sazione Agricela			
	○ Altro (grego specificare)					
	4. Età					
	5. Di quale Regional Cluster fa part	e?				
	Qual è il nome dell'organizzazion che ti ha fornito questo sondaggio?		al Innovatio	n Hub ("D	IH")	
Struttura dell'azienda agricola						tolero \$
	Asiende agricos diverse hanse esigence diverse. C) ha delto che lai stesse è un agricoltoro; per favere,	o dia un'idia	pela dimension	dolla naz azier	rite.	
	7. Quante persone laverano in med				nte?	
	(Si prega di tenere in considerazion personale che riceve benefit anche					
	C) Plens di 2 persona					
	○ Tra 2 e 10 persons ○ Più di 10 persons					
		505754				
	Qual è la dimensione della sua a Pero si sisten	zienda?				
	Tra (5 e) 30 ettan					
	Più di 30 ettet Heno di 75 capi di hestiame					
	Trail 75 e i 300 capi di bestiatre fiù di 300 capi di bestiatre					
	 Si prega di classificare la dimens altre aziende nella Regione 	ione della	sua azieno	ta rispetto	ad	
	Provide		107.5	De	rete	
						Carrier 21
Accesso ai servizi di innovazione digitale						tolero \$
	Le seguenti dumande rejuantono la digitatzosmene de reguento alla digitalizazione? E a quali servizi di digita			argorinoriti B III	teresia	
	10. In che misura le interessano i s			Ste p provincia a		
	La necessità di "tracciare" prodotti di qualita	Non recression :	recounts reco	sett levinenti	Linvingendo	
	dell'aziendo agricado alta tevolo (assis migliores i antore di transistella si medo che i comerculari saggiarro de divis proviena il produtto di si che mod è data replacata;					
	Il bisagno di dittinizzone le aperazioni azionda (zone migianare l'impacione, le fedilizzazione, di tratamento delle risdazio, la racciato, la gettione dell'allevamento o l'amministrazione)		0 0	0	0	
	La necessità di carabiare il modo di fare Business (ad semplo il moto in ou vende i soni				1001	
	protetti La necessità di utilizzare i dalli per prendere decipioni migliori				0	
	Il bisogno di produrre in modo scetanibile dal punto di vista ambientale per utilizzando TIC pe				170	
	triplorare la Sefernarea anti-ritali d di procusion della Ciera agric almentanti Afra (prega specificare)				10-11	
		3				

Italiano 🛊

* 11. Di seguito trova un elenco di servizi. Potrebbe indicare quanta importanza attribuisce a questi servizi per promuovere l'innovazione digitale per la sua azienda? (La seguente domanda riguarda la disponibilità di questi servizi per lei, in quanto agricoltore).

	Non reportents	Elé pora importanza	Seativite	Purposes Proportionite	. Hoto importants
Accesso or Previousness (ac everyor regularera Branciana, cologiamento a tinti di Branciamento, pranticament degli investimenti (
Supporto alla pianificazione aprendate (m. Commonistrazione, distribuzione)					
Computerus e Hirustone (ad assensio como, workships, offerta di infrastrutture focusingache per secpi dicarro()	0				
Partecipacione a progetti di collaborazione cen: azienda di ricerca e serbapia, università e alivo entità			0		
Supports receive per morphism nurve technologic male sua scientis agricula	0				
Participazione a progedi pilota, demo o azioni di cellaula di ra,em prodetti e servizi per il settete agnoslimentore					
Drubetory / accommatory (all exemple valuations and recognic, sefuppe del business)	0				
Tutoreggie (tra agriculturi o tra zomunità si utenti finali agrotoch)					
Vacane e soluppo della ottorogia (ad es. Market. etalligenco, sviluppo della atratogia di renovacionis)					
Accettazione da parte dell'utante finale (es. Raccelta e analia del dati del clienti, validazione del modello con yli vicetti					0
Community Building (all exempts, supports per consistence per aim agreement con eithe serial a supports per committees can be carenite the forescence to propose estudions secondagical;	•				

12. Sono disponibili questi servizi nella sua attività?

		Dec	Panasanerte
Access al hidrosenenti (at esençio ingegneria Franciario, collegamento a fordi di financiamenta, puerficacione degli illusticimento			
Supporto alla pianificazione aniendolo (es. Convenentalissamme, distributione)			
Competence e stinature (ed exemple care, sorkshop, offiche di infrestrutture tecnologiche per songi-detetto)			
Partecpazione a projetti di calabarazione con abiendo di ricerca e sviluppo, università e altre evittà	0	0	
Supports tecnico per incorporare nueve lecestage nella sua azenda agricola			
Perfecipazione a progetti plista, demo o activeli di cirilaudo di nuovi analotti e servizi per il settore agnosfirmentare			
Troubetore / accelerative (4d exemple exhibitione 68 mercato, surluppo del business)			
Tutoraggio (tra agricolteri o tra comunità di atenti. Finali agrinechi)	0	0	
Visione e sviluppo della strategia (ad es. Market Intelligencia, sviluppo della strategia di innovazione)			
Accottazione de pente dell'utunte finale (es. Reccotte e analie: dei dati dei clienti, validazione dei modelle cari gli uteriti)	0	0	0
Community Building (ad exemple, supports per convertings can abri agreedout over effect sonal or supports per conventions can be assented one formacons is projets included to be significal.)			

13. In che misura è d'accordo con le seguenti affermazioni? Mario Moto pero Putanio Moto

	ARXIDE	Mode areas	Physioses	750518
Spekko uku ia mia immaginazione per immaginare la monosione retia mia accente				
Senso di essere parte di una rete che mi supporta per regliorano la mia azienda	0	0		
Sons ficesible no contrard del combinanti				
USRzes techniogie TIC glomalmente nello mio attività. Vi asienda	0	0		0
no access a financiament sufficient per affortave to ofice clefts treatment are objitate refle rele asierate agricula.				
Sans un impreditore				
L'experienza e la conoccetta terreca sersi il motive principale per prendeze decistari in menta all'actorità e la propria attarità				
No bicogno di tempo per riflettere su un'innovazione per la mia impresa, esprattette per qual che riguarda le tecnologie digitalii			0	0
Property speed south a attitud legate all aprillant				
Provo spesso tecnologie a ruesit selliware per seo professionale		0		0
He un ferettire & technique esserno				
No responsabilità maggiori della sola amenda agricolo		0		0
Sono ottoricta sul futuro della mia acienda agraccio	(0)	101	105	-0
Prendo decisioni sulla rela satendo e sulla rela stitività in base al dati				0
Sons pronuverse consuprenty date sources serving the dispositive per to was asterois a il non festiveno				

	Statemen 2
Lo dolfrène sequenti si inferiscone alla sua opimane in mento alla digitalizzazione e a come utilizza la tacciologia.	
14. Probabilmente ha sentito pariare molto di digitalizzazione, ma a noi interessa la sua vissone sul tema. Cosa significa digitale per lei (scegliere tutte le opzioni pertinenti)? Diptale si riferios a tutti i attività comme di remolegie à digitale si sensimo di ternologie a digitale si menimo di ternologie a diptale si riferios a tutti i di sensimi della discussioni di sensimi di sensim	
	foliomy \$
Le sequent demande is inforsome alle sua visiene per e future. Samis-informisari a ciù chi le infame importante; può reponders in incidi generico o dettagliato, a seconda di qualiti scotto il suoti puntti di Forza? 15. Qualiti scotto il suoti puntti di Forza?	
16. Quale ritiene sia la sua più grande sfida per il futuro? (es. eredità, profitto, equilibrio vita-lavoro, essere innovativi)	
17. Quali opportunità vede nel digitalizzare la sua attività agricola?	
18. Quali sono le traggiori minacce che percepiace verso il settore agriculo? 19. Quale è la sua ambizione per il futuro?	
20. Di cosa ha bisogno per soddisfare tale ambizione?	
	fatiens \$
Crede per il suo tempe e la collaboracione. Resistano contattara in foturo in merta a questo progetta? Se al, per fevore indichi la sua inframazione si contatto. 21. Informazioni di contatto Nome Apenda Città Perere 8-mail Teletone. 22. His uliteriori commenti, domande o osservazioni? 23. Vornemmo contattaria occissionalmente su questo sandaggio O S. pane	
	14. Probablimente ha sentito parlare molto di diptalizzazione, ma a noi interessa la sua viscone sul tema. Cosa significa diptale par lei (cosgliere tutte le optioni pertinenti)? Cosa significa diptale par lei (cosgliere tutte le optioni pertinenti)? Cosa significa diptale par lei (cosgliere tutte le optioni pertinenti)? I aguate i sentente a bita simple diptale dipta

Polish

Wstęp		Proble 8
	Pondoze pytania dofyczą umiejaczelania PanacPlatu w wietocza remiczym	
	 W jaklej lokalizacji (miejscowość, region) znajduje się Pana/Pani gospodarstwo? 	
	11 II	
	Główna działalność (proszę zaznaczyć WSZYSTKIE pasujące)	
	Uprawa reli	
	Sadownictwo (drzewa owocowo)	
	Hodowia shobiu	
	Soldernictwo	
	☐ Nybial	
	☐ Warzyenicteo	
	Hadowip tractly chiewney	
	Fornictives econoptume Hockwise awaruss (np. bydre, owie, lease, process padad sacrangily pureleg).	
	Sadowniction (strong obvious)	
	Eksayatan'a agrevatricze, jak defens (proczę podać suczeg(ky porstar))	
	[] [Inte (proof strelle)]	
	 Jaka Jest Pana/Pani pozycja w przemyśle? 	
	Bolink (in patrym wymiacza) Borrecza spilitoralnia przeddzycha	
	Zewnitrzny dostawia unkuplyndaktów Zewnitrzny dostawia unkuplyndaktów	
	Weldcoal strenek, no nemě Zwopak, organizacja lub tratistucja nemícza Necownik filmsy notnicoaj	
	○ time (proce) observed	
	4. Wiek	
	5. Z którym Regionalnym Klastrem jest Pan/Pani związany/związana?	
	0	
	6. Jak nazywa się organizacja lub Digital Innovation Hub ("DIH"), która	
	dostarczyła Ci tę ankietę?	
Struktura gospodarstwa		(Robb) \$
	Rübie typy gespolarskie rebp ribre poszote, lako, te jest Plan/Park monkiera, prestę przestawał nark mamiar swidopo gospolarskies	
	полим информации	
	7. Ele osób pracuje średnio w gospodarstwie w cyklu rocznym? (proszę	
	uwzględnić również pracowników sezonowych praz otrzymujących inny	
	rodzaj wynagrodzenia niż pensja)	
	C) moved and 3 county	
	and 2 do 10 code	
	O worked not 10 ands	
	8. Jaki jest rozmiar gospodarstwa?	
	Tending oil: 5 to	
	ad 5 do 10 ha	
	wince(risk 10 ha	
	mining siz 75 zwierząz kodowianych	
	ind 25 do 300 zwierząt trodowianych	
	whyce[nix 300 covinces] hodewlarych	
	 Proszę ocenić rozmiar swojego gospodarstwa w porównaniu do innych 	
	gospodarstw w regionie.	
	. Hate Sudre Dute	E .

Polisi 🛊

Rondore pylania dotycią procesu cyfryzacji rotnictwa. Jakie tematy interescją hana/Panią io tym zakrecief Die Militaria z womoniamost uskoś zufisociała mia Danillad dostor?

10. W Jakim stopniu jest Pan/Pani zainteresowany/zainteresowana następującymi tematami?

	Osuppley	W risking Alograsi	W wysokien diapete	Chalabyro sig byro rapid	but six for introde
Monitorpowers (All villa production or cally in cylis), products pryon of geopoderstand dis interconstruction population systematics medical consumers product producty maps on table speeds, by incomment wheating and production product has pair poster productions of productions and an interpretability productions of the production of the production productions of the production of the production of the production of production of the production of production of productio					
Optymalitacja procesile esemajos gespodarstvo (1), popravo davednialna, ravotonia, tveliczenia chardo, strenter, rampilicania celemitata hodovianyosi i atministracjo	0	10		0	
Zyriana sposobu provadorna borenu (t), premta sposobu sprzedsky produktów)					
Uzysie dieranach denych de polegrowania trafriejszych decyzji		0			
Zirienowalana i přzyjadna kroduvěsku produkcja (t) utprie rpřivoscý do piprawy okolopictności ie auktorzo rotkym i roko-spalyeczym)					
lane (prosting objectivity)	127				

* 11. Poniżej znajduje się lista usług. Proszę określić istotność jaką ParyPani przypisuje tym usługom w celu wsparcia cyfrowej innowacji w Pana/Pani gospodarstwie (kolejne pytanie będzie dotyczyło dostępności tych usług w Pana/Pani gospodarstwie)

	Remire	Record Hamphaton	Sourche	Raczej Intoleer	Darton
Distip do fundady i finansovana cip, insyriene finansova, komekt oz zodlani finansovania, planowane insectucjo					
Websiroe w biverzeniu boznesplanu (np. marketing, dyskrytucja)	0	0	0		0
Umagamości i wysodakowa (np. sukolenia, saurużate, wykorzystywana infrastruktury technologicznej w calach estukacyjnych)	•				
Współny udział w przijektach z jednostkami badawczymi, unovarzybalami i innymi jednostkami			0		
Weparcie techniczne we signossiczenia newych technologii w gospodestawe	9				
látatel w projektach pilotalmeych, demonstracyjnych albo testowanie nowych produktów i usług dla sektora rolno-spożywczego			0		
Disability uncelerator (np. respectance rynks, round) benesit	0				
Merkoning (pursuplay reinforms Lib wewingth) sporecarded applicamelydie dancy technologii)		0	0		0
Witte I movely strangs (he, bedame nyriku, roovily strangs innovacyment)	9				
Sprzejsme zwotne dla użytkownikia (np. stierania i analizwania danoch użstkownika, maryfikacja koncepcji użytkownika)		0	0		0
Budoware spotectnest for vegette a savigatera tomorous s gespetarsberre i postolnym wynwesem fut miserou w samigemu komunkacji s formani strugajymi wysanie technologicomi.	•				

12. Czy te serwisy są **dostępne** w Pani/Pena gospodarstwie?

	Tab.	. F04	CARROOME
Oostap de fundacey i finansowania inc. Incymena finansowa, kontakt ze brieflam finansowania, plorewwise investycji)			
Wigarcio w biorconiu būnesplans (np. marketing, dystrytiacja)			
Urriegowici i wykazdownie (np. takowną, włodziała, wykorzystywana infrastructury technologicznej w jeżach odukucyjnychji			
Wepfiny udział w jarzjekszch z jednostkami radawszymi, unweniętotami i najuni jednostkami.	0		0
Waperine technique we wpromitionity analyth authologi w goopceantive			
udział w projektach piłotodowych, denorstracyjnych albo testowanie nowych probaktów i usług dla saktora rokno-spotywczego			0
Drivibezoniakostorator (np. rezpoznamia nynku, rezwel) boziesa)			
Mentoning (purnigitry natrakami kab wowingtri) społeczności użytkowników danej technologii)		0	0
Widge i rockolj strategil (np. badanta ryrku, rozvići strategil innovacyjstatici)			
Spraptene zwrotne sta użytkowależe (np. zlawane) oradzowonie donoch użytkownika, woryfilacja końcepiji użytkownika)			0
Budowers spokernelin (tyl. wspace w navigamu konnethas) z poposkrategod z podstryne wymoniam kijo superom o navigamu orowiniaci) z frynani offreggyni wagone notropischesi frynani offreggyni wagone notropischesi			

13. W jakim stopniu zgadza się ParyPani x następującymi stwierdzeniami?

	the operane see	States significants	Accretive Japanese	Steephonen sig agadusin
Copte ulyean recjej wydrafni da nomyllana mianacji w mian gospodorpnej				
Chuje, ze jestem szęścią sieci pomegejące; mi kszwijeć rećij tisznes	0			
letter steaty as emery				
Dodziennie używam systemky informatycznych, które wspanają rodj Slunes			0	0
Mare dealige do wystarczącycym funduczy i financowania by znadzować transformacją cyfrową w molm gospodanstwie				
Sestem przedsiępiercą				
Doświadczenie I wiedza techniczna mają kluczowe praczonie w podłążnowaniu docycji doryczacych pospodorstwe				
Pracznacowe czas na promyślenia stołyczące irowanej dla recjego biznasu, w szczególności w dziedzona technologii cyfrowych				
Copile time about a undarrenach resquarych o sektorem roho-spolywiczym				
Cogato wypróbowują nawe tachnologia i oprogramowania du celów zawodowych				0
Jestem optymietyczny jeśli chodo o przywiakó mojnys tatnosu				
Mare wigor; assowigani nii; tylius enge gespedarstwo				
Detam optymistyczny jeśli modo o emyseski. mojnyc fernesii				
Połkimują docyzje zwięzane z mam pospodarstwem tazując na zetrzenych danych	0			0
Jester w para świadowy rupwiązań bulkoskopcznych dostępnech dla recogn gespedanskee				

	Copies many action in options in a fingular policy in subcorpin rather applying control in the control of the c						
	Cogate wypróbowują nawa tachnologia i				0		
	oprogramawania da celów zawodowych Zestem optymietyczne jeśli shodz o przysanski.						
	переро палезы				9		
	Mare wigue; associatañ niz tyles moje gespedaron	m 0			0		
	Jestem optymistyczny jeść chodo o przystość możego ternesa				101		
	Problemije, decyzje, zwięzone z matmi gaspodantówe bazusąc na zebnenych danych				0		
	Jesten water two-bons nowingship technologicary distinguish dia recognigational statements.	0			0		
Możliwości cyfrowe							Pole
ASSESSED 100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100							
	Pondown pytania defyczą Parc/Perie spojrzenia na cyfi	tyracją i tego w j	aki sposhb ut	ywa PanyParo	technologi.		
	 Zapewne słyszał/słyszała Pan/ł wizja tego procesu jest dla nas isto "cyfrowy"? (proszę zaznaczyć wszy 	itna. Co ozn	racza dla				
	"cyfrowy"? (proszę zaznaczyć wszystkie pasujące) [J.fyfrany" dimos sę do wszystkie cymności pristatywa i mrowskie sociologicze postatywa i mrowskie sociologicze postatywa i proszę sociologicze postaty sociologicze postat						
					area		
	Cyfraey" adnosi się do technologii	facie tione	on mediants (истинарі м	nardel.		
	uklementomennych na klienta: Cyfniwy* odnesi się do wszystkich inwestycji.	Cyfrewy'	odroso się do	wigystwith co m i analisowa	ry tropici rosen		
	któw zyywiny by sintegrouse bednologią w kassej części Asszeja laynesu	danych					
	Tree (prossg otre83()	-	114110000				
Wizja przyszłości	10						Pos
	15. Jakie są Pana/Pani silne strony	?					
		_1					
	 Jakie jest Pana/Pani największe sukcesja, rentowność, balans międ bycie innowacyjnym) 						
		-4					
	 Jakie możliwości widzi ParyPan gospodarstwa? 	i w cyfryza	cji Pana/i	Panil			
	 Jakie są Pana/Pani zdaniem na rotniczego? 	jwiększe za	grożenia	dia sekti	ora		
	[A	115					
	19. Jakie są Pana/Pani ambicje na	przyszłość?					
	20. Co jest Panu/Pani potrzebne by	y speinić te	ambicje?				

Dane kontaktowe

Bandzo dolękujemy za Pana/Pani czas I współpracę. Czy możemy skontaktować się z Panem/Panią odnośnie projektu? Jedi tak, proszą wypołnić poniższe dano kontaktowa

21. Dane kon	taktowe
žmię i nazwisko	0
Firma	
Mejsowość	
Kraj	
Adres e-mail	
Numer telefonu	
22. Czy ma P	un/Pani jeszcze jakieś komentarze, pytania, uwagi?
23. Czy może	my skontaktować się z Panem/Panią odnośnie tej ankiety?
Tak, proseq	
C. No. detained	

F

Portuguese		
Introdução		Portuguin \$
	As questões seguintes estão relacionadas com a sua posição no setor primário.	
	1. Em que local (cidade e país) se encontra baseado?	
	0	
	 Principal área de atividade agrícola (selecione todas as opções que se 	
	apliquem)	
	_ Agricultura Artiveli _ Frutas	
	Ariosture	
	□ Estufos	
	Lactichios	
	☐ Vegetais	
	Skintoritura	
	□ Navigios	
	Pocuária (le. bovinos, ovines, caprinos, per favor indique em pormener em baixo)	
	Olivicultura Ecossistemes agroflorestais, como montado (por favor indique em pormenor em balxo)	
	Outros (per favor específique)	
	3. Qual a sua posição na indústria?	
	○ Agricultor full-time	
	Agricultor part-time Prestador de serviços/produtas terceiro	
	O Proprietário não agricultor Instituição, organização ou sesociação de	
	Elemento de uma empresa apricola agricultores	
	Outros (por favor especifique)	
	4. Idade	
	E. A. aug. Boolonal Charter portones?	
	5. A que Regional Cluster pertence?	
	6. Qual é o nome da organização ou Digital Innovation Hub ("DIH") que	
	the forneceu essa pesquisa?	
		participants of the same of th
Estrutura da exploração agricola		Porsignin \$
	Diferentes tipos de coptorações têm diferentes necessidades. Disse-noi que à agricultor, per favor dê-nos uma tibile do dimensão de sua exploração.	
	7. Quantas pessoas trabalham na exploração durante um ano? (por	
	favor inclua trabalhadores sazonais e também trabalhadores que	
	recebem beneficios em vez de satários)	
	☐ Merce de 2 pessous	
	○ Serve 2 e 10 persons	
	Mais de 16 persons	
	8. Alicel a dispose to do man produces to b	
	8. Qual a dimensão da sua exploração?	
	Merce do 5 Has	
	Entre 5 in 30 Hass Man do 30 Hass	
	Steting desiral infector a 73 assistatio	
	Etativa samual andre 75 e 309 animals	
	Eletino enimal superirar a 100 animas	

9, Por favor classifique a dimensão da sua exploração em comparação com outras da sua região Repute Rese Sances

132/204

Acesso a serviços de inovação digital

Personin \$

As seguintes questiles incident sotine a digitalização da agricultare. Quate são se seus temas de inferense sotine o tiema da digitalização⁴ A que serviços de digitalização tiem acrisco².

10. Quão interessado está nos seguintes tópicos?

Mercustin		Ferturients otteressale		Atpolywire a promise
		0		0
9.	.0	0	Đ	0
				0 0 0 0

* 11. Em baixo encontra uma lista de serviços. Por favor indique quão importante considera que estes serviços são para a inovação digital do seu negócio? (As seguintes questões avaliarão a sua perceção de disponibilidade destes serviços para si).

		De menor Importáncia	Neder	Importante	Multer Importante
Acereo a financiamento (a.g. Acereo a fundos de investimento, glamesmonte de financiamento)					
Superte do modete de raspleios (e.g. marketing, distribuição)			0		0
Formação (e.g. caresa, workshops, infraestruture com aferta tecnológica para fins educacionas)	0				
Participação em projetos calaborativas de IBD core empresas, universidades a subco		0	0	0	
Suporte transidiques pri morporação de termologias no asia hagácia					
Participação em projetos plisto au testes do noves serviços e portutos para o sator agroekmentar	0	0	0		0
Incubaloras/Acele-oderas (e.g. Estude de Mercado, dosenvolvemento de programas)					
Mentering (ontre agricultores ou entre atilizadores finale de agric tecnologia)		0	0		0
Dependentato de vido e de estatégia (e.g. Indicadores de messado, desenvolvemento de estatégias de insuição)	0				
Azertação do unilizador final (e.g. validação com utilizadores finan)			0	0	0
Construção de comunidade te a opero à hanção com outros agricultorias com dissallas semailames ou com emanisse que obrescera saluções. Vectoriogicas)	0				

12. Estes serviços estão disponíveis para o seu negócio?

	the	1610	Parcelments
Acesso a financiamento co.g. Acesso o fundos de investimiento, planiamento de financiamento;			
Suporta de modelo de regácios (s.g. marketing, distribuiçõe)	0	0	0
Permejõr (n.g. ourses, wertschaps, infraestrutura cem oferta (schológica para fine situracionars)			
Participação em projetos colaborativos de IBD com empresas, universatades é autros	0		
Suporte tecnelligios primingoração de terminguis no vez regions			
Participação em projetos piloto ou tirstes de reves serviços e pordutos para o setor agroalimentar	0		0
Incubadoras/Kosierastoras (o.g. Estudo de Horcucio, dicionariomento de organista)			
Mentoring (entire agricultores ou entire utilizadores finais de agro tecnologia)	0		
Desenvolveranto de visdo o de entratógia (e.g. endicadores de mercado, desenvolvemente de estratágias de inevação)			
Aceitação de utilizador final (e.g. validação com utilizadores finals)			
Construção de comunidade (a.g. aprez à lagação com cutros apricultante com disalho servidantes ou com excessos que observe sobribles servidades.			

175	Parameters.	man or an investment in		Contract to a Name of	who states as "I
12.	Quanto	concorda	com as a	firmações :	1/00/18/08

	7600	Hulls Ricco	Consents	Tansando Hulta
Uni habitualmente a minna imaginação para char conveções na minha explanação				
llieto que taça porte de una rede que me auxilia no depermatemento de meu negócio				
Say figures am relação a mudanças.				
Utiliar dianamente tecnologia na minina exploraçõe				
Tenha eccase suficiente a linanciamento de forma a abantar a transformação digital e os sous desafies				
Sou um empreendedor				
Experiencia e conhecimento silcrico allo fatores principaris paris tomar decisiles na exploração				
Tes tempo para refletir em inoxação para o meu maplicio, especialmente em digitalização	O	0		0
Partitips regularments em eventus relicionesco com o estor agrodimentor				
Experiments ronus trorologias a software para y//focção profissional		0		0
Totalia una formacado secunógica suterno				
Tenho una repor responsabilidade do que comente a minha coplicação	0			
Catou obersta sobre o future do miss región agricale			-201	
Tomo dischibes sobre a minha exploração baseados era dados	0			0
Betou teramente ciente par acruções terminigicas dispenieda jara o moj magêria				

Capacidades digitais			Pornuguins \$
	As questiles sequentes abordan a nua opisilla satre d	ogitalização e como utiliza a fectorogia.	
	14. Provavejmente já ouviu bestansua visão sobre este tema interess O que significa digital para si? (es apliquem) Optal vince-se a totas as atividades as invacido termologica. Optal significa a totas as atividades de invacido para dispriso a totas as atividades tomologica para o comunidar Optal eléginho a totas as atividades tomologica para o comunidar Optal eléginho a totas as atividades tomologica para o comunidar Optal elégin-se a totas os invastimentas que estamas a medica de forma a integral tomologica para no totas as pertes de cesas registro. Outras (por faver aspectifique)		
Visão e futuro			Perhapsite \$
	As sequentes question estás relacionales zero a sua bitanso información sero quelo que considera o advargante, de acatás con o que considera elevans 15. Quaira são na serus pontos forte	reportantics, godie resignander de torinna detail legita occumaté.	
	15. O que considera ser o maior de rentablilidade, equilíbrio pessoal e p	ssafio para o futuro? (i.e. herdeiros, profissional, Inovação)	
	17. Que oportunidades identifica n	a digitalização?	
	18. Qual considera ser a maior am	eaça para o setor?	
	19. Qual é a sua ambição para o fu	duro?	
	20. O que necessita para realizar e	issa ambição?	

Informação de contacto

○ Sim ○ Não, obrigado

135/204

ĸ	וח	m	a	n	ıa	n
	_	-		•		

Introducere

	Urmätsarele întrebări se	referă la poziționarea dur	mneaveastră în sectorul	agricol			
	1. Unde vå desfi	işurați activitate:	sa (localitate, tar	rā)?			
		, ,		-,-			
	Domeniul agr	icol principal (bif	fați TOATE varia	ntele aplicabi	ile)		
	Cultură mare						
	Pernicultură						
	Creșterea păsărilor						
	Producția de lapte						
	Legumicultură						
	Creșterea percilor						
	Agricultură bio						
	Creșterea animalelo		re, võ rugām sā cietalia	ji mai (es)			
	Cultivarea mäslinilor Ecosisteme agrosiivi		named in the state of the State	olim all detailed man	al local		
	Altele (vä rugäm pro		agreem speaker at (va 1)	yan sa cetaray m	ar jou		
	_ near (no regar pro						
	3. Ce poziție ocu	pati în agroindu	strie?				
	C Fernier, ox activities			grosti a territorio	F		
	C Fermer, ca activitate			marked div pervision			
	C Proprietar teren, nor		O Associations	entratii was heelihaji	als Termieller		
	Argelet of unai com						
	Afterle (vil ruplen pre	coup)					
	4. Värstä						
	4, versta						
	5. Din ce cluster	regional faceti p	parte?				
	6. Care este nur		sau Digital Inn	ovation Hub (("DIH") care		
	ți-a furnizat ace	st sonda)?					
Structura fermei							Română 🖠
	Tyuri diferite de ferne a	revol diferte.					
	Dack no spured ob sixte	g former, vå rugåm så iv	we-date informații provini	Libergrassynes horse	ei dummivriatrii.		
	7 Câte nersoon	e lucrează în me	elle on un To fore	na dumnasaw	nastell 7 July		
		eti muncitorii sez					
	beneficii în loc d		and the second		(5) (1120)		
	O Mai pagin da 2 pene	arte.					
	C Service 2 to 10 person						
	C Feets 10 personne						
		ensiunea fermei	17				
	Mai patin de 5 ha						
	Intro 5 ii 30 ha						
	☐ Poste 30 fa	to da animala					
	☐ lettre 7th pi 300 cape						
	Posto 300 capeta de						
		vă clasificați fern		dimensioni p	orin:		
		tte ferme din reg					
	Prod		Hotel	1000	Plane		

Romini 🛊

Acces la servicii de inovare digitală

Unellinearrie Introduit se referii la sigitizarea fermet: Care sunt subsettele dvs. de interes privind digitalizarea? Si la ce sarvicii de digitalizare ainsti accos?

10. În ce măsură sunteți interesat de următoarele subiecte?

	Deloi internal	Pulpe Interest	foarte stansat	Trises de motores	Ocar representa
Mevois de "Monitorizare și trasabilitate" a celtății gredineler de la furcă la furcaliții (majactis intumilitărea automete de fucucionate antel volt consumuture să plu de ande una produce a sant a fost grounat)					
Nevola de optimizare a operativnišor din termà (de m. imfundiblima rispation; a administrativ imprigiamistolor si a tratamistolor impotrna batter, a resultáril, a managementulus al administrárili poznatulo).	0		0	0	0
Nevoix de achirobere e modului le com se fac afacerile (de vo. feud in care và vince) probante)					
Nevoia de a foliosi date informatice pentru e lua decisii real bune	9				
Nevoto de a obține productii eastenabile din punctul de vedere al electului (de cu autoraux labraugilar informatio și de senancial pentru a îndustiții pentrului mediului în card productei sphole și pe filiame spereductriulo)					
Mate (vå raglim praciss#)					

* 11. Mai jos sunt enumerate o listă de servicii. Vă rugăm să indicați cât de importante considerați că sunt aceste servicii pentru dezvoltarea inovării digitale pentru afacerea dumneavoastră? (Următoarea întrebare se referii la felul în care ați percepe aceste servicii dacă le-ați avea la dispoziție ca fermier).

	19-6 Importants	(mportanță redună	Importantă moder	Helativ Important	Fresh important
Acces la Prantano (de ex. managament financier moderti, consession cu surse de finançaire, granificamie investidian)					
Sprijin pentru planticansa alaceni (sie ex. marketing, distributio)					
Calificani si instrumi (de su: cumuni, seminori, silentà do refrastructurà fotorishiquià le surgi advisational	0				
Participare la protecta lir colaborare cui companii de concetare-krovoltare, anneratăți și arte erotăți					
Supert tahoc pentru integrana tehnologilar nol lic afacenia duminansamili in agricultură					
Participare la prevecte pilot, domonatrații sau resise are produzetor și senniciller nui pertiru sectorul agnostimientari	0			0	
Incubator/Accelerator (de os. evoluersa pietel, discontinua afactori)					
Memoring (Intre femilieri sau litera comunicifile de ufitation finali ai selezión agrocerb)					
Darvotarea villulai și strategei (de ex. Intotegenea pietar, decretarea Linei strategii de Inswen)					
Acceptorça utilizationului (de ex. celectarea și analice deletor viciale ele clienților, validorea conceptalor cu utilizatorii)	•	0		0	
Depositores comunition (de ex. aprije pentra consideres as att. formien care as conflictis ca proteines centiles suo sertim pentra consolitores co componite care as terrapastis estable entralogico	0				

12. Aceste servicii sunt disponibile pentru afacerea dumneavoastră?

	Dia	Pho:	Partial
Acces la fremjaro (de ex. management franciar modern, constituti ca susse de fralegara, planificarea monstillar)			
Sprije pentru planificansa afaceni (de ox. manating, distributo)			
Calificano di Internano (die se, cursopo, elemento, ofentili de infrastructură telendogacă în sono educațional			
Participare la projecte în colaborare cu comparii de cercetare dedvoltare, universități și alte entrăți			
Superi tehnic postru integransa tehnologikar mir in alsoonia stammanossettä in agriculturiä			
Farticipane la protecte pilot, demonstrații sau teste ale produzetar ja servicitor nai pertitu sectarial agroulimentar			0
Deciberos/Accestrator jós en exalueros pretas. decembrara afacento			
Mentoring (Intre formieri sau Intro comunitățile de abilianteri finale al seruptor agrotoch)		0	0
Dervotarea sitturei și strategar (de ex. Intringenia pieței, diszlotarea unel strategă de insvere)			
Acceptanța utilizatorului (de ec. celectanus și analica datolor vocate ale clienților, validerea conceptator cu utilizatorii)	0		0
Dezvellaria comunității (de ex. sprije pentrul consistante su alti ferment care se contructă cu producera micros aus aprim profits carecionis co companie care vid funcionată adaptile estrucionis			

1.2 10 00 0	in Secure Water and	oti de accert o	A ALASSA SERVICES	ATTAC MANAGEMENT OF THE

	Detec	Frants police	Dercom	Posite Hull
West descri la inovati la proprio mos formit.				
Siret că fac parte dintr-s rețea care mă sampilă să progresso cu afacenea mea în agricultură			0	0
Sunt uppratiqueld le schimble				
Palesanc dinic aplicații informatios în sprijinul afacorii mate				
Are acces subcoort la firjuttore jentre la disputar procedirà transformèri digitale a allacost male le agricultură				
Sunt antregrenor				
Experiența și cunsiprate tempos sunt principalul motor le lugica decizitor privinci forme și aflocesia				
Învirtar timp eli noflectez assipra inovadirlor pontru afacore, în apecial în su privinc tehnologiile digitale			0	0
Particip desson la eventmente si activitàto privinti sectorul agronituativa:				
Încorc dusant tehnologii și programe nuclin ocup profesional			0	0
Are on function die tehnologie externation				
Am o responsabilitate mus mure, no door ferma mea				
Sort optimist in or private vistorul afocorii male in agriculturii.				
lau decipi privindiferme și afacența mea pe baze datetor			0	0
Carace solutile tehnologice dependitie peren, forme pi afacerno mes				

Aptitudini digitale			Runded \$
	Limitacione l'intrediri se retorà la pièrens duminiavos digitale.	sinà despre digitalizare și cum farandi latinologiila	
	 Probabil că ați auzit foarte mult acest motiv ne interesează viziunea subiect. Ce înseamnă digital pentri varientele apticabile) 	dumneavoestră despre acest	
	Termental "cigital" se referà le baste accurdiçãe de remero infendiquial. Sentena, duptar "else desense ou termenua jumentorique". Termenua "duptar" se referà la baste aplicaţiile selecutare câre client. Sermenua "duptar" se referà la baste inventigüe por cere la face porter a referà la baste inventigüe po core la face porter a referà la baste inventigüe porterioriale anticomunicata la baseo compertimentale afacuni referà. Alticle (vid. naglien precisati)	Termenus, "oligital" ente musik musi ampitu decikt termenus "oligineriospu" gi individuali sur enud der glindine ordinette spore instructivo controlusi, lustres describir in music bira gloreno gi indiquenta sur musica producenta producenta sur musica eta policie deciminario de musica eta policie delicienti	
Viziune și viitor			Morránh 0
	tresticareis intretièri se referi la visiones dumenoses Sustante intercepi il a affin o considerati dumenavas detalat in funcție de cum considerati necesar. 15. Care vă sunt punctele tari? 16. Care considerați că este cea ma în viitor? (de ex. succesiumea, profi profesională și cea privată, a fi inov	tră ca find important; puteți răspunde fie sumar, fie ai mane provocane a dumneavoastră tabilitatea, echilibrul între viața	
	Ce oportunități vedeți în digitali dumneavoastră?	zarea activităților din ferma	
	18. Care considerați că este cea ma sectorului?	ii mare amenințare la adresa	
	19. Care vå sunt ambitiile pentru vi	itor?	
	20. De ce aveți nevoie pentru a vă	Indeplini aceste ambiții?	

Românii ‡ Informații de contact

Vă mulțumim foarte mult pentru timpul și cooperarea dumneaveastră. Putem să vă contactăm în viitor privind acest proiect? Dacă da, vă rugăm să vă completați datele mai jos.

23. Dorim să vă contactăm eventual în legătură cu acest sondaj

○ 0a ○ Na

Greek



Καλώς ήλθατε στην Έρευνα Ψηφιακών Αναγκών των Αγροτών

Ελληνικά 💠

Η έρευνα αυτή αποτελεί μέρος της πρωτοβουλίας H2020 SmartAgriHubs, με στόχο την επιτάχυνση του ψηφιακού μετασχηματισμού του ευρωπαϊκού αγροδιατροφικού τομέα. Στόχος αυτής της έρευνας είναι να προσδιορίσει τις σημαντικότερες ανάγκες ψηφιοποίησης του γεωργικού τομέα. Με τις απαντήσεις σας, το έργο μπορεί να καθορίσει και να δώσει προτεραιότητα στις ενέργειες του, συνεπώς η συνεισφορά σας έχει ζωτική σημασία. Αυτή η έρευνα διαρκεί περίπου 12 λεπτά για να ολοκληρωθεί. Όλες οι απαντήσεις που παρέχετε θα τηρούνται με την αυστηρότερη εμπιστευτικότητα και θα χρησιμοποιούνται μόνο για το έργο SmartAgriHubs Σας ευχαριστούμε για το χρόνο και τη συνεργασία σας. Η ομάδα του SmartAgriHubs



Εισαγωγή

Ελληνικά 💠

Τα ακόλουθα ερωτήματα σχετίζονται με τη θέση σας στον αγροτικό τομέα

1.	Σε ποια τοποθεσία (πόλη,	χώρα) δραστηριοποιείστε?
2.	Κύριος γεωργικός τομέας	(σημειώστε ΟΛΑ όσα ισχύουν)
А١	γροτική καλλιέργεια	
	Αγροτική καλλιέργεια	
	Φρούτα	
	Πουλερικά	
	Θερμοκήπια	
	Γαλακτοκομικά	
	Λαχανικά	
	Χοιροστάσιο	
	Οργανικά	
	Ζωοτεχνία (παρακαλούμε να μας δώσε βοοειδή, πρόβατα, κατσίκια)	τε περισσότερες λεπτομέρειες παρακάτω:
	Ελαιόδεντρα	
	Οικοσυστήματα αγροδασοπονίας π.χ. β περισσότερες λεπτομέρειες παρακάτω	οσκοτόπι (παρακαλούμε να μας δώσετε
	Άλλο (διευκρινίστε)	
3.	Ποιος είναι ο ρόλος σας?	
0	Αγρότης αποκλειστικά	Ο Αγρο-συνεταιριστική γεωργική
0	Γεωργός με μερική απασχόληση	εκμετάλλευση
\bigcirc	Ιδιοκτήτης, όχι αγρότης	Εξωτερικός πάροχος υπηρεσιών / προϊόντων
0	Εργάζομαι σε γεωργική εταιρεία	Ένωση αγροτών, οργάνωση ή
		ιδρυμα
0	Άλλο (διευκρινίστε)	
4.	Ηλικία	
	\$	
5.	Σε ποια περιφερειακή ομά	δα (Regional Cluster)
a٧	γἡκετε?	
	\$	
	Ποιο είναι το όνομα του ο εντρου Καινοτομίας (DIH) ι	ργανισμού ή του Ψηφιακού
	ευνα;	
	Προηγούμενο	ς Επόμενο

Δομή αγροκτήματος

Ελληνικά 💠

Τα διαφορετικά είδη εκμεταλλεύσεων έχουν διαφορετικές ανάγκες. Μας είπατε ότι είστε ο ίδιος ένας αγρότης, παρακαλούμε να μας δώσετε μια γενική εικόνα για το αγρόκτημά σας.

7. Πόσοι άνθρωποι εργάζονται στο αγρόκτημα για ολόκληρο το έτος κατά μέσο όρο; (παρακαλώ συμπεριλάβετε τους εποχιακούς εργαζόμενους και εκείνους που δεν κερδίζουν μισθό αλλά παροχές)
Ο Λιγότερο από 2 άτομα
Μεταξύ 2 και 10 ατόμων
Περισσότερα από 10 άτομα
8. Ποιο είναι το μέγεθος του αγροκτήματος?
Λιγότερο από 5 εκτάρια
Μεταξύ 5 και 30 εκτάρια
Περισσότεροι από 30 εκτάρια
Λιγότερο από 75 ζώα
Μεταξύ 75 και 300 ζώων
Περισσότερα από 300 ζώα

9. Ταξινομήστε το μέγεθος της φάρμας σας σε περιφερειακό επίπεδο



Πρόσβαση σε υπηρεσίες ψηφιακής καινοτομίας

Ελληνικά 💠

Οι ακόλουθες ερωτήσεις αφορούν την ψηφιοποίηση της γεωργίας: ποια είναι τα θέματα που σας ενδιαφέρουν σχετικά με την ψηφιοποίηση; Και σε ποιες υπηρεσίες ψηφιοποίησης έχετε πρόσβαση?

10. Σε ποιο βαθμό ενδιαφέρεστε για τα ακόλουθα θέματα?

	δεν ενδιαφέρομαι		ενδιαφέρομαι έντονα	προσπαθώ να το αντιμετωπίσω	ήδη το οντιμετωρίζα
Η ανάγκη να «παρακολουθούνται και να ιχνηλατούνται» προϊόντα ποιότητας από το αγρόκτημα στο πιρούνι (δηλ. Να βελτιώνονται τα συστήματα συιχνευσιμότητας έτσι ώστε οι καταναλωτές να γνωρίζουν από πού προέρχεται το προϊόν ή πώς υποβλήθηκε σε επεξεργασία)		•	•	•	•
Η ανάγκη βελτιστοποίησης των γεωργικών δραστηριστήτων (όπως η βελτίωση της άρδευσης, της γονιμοποίησης, της θεραπείας των ασθενειών, της συγκομιδής, της διαχείρισης και της παρακολούθησης του ζωικού κεφαλαίου)	0	0	0	0	0
Η ανάγκη για αλλαγή του τρόπου που πραγματοποιείται τις πωλήσεις σας (π.χ. άλλος τρόπος πώλησης προιόντων)		•			
Η ανάγκη χρήσης δεδομένων για λήψη καλύτερων αποφάσεων)	0	0	0	0	0
Η ανάγκη για περιβαλλοντικά βιώσιμη παραγωγή (π.χ. αξιοποίηση των ΤΠΕ για τη βελτίωση των περιβαλλοντικών επιδόσεων της παραγωγής τροφίμων και των αλυσίδων αξίας των γεωργικών προϊόντων διατροφής)		•			
Άλλο (διευκρινίστε)					

* 11. Παρακάτω θα βρείτε μια λίστα με υπηρεσίες.. Θα μπορούσατε να υποδείξετε πόση σημασία αποδίδετε στις υπηρεσίες αυτές για την προώθηση της ψηφιακής καινοτομίας για την επιχείρησή σας; (Η παρακάτω ερώτηση θα εξετάσει εάν θεωρείτε ότι οι υπηρεσίες αυτές είναι διαθέσιμες για εσάς ως γεωργός)

	Δεν έχει σημασία	Έχει μικρή σημασια	Ουδέτερος	Μάλλον σημαντικό	Πολύ σημαντικό
Πρόσβαση σε χρηματοδότηση και κεφάλαιο (π.χ. χρηματοοικονομική τεχνική, σύνδεση με πηγές χρηματοδότησης, επενδυτικός προγραμματισμός)					
Υποστήριξη επιχειρηματικού σχεδιασμού (π.χ. εμπορία, διανομή)	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Δεξιότητες και Εκπαίδευση (π.χ. μαθήματα, εργαστήρια, προσφορά τεχνολογικής υποδομής για εκπαιδευτικούς σκοπούς					
Συμμέτοχή σε Συνεργατική Έρευνα & Ανάπτυξη με εταιρίες, πανεπιστήμια και άλλους οργανισμούς	0	0	0	0	0
Τεχνική υποστήριξη για την ενσωμάτωση νέων τεχνολογιών στη γεωργική σας επιχείρηση					
Συμμετοχή σε πιλοτικά έργα, έργα επίδειξης για νέα προϊόντα ή υπηρεσίες	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Εκκολαπτήριο / Επιταχυντής επιχειρήσεων (π.χ. αξιολόγηση αγοράς, ανάπτυξη επιχειρήσεων)					
Mentoring/Συμβουλευτική (μεταξύ αγροτών ή επιχειρήσεων και χρηστών)	0	\circ	\circ	0	\circ
Οραματισμό και Ανάπτυξη Στρατηγικής (π.χ. ανάλυση της αγοράς, ανάπτυξη στρατηγικής καινοτομίας)					
Αποδοχή από τον χρήστη (π.χ. συλλογή και ανάλυση γνώμης δεδομένων πελατών, επικύρωση ιδεών με χρήστες)	0	0	0	0	0
Κτίσιμο Κοινοτήτων (π.χ. συνεργασίες με άλλους αγρότες μα παρόμοιες ανάγκες ή με επιχειρήσεις που σας δίνουν τεχνολογικές λύσεις)					

12. Οι υπηρεσίες αυτές είναι διαθέσιμες στην επιχείρησή σας?

	Nai	Οχι	Εν μέρει
Πρόσβαση σε χρηματοδότηση και κεφάλαιο (π.χ. χρηματοοικονομική τεχνική, σύνδεση με πηγές χρηματοδότησης, επενδυτικός προγραμματισμός)	0	•	0
Υποστήριξη επιχειρηματικού σχεδιασμού (π.χ. εμπορία, διανομή)	\circ	\circ	\circ
Δεξιότητες και Εκπαίδευση (π.χ. μαθήματα, εργαστήρια, προσφορά τεχνολογικής υποδομής για εκπαιδευτικούς σκοπούς	0	•	0
Συμμέτοχή σε Συνεργατική Έρευνα & Ανάπτυξη με εταιρίες, πανεπιστήμια και άλλους οργανισμούς	0	0	0
Τεχνική υποστήριξη για την ενσωμάτωση νέων τεχνολογιών στη γεωργική σας επιχείρηση	0	•	0
Συμμετοχή σε πιλοτικά έργα, έργα επίδειξης για νέα προϊόντα ή υπηρεσίες	\circ	\circ	\circ
Εκκολαπτήριο / Επιταχυντής επιχειρήσεων (π.χ. αξιολόγηση αγοράς, ανάπτυξη επιχειρήσεων)	0		0
Mentoring/Συμβουλευτική (μεταξύ αγροτών ή επιχειρήσεων και χρηστών)	\circ	\circ	\circ
Οραματισμό και Ανάπτυξη Στρατηγικής (π.χ. ανάλυση της αγοράς, ανάπτυξη στρατηγικής καινοτομίας)	0		0
Αποδοχή από τον χρήστη (π.χ. συλλογή και ανάλυση γνώμης δεδομένων πελατών, επικύρωση ιδεών με χρήστες)	0	\circ	0
Κτίσιμο Κοινοτήτων (π.χ. συνεργασίες με άλλους αγρότες μα παρόμοιες ανάγκες ή με επιχειρήσεις που σας δίνουν τεχνολογικές λύσεις)	0		0

13. Σε ποιο βαθμό συμφωνείτε με τις ακόλουθες δηλώσεις?

	καθόλου	πολύ λίγο	κάπως	πάρα πολύ
Συχνά χρησιμοποιώ τη φαντασία μου για να βλέπω τις καινοτομίες στο αγρόκτημα μου				•
Αισθάνομαι ότι είμαι μέρος ενός δικτύου που με υποστηρίζει να προωθήσω τη γεωργική δραστηριότητα	\circ	0	0	0
Είμαι ευέλικτος στις αλλαγές	0	0	0	0
Χρησιμοποιώ τις ΤΠΕ σε καθημερινή βάση για να υποστηρίξω την επιχείρησή μου	0	\circ	\circ	0
Έχω επαρκή πρόσβαση σε χρηματοδότηση και κεφάλαιο ώστε να αντιμετωπίσω θέματα ψηφιοποίησης				
Είμαι επιχειρηματίας	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Η εμπειρία και οι τεχνικές γνώσεις είναι το κύριο κίνητρο για τη λήψη αποφάσεων για την επιχείρηση				
Παίρνω χρόνο για να αναλογιστώ την καινοτομία για την επιχείρησή μου, ειδικά όσον αφορά τις ψηφιακές τεχνολογίες	\circ	0	0	0
Παρακολουθώ συχνά εκδηλώσεις και δραστηριότητες που σχετίζονται με τα γεωργικά προϊόντα διατροφής				
Συχνά δοκιμάζω νέα τεχνολογία και λογισμικό για επαγγελματική χρήση	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Έχω έναν εξωτερικό πάροχο τεχνολογίας	0	0	0	0
Έχω μεγαλύτερη ευθύνη απ 'ό, τι μόνο η φάρμα μου	\circ	0	\circ	0
Είμαι αισιόδοξος για το μέλλον της γεωργικής μου δραστηριότητας				•
Λαμβάνω αποφάσεις σχετικά με το αγρόκτημα με βάση δεδομένα	0	\circ	\circ	0
Έχω πλήρη επίγνωση σχετικά με τις τεχνολογίες για το αγρόκτημα και την επιχείρησή μου				•

Προηγούμενος Επόμενο

Ψηφιακές δυνατότητες


Ελληνικά 💠

Οι ακόλουθες ερωτήσεις αφορούν τις σκέψεις σας σχετικά με την ψηφιοποίηση και τον τρόπο χρήσης της τεχνολογίας.

14. Μάλλον έχετε ακούσει π αλλά το όραμά σας για αυτό ψηφιοποίηση για εσάς; (επιλ	είναι σημαντικό. Τι σημαίνει
Η ψηφιοποίηση αναφέρεται σε όλες τις δραστηριότητες τεχνολογικής καινοτομίας Η ψηφιοποίηση είναι συνώνυμο της τεχνολογίας Η ψηφιοποίηση αναφέρεται σε όλες τις δραστηριότητες τεχνολογίας που αντιμετωπίζουν οι πελάτες Η ψηφιοποίηση αναφέρεται σε όλες τις επενδύσεις που πραγματοποπούψε για την ενσωμάτωση της τεχνολογίας σε όλα τα μέρη της επιχείρησής μας. Άλλο (διευκρινίστε)	Η ψηφιακή τεχνολογία ξεπερνά την τεχνολογία μόνο για να αντικατοπτρίζει μια νοοτροπία που αγκαλιάζει τη συνεχή καινοτομία, τη σταθερή λήψη αποφάσεων και την ενσωμάτωση της τεχνολογίας σε όλες τις φάσεις της επιχείρησης Η ψηφιοποίηση αναφέρεται σε όλα τα δεδομένα και την αναλύσή τους Δεν είμαι σίγουρος
sur	ις Επόμενο εται από την vey/Monkey· • η δημουργόα μας έρευνας.

Ελληνικά 💠 Οι ακόλουθες ερωτήσεις σχετίζονται με το όραμά σας για το μέλλον. Μας ενδιαφέρει αυτό που θεωρείτε σημαντικό. 15. Ποια είναι τα δυνατά σας σημεία? 16. Τι πιστεύετε ότι είναι η μεγαλύτερη πρόκλησή σας για το μέλλον; (π.χ. διαδοχή, κερδοφορία, ισορροπία μεταξύ της εργασίας και της ζωής, διατήρηση της καινοτομίας ...) 17. Ποιες ευκαιρίες βλέπετε στην ψηφιοποίηση της γεωργικής σας δραστηριότητας? 18. Τι θεωρείτε ότι είναι η μεγαλύτερη απειλή στον τομέα;? 19. Ποια είναι η φιλοδοξία σας για το μέλλον? 20. Τι χρειάζεστε για να εκπληρώσετε αυτή τη φιλοδοξία? SMART Copy of Farmers survey Στοιχεία επικοινωνίας Ελληνικά 💠 Σας ευχαριστούμε πολύ για το χρόνο και τη συνεργασία σας. Μπορούμε να επικοινωνήσουμε μαζί σας στο μέλλον σχετικά με αυτό το έργο; Αν ναι, παρακαλώ μοιραστείτε τα στοιχεία επικοινωνίας σας εδώ. 21. Στοιχεία επικοινωνίας Оуоµа Етагріа Χώρα Email 22. Έχετε άλλα σχόλια, ερωτήσεις ή ανησυχίες? 23. Θα θέλαμε να επικοινωνήσουμε μαζί σας σχετικά με αυτήν την έρευνα Ναι παρακαλώ Οχι ευχαριστώ

Serbian



Or and Artiflet spurmings apopain the uniparating my youquies: not also to bipone not not exhault our

10. Σε ποιο βαθμό ενδιαφέρεστε για τα ακόλουθα θέματα?

	for sylhopipous	mé opipium Alex	nobelaspopo armova	npermellis va No prosperiora	itte re errorrente
И опідкат на невроходилійностів как не протратизатим проблат пообтрать ото то этомостівся это павочні ВА. Че Відні вимостів на пастірате опусковіратуро стів комі за неторальній сті учиротни ате то томобрудна то пробля в нісь иншейлійних за опідаручного.					
Η ανέφεις βέλτεπακαίστες των γνωρικών δραιτημιοτήτων (όπος η βέλτδιαση της αμθένους, της γνωμανίστης, της θερατώς, των ασθεντών, της συγκομάδης, της δεκτού κοροδιάσεις					
Η ονέρεη με αλλαγή του πρόπευ που προεματολοκίται τις πωλλακή συς (Λ. g. δύλος πρόπος πόλησης προέκτων)					
Η ογένες χρήσης δεδομένων για λέψη κακύττρων αποφάσεων)					0
Η ανόγκη για περιβαλλοντικό βιώσερη παραγωγή (π.χ. οδικοιοίρση των ΤΠΕ σει τη βελτίσση των περιβαλλοντικών αποθόσεων της επιρεσωγής τροφέρων και των δικοίδεων αξίος των επιφροσών προϊστικό Εκπροφής.)					
WAs (Susspeciality)					

* 11. Παρακάτω θα βρείτε μια λίστο με υπορεσίες... Θα μπορούσστε να υποδείξετε πόση σημοσία αποδίδετε στις υπηρεσίες αυτές για την προώθηση της ψηφιακής καινοτομίας για την επιχείρησή σας; (Η παρακάτω ερώτηση θα εξετάσει εάν θεωρείτε ότι οι υπηρεσίες συτές είναι διαθέσημες για εσός ως γεωργάς)

	And territories	Tan event manne	(Subtrape)	PRAINT (Toki dryannok
Πρόσφαση να χρηματοδείτηση και καράλοια (π. χ. χρηματοοκτικομή τοχνικό, σολόταια με τογές χρηματοδότησης, επινόμτικές αμαγραμματομός)					
τεοστέριξη επιχορηματικού αγεδιφαμού (ε.χ. εμπορίο, διόνεφε)	0	0			
δεξώτητες και θυσείθευση (π.χ. μαθήματα, οργαστήριο, προσφορό περιολογικής υποδομής για εκπειδευτικούς ενώπους					
Συμμέτορό σε Συνεργατική Υρουνα & Ανδιτούη με εταιρίες, πανεπατήμει και άλλους ορκανομούς	0	0		0	0
Τορκεί επαστηρίη με την ενσυμότωση είναι τερκοιογείν στη επυρεική έας επισέσσες					
Συμμετοχή σε πλοτικό έργα, έργα milledjąς για κέε τιμεϊόντα ή κατρασίας		0	0	0	0
Ευτολοπήριο / Επικοχωνής, επιμασήστων (π.μ. πλολόγητα αγορός, ανόπτιξη (πριταπίστων)					
Memoring/Suplautournel, (serieli) eysemile (i engoptimus esi ypnjmise)					0
Operating to Anderson Transports (n.g. and Andre victorial) Property (n.g. and Andre victorial) Property (n.g. and Andre victorial)					
Ανοδοχή από τον χρήστη (π.χ. συλλογή και συλλυση γκόμης διδεφένων πελατών, επικέρωση ιδεύν με χρήστες)	0				
Andrea Revertines (n.y. marpender un baleau anphre y de replaces, andreas; è pe angrejanc, mu est foncer reproducest, kines;)					

12. Οι υπηρεσίες αυτές είναι διαθέσιμες στην επιχείρηση σος?

	No	.Opi	Bryaton
Πρόσδοση σε χρηματοθέτηση και κοφόλαιο ότι μ χρηματοικικός καθή τεχνική, σύνδοση με κηγές χρηματοθέτησης, εκενδικικός προγραμματομές)			
Υνωστήμιζη επιχορηματικού σχεδικουμού (π.χ. εμπιφία, διανομή):			0
Διβίτοτης και διοκόδοικη (ε.χ. μολήματο, προσοπίμει, προκορομό τοχνολογικός υποδομός αια ακτοδευτικούς σκοσιαις.			
Συμμέτης το Συστροστού Έρευνα & Ανάπτυξη με στορίες, πουστοτήμοι σει άλλους οργένουμούς		0	
Tryowit accompolity on the exceptioning when transference and programs doe, amorphosis			
Συμμετική τα πΑετικά έργα, έργα επίδοξης απι νέα πρόξοτα ή υπορασίας			0
Beschonnigus / Emiteljumiy, emetopristuse (rcg. attektivana syradis, eviernotis emetoprismus)			
Montening (Supplicateursely (penaltir expensive é emproplemas sou poportias)			
Opepareus no Anamula Transmini, (m.a. evalues, no, ayean, oxomula organizacji, november)			
Αποδοχή εσό τον χρήστη (π.χ. συλλεγή και ανέλιωτη γνομης δεδοχώνων πελεπών, επικόρωση θυών με χρήστες)	0	0	0
RTONJO KONSTREV (R.g., OWENYOCKIC JAI ÖRBAN) OYPETRI JAI RANGONO OVERNO, R. JAI OTREGERANI, FILIA OXX SERVA PROVINCIANE MODEL			

Expot gorphumous or quantizate pers yet or plates for second control or plates for second control oppositions are second control oppositions are second control oppositions are second control oppositions or control oppositions of control oppositions oppositions of control oppositions of control oppositions of control oppositions of control oppositions opposi
Recoference in the popular care process and a second care process and
Euer sectioners et au place of the control of the c
Recognization for constitution of bloom you are associated to the constitution of the
Face entailed notification on appropriate filtering enterphonology. Black throughouthers, All spinings with an intermedit inventor, other the induse enterphonology are an intermedit and intermediate and intermedia
Elem designanches H spinight alle in tigeness, several clear the major whereas we m Major simplement per the major whereas we m Major simplement per the major whereas we m Major simplement per the major the majorant person are conscious many experiences per true majorant person alless done apopal true proposed; tropostopie: The semination is an experience and dispersion person and appropriate and experience are to semination and appropriate and experience and appropriate
If spirospie we are spended species of the spirite spi
нестрои из то Мири заправления уче тур аправления Пеферо драбо и не отискорного или учениетера уче тер втараборя деко, а вене деко учениетера уче тер втараборя деко, а вене деко учениетера уче тер втараборя деко, а вене деко учение деко учениетер Тер до доходор от то декорой тер учение учениетер Тер до доходор от то декорой от декорой от декорой от декорой от декорой от декорой учение учени
Try integricingly post, allead dates expent the proposeds Tryperhopies The provider the tropped on the provider post of provider the confidence on the provider the confidence on the provider that the provider the provider that the provid
Take Capital Service alone to the Security of Security
Total price purpose a partiers Tigan de con albestancio misposa regionalerina; Tigan percolarizana confirme der 16, 11 primo di pelapara prim Elyan annibilitato, qua tra pubblica mis, y conjenente, primi disconnecionerica. App 2010 de convenido des confirme de la confirmenza per 30 mm.
Yan perpetunga sulliver an "E. in pileo is pelapat pile. Ilipia deddddair yan to plikkan mit, yraxynantis yani. Asaptinjaningan: Asaptinio conspictus, systmid as to onydermas pic blimi.
Είμαι ακαθόδερ, για το μέλλου τιας γκούογιαθες μου Αμεριστρούγερος. Αμερίδου στουράσεις πρέτοιο ές το ογγάθεσημας με βάντη
Augdons crospotes; reprind se to cyclemae se Shen
Angdown connectors; myerind pic to enyolempas pic \$6mm
Basi roldan originam operat an nei trapologic yie tri
depotentials has the selectional pain.
ΤΕΣς. Οι ακόπουθες ερωτέσεις οφαικών τις ανέφειε, σας σχετικέ με την φερικοπένεια και τον τρόπο χρήσεις, της, παρτολογίας.
14. Μάλλον έχετε ακαύσει παλλά για την ψηφιοποίηση, αλλά το όραμά σας για συτά είναι σημοντικό. Τι σημαίνει ψηφιοποίηση γιο εσός: (επιλέξεε ΩΛΑ όσο ισχύουν)
H gregomentes sneeplaatus es élec to; H gregolos tigostopis l'aspetopis tre tigostopis de gregolos de gregolos de gregolos de proposition de gregolos de gregolos de gregolos de proposition de gregolos de gregol
If any according soon covariance the assemble of executive to create the
TOUGHANNES THE MAKE T
documpotents, recently from H spageconders, everytherms as that to delegate an extraprometric, recently recentl
If sequenceinth overlatarral et élec to; envelopes envelopes, envelopes, envelopes, envelopes, envelopes, envelopes, envelopes, envelopes, en envelopes, en el
☐ MANO (Singuignolitri)
DV
Οι ακόλουθες ερωτήσεις αχιτίζονται με το δραμό σας για το μέλλον. Μας ενδιαφέρα αυτό που θεωρείτε σεμιοντικό.
15. Ποια είναι τα δυνατά σας σημεία?
16. Τι πιστεύετε ότι είναι η μεγαλύτερη πρόκλησή σας για το μέλλον; (π.χ. διαδοχή, κερδοφορία, ισορραπία μεταξύ της εργασίας και της ζωή διατήρηση της καινοτομίας)
 Ποιες ευκαιρίες βλέπετε στην ψηφιοποίηση της γεωργικής σας δραστηριότητας?
18. Τι θεωρείτε ότι είναι η μεγαλύτερη απειλή στον τομέα;?
19. Ποια είναι η φιλοδοξία σας για το μέλλον?
20. Τι χραάζεστε για να εκπληρώσετε αυτή τη φιλοδοξία?
20. Τι χρειάζεστε για να εκπληρώσετε συτή τη φιλοδοξία?

Zrovysia snikowawiac

To, exponencial ratio just to gains on the management one, Phopolips on preciously force and other methods on parts to be a fine of the control of the contr

94 Wannalin					
	επικοινωνίας				
Deman					
Treate					
Nides .					
tilos .					
Ireal					
Problemon					
ez. sgere sir	λα σχάλια, ει	Am II Interes o	Levinoxe		
23. Θα θέλα έρευνα	не уа елькогу	ιννήσουμε	μαζί σας ι	εχετικό με σι	ιτήν την
) Na repaioté.					
O the namework					

7. ANNEX III: DIGITAL INNOVATION HUBS SERVICES SURVEY

You can find the survey here in different languages:

English: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs-DIHs

Spanish: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs-DIHs?lang=es
Serbian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs-DIHs?lang=sr

English

Welcome to the Digital Innovation Hubs Survey

This survey is part of the H2020 initiative SmartAgriHubs, aiming to accelerate the digital transformation of the European agrifood sector.

The goal of this survey is to identify the most important digitalisation needs of the farming sector, and the role of Digital Innovation Hubs to support innovations for digital transformation of the sector. With your answers, the project can define and prioritise actions, therefore your input is of crucial importance. This survey takes approximately 12 minutes to complete. All answers you provide will be kept in the strictest confidentiality and will be used only for the SmartAgriHubs project.

Thank you for your time and cooperation, the SmartAgriHubs team

Introduction

The following questions are related to your DIH

*	Which Digital Innovation Hub do you	u represent?
*	2. What sector do you serve mainly?	
	Arable farming	Piggery
	Fruits	Organic
	Poultry	Olive trees
	Greenhouses	Animal husbandry (ie. cattle, sheep, goat,
	Dairy	please give us more detail below)
	Vegetables	 Agroforestry ecosystems, like dehesa (pleas give us more detail below)
	Other (please specify)	
*	3. Which Regional cluster are you rela	ited to?

* 4.	In which location (city, country) is your DIH based?
* 5.	When were you established? (MM-YYYY)
* _{6.}	How would you describe the digital innovation you provide to the sector?
* 7.	What is your role in the DIH?
0	Manager
0	Consultant
0	Advisor
0	Accountant
0	Researcher
0	Other (please specify)

Community

$f{st}$ 8. Could you indicate to which other entities your DIH is connected? (check ALL
that apply)
University/Research Center
Competence Center
Other DIH
Local SME's
Local larger businesses
Farmer association(s)/communitie(s)
Education & training institutes
(Local) government
Orchestrator
Incubator/accelerator/startup programs
Other (please specify)
9. Could you tell us what kind of events your DIH has organised in the last 12 months (please state the date, a short description of the topic, and number of attendees)?
Vision
The following questions are related to your vision for the future. We are interested in what you find important; you can answer either high-level or detailed according to what you feel.
10. What are your strenghts?

11. What do you feel is your biggest challenge for the future?
12. What do you consider your greatest contribution to the sector?
13. What is your ambition for the future?
and the second s
14. What do you need to fulfill this ambition?

DIH Services

The following questions are about digitalisation of farming: what are your topics of interest regarding digitalisation? And which digitalisation services are you delivering as a DIH?

* 15. Taking into account the farmers needs, please identify in which you are interested in supplying services

	Not interested	A bit interested	Strongly interested	Trying to address it	Already addresing it
The need to "Track and Trace" quality products from farm-to-fork (i.e. improving traceability systems so consumers know where the product comes from or how it was processed)	0	0	0	0	0
The need to optimise farm operations (such as improving irrigation, fertilisation, disease treatment, harvesting, livestock management and administration)	0	0	0	0	\circ
The need for new business models (with a specific focus on adaptable and flexible digital solutions to address the business needs of farms)	0	0	0	0	0
The need to combine and exchange data to create value (such as developing standards, knowledge and infrastructures for collecting data from the field with sensors, satellite or drone imagery to make better decisions)	0	0	0	0	0
The need for environmentally-sustainable production (e.g. making use of ICT to improve the environmental performance of food production and agrifood value chains)	0	0	0	0	0

* 16. Below you find a list of services that DIHs can deliver. Could you please indicate how much importance you ascribe to this service to operate as a hub?

	Of no importance	Of minor importance	Neutral	Rather important	Very important
Access to finance and funding (e.g. financial engineering, connection to funding sources, investment planning)	0	0	0	0	0
Business planning support (e.g. marketing, distribution)	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ
Skills and Education (e.g. courses, workshops, offering technological infrastructure for educational purposes	0	0	0	0	0
(Collaborative) R&D (e.g. technology concept development, realising proof of concepts)	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ
Technical Support (e.g. prototyping, small series production)	0	0	0	0	0
Testing (e.g. certification, product qualification)	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	0
Incubator/Accelerator (e.g. market assessment, business development)	0	0	0	0	0
Mentoring (in the network) (e.g. training of/by other hubs and competences centres)	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	0	0
Visioning and Strategy Development (e.g. market intelligence, innovation strategy development)	0	0	0	0	0
User acceptance (e.g. collecting and analysing voice of customer data, concept validation with users)	0	0	0	0	0
Community Building (e.g. scouting for partners, marketing communication, ecosystem building)	0	0	0	0	0

* 17. Are these services implemented in your DIH?

	Yes	No	Partially
Access to finance and funding (e.g. financial engineering, connection to funding sources, investment planning)	0	0	0
Business planning support (e.g. marketing, distribution)	\circ	0	0
Skills and Education (e.g. courses, workshops, offering technological infrastructure for educational purposes	0	0	0
(Collaborative) R&D (e.g. technology concept development, realising proof of concepts)	\bigcirc	\circ	0
Technical Support (e.g. prototyping, small series production)	0	0	0
Testing (e.g. certification, product qualification)	\circ	\circ	\circ
Incubator/Accelerator (e.g. market assessment, business development)	0	0	0
Mentoring (in the network) (e.g. training of/by other hubs and competences centres)	\circ	\circ	0
Visioning and Strategy Development (e.g. market intelligence, innovation strategy development)	0	0	0
User acceptance (e.g. collecting and analysing voice of customer data, concept validation with users)	0	0	0
Community Building (e.g. scouting for partners, marketing communication, ecosystem building)	0	0	0

Delivering services

The following questions refer to the tools currently used to deliver services and tools needed.

*	18.	What tools do you currently use to deliver services? (check ALL that apply)
		Webinars
		Live events
		Workshops
		Canvasses / templates
		Train the trainer events
		Connection to other (champion) hubs
		Portal (to deliver 1 or more of above mentioned services)
		E-learnings
		Documentation
		None of them
		Other (please specify)
*	19.	Do you feel you are currently missing tools to adequately deliver services?
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
	If ye	es, which ones?

Digital Capabilities

The following questions are about your thoughts on digitalisation, how farmers use technology and you provide services to them.

*	* 20. You probably heard a lot about digitalisation, but your own vision about that					
	interests us.					
,	What does digital mean to you? (check	ALL tha	t apply)			
Digital refers to all technology innovation- related activities Digital goes beyond technology reflect a mindset that embraces			aces con	stant		
	Digital is synonymous with technology	Integration of technology into all phase business business Digital refers to all data and analytics all refers to all the investments we are activities Unsure			_	
	Digital refers to all customer-facing technology activities			d analytic	·	
	Digital refers to all the investments we are making to integrate technology into all parts cour business					
	Other (please specify)					
	21. Cloud services are mainly accessed smartphone and may be used anywhere		internet	browser	or your	
	How important do you consider the follo	wing clo	ud servid	ces shoul	d be for	a
		Not	ud servid		d be for Very	
			Of Little	Of Average Importance	Very	Absolutely
		Not Important	Of Little	Of Average	Very	Absolutely
	farmer's business? Customer applications: Gmail, Dropbox,	Not Important	Of Little	Of Average	Very	Absolutely
	farmer's business? Customer applications: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram or similar Business productivity: Office365, Google Apps,	Not Important	Of Little	Of Average	Very	Absolutely
	farmer's business? Customer applications: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram or similar Business productivity: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype or similar Enterprise applications: Salesforce, SAP web,	Not Important	Of Little	Of Average	Very	Absolutely
	Customer applications: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram or similar Business productivity: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype or similar Enterprise applications: Salesforce, SAP web, SAGE web or any other web based ERP/CRM Infrastructure/applications: FiWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AWS, Google Cloud, Heroku	Not Important	Of Little	Of Average	Very	Absolutely

* 22. To what extent do you see farmers actually making use of these cloud services to support their business?

	Very frequently	Occasionally	Seldom	Rarely	Never
Customer applications: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram or similar	0	0	0	0	0
Business productivity: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype or similar	0	0	\circ	\circ	0
Enterprise applications: Salesforce, SAP web, SAGE web or any other web based ERP/CRM	0	0	0	0	0
Infrastructure/applications: FiWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AWS, Google Cloud, Heroku or similar	0	0	0	0	0
Farm management applications: any web or mobile app to manage the farm such as a field diary and livestock management	•	•	0	0	•

* 23. How important do you consider the following digital services should be for a farmer's business?

	Not Important At All	Of Little Importance	Of Average Importance	Very important	Absolutely essential
Obtain and analyse aerial images to make better decisions (e.g. obtained with satellites or drones)	0	0	0	0	0
Analyze existing own data from field, livestock, business or customers to make informed decisions (business intelligence)	\circ	0	0	\circ	0
Use of programmable robots for farming or agro-industry tasks, autonomous vehicles and any other autonomous collaborative machines	0	0	0	0	0
Monitor farming and agro-industry conditions to make better decisions (e.g. sensoring)	0	0	0	0	0
Access your data, applications, software and any other tools over the internet	0	0	0	0	0
Predict harvest, production, diseases, weather, maintenance on equipment or market conditions	0	\circ	0	\circ	0
Use virtual environments for training, education or collaboration using glasses	0	0	0	0	0
Overlay a digital layer to reality or use video inmersive experiences to improve information management in the field or agro-industry using smartphones or glasses	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	0	\circ
Using technology to track and monitor product delivery and supply chain	0	0	0	0	0
Other (please specify)					
* 24. Are you assessing farmer needs in	these ap	plication	areas?	es	No
Obtain and analyse aerial images to make bette obtained with satellites or drones)	r decisions	s (e.g.			0
How?					

	Yes	No
Analyze existing own data from field, livestock, business or customers to make informed decisions (business intelligence)	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
How?		
Use of programmable robots for farming or agro-industry tasks, autonomous vehicles and any other autonomous collaborative machines	0	0
How?		
Monitor farming and agro-industry conditions to make better decisions (e.g. sensoring)	0	0
How?		
Access your data, applications, software and any other tools over the internet	0	0
How?		
Predict harvest, production, diseases, weather, maintenance on equipment or market conditions	\circ	\bigcirc
How?		
Use virtual environments for training, education or collaboration using glasses	0	0
How?		
Overlay a digital layer to reality or use video inmersive experiences to improve information management in the field or agro-industry using smartphones or glasses	\circ	\circ
How?		
Using technology to track and monitor product delivery and supply chain How?	0	0
Tiow:		

Contact information

Thank you very much for your time and cooperation.

May we contact you in the future regarding this project? If yes, please share your contact information here.

Name		
Company		i
City/Town		-
Country		
Email Address	7/	
Phone Number		7
26. Do you have any ot	ther comments, questions, or con	cerns
27. We would like to ev	entually contact you about this s	urvey
Yes, please		
No, thanks		

Spanish



Bienvenido a la Encuesta sobre Hubs de Innovación Digital (DIHs)

Español \$

Esta encuesta forma parte de la iniciativa H2020

SmartAgriHubs que tiene el objetivo de acelerar la transformación digital del sector agroalimentario europeo. El objetivo de la encuesta es identificar las necesidades de digitalización más importantes para el sector primario. Con tus respuestas, el proyecto puede definir y priorizar actuaciones, así que tus respuestas son relevantes. Esta encuesta no te llevará más de 12 minutos. Todas las respuestas que nos proporciones serán estrictamente confidenciales y serán usadas solo para el proyecto SmartAgriHubs.

Gracias por tu tiempo y tu cooperación, el equipo SmartAgriHubs.



The following questions are related to your DIH

1. ¿A qué Hub de Innovacio	ón Digital representas?
2. ¿Con qué sector trabajas	s principalmente?
Tierra de cultivo	Porcino
Frutas	Orgánica/Ecológica
Avícola	Olivar
Invernaderos	Otra ganadería (p.ej. vacuno, ovino,
Lácteo	caprino, por favor, indícalo más abajo)
Verduras	Dehesa u otros sistemas agroforestales (indícalo abajo)
Otro (especifique)	
	,
3. ¿A qué Regional Cluster	está vinculado?
\$	
4. ¿Dónde está el DIH (ciud	dad, país)?
5. ¿Cuándo se fundó el DIH	H? (MM-YYY)
6. ¿Cómo describirías la inn	novación digital que aportas al
sector?	
7. ¿Cuál es tu papel en el [DIH?
○ Gerente	
Consultor	
Consejero	
○ Administrativo	
○ Investigador	
Otro (especifique)	
Ant.	Sig.



DIH conectado? (selecciona TODAS las que correspondan)
Centro de Investigación/Universidad
Centro de Competencias
Otros DIH
Pymes locales
Grandes empresas locales
Comunidades/Asociaciones de agricultores
Centro de enseñanza profesional
Administraciones locales
Orchestrator
Programas de incubación, aceleración o para startups
Otro (especifique)
9. ¿Podrías decirnos qué tipo de eventos ha organizado el DIH en los últimos 12 meses? (por favor, incluye la fecha, una breve descripción del tema y número de asistentes)
Ant. Sig.

> Las siguientes preguntas está relacionadas con tu visión sobre el futuro. Nos interesa saber qué consideras importante; puedes contestar con el nivel de detalle que te parezca oportuno.

10. ¿Cuáles son tus fortalezas?
11. ¿Cuál crees que es tu mayor reto para el futuro?
12. ¿Cual consideras que ha sido vuestra principal contribución al sector?
d
13. ¿Cuál es tu aspiración para el futuro?
14. ¿Qué necesitas para llegar a cumplir con esa aspiración?
Ant. Sig.

Servicios del DIH Español ♦

Las siguientes preguntas tratan sobre digitalización en agroalimentación: ¿Cuáles son los temas de interés en relación a la digitalización? ¿Y que servicios de digitalización estás prestando como DIH?

15. Teniendo en cuenta las necesidades de los agricultores, por favor identifica en cuales tienes interés por prestar servicios.

	No me interesa	interesa un poco	muy interesado	intentando evaluarlo	Estoy evaluándolo
La necesidad de hacer Seguimiento y Trazabilidad a productos de calidad de la finca a la mesa(p.ej. mejorar los sistemas de trazabilidad de modo que los consumidores sepan de donde proceden los productos o como fueron procesados)	•	•	•	•	•
La necesidad de optimizar las operaciones de la explotación (como mejorar el riego, la fertilización, el tratamiento de plagas, cosecha, gestión de ganado y la administración)	0	0	0	0	0
La necesidad de nuevos modelos de negocio (con un enfoque específico en soluciones adaptables y flexibles para evaluar las necesidades de negocio de los agricultores)	0	0	•	•	•
La necesidad de combinar e intercambiar datos para crear valor añadido (como el desarrollo de estándares, conocimiento e infraestructura para recoger datos del campo con sensores, satélites o drones para una mejor toma de decisiones)	0	0	0	0	0
La necesidad de una producción ambientalmente sostenible (p.ej. haciendo uso de la tecnología para mejora el rendimiento ambiental de la cadena de valor agroalimentaria y de producción de alimentos)	•	•	•	•	•

16. Aquí tienes una lista de servicios que los DIH pueden prestar. ¿Podrías indicarnos cuanta importancia le das a cada servicio para operar como hub?

	Sin importancia	Poco importante	Neutral	Bastante importante	Muy importante
Acceso a financiación e inversión (p.ej. financiación de ingeniería, conexión a fuentes de inversión, planificación financiera)	•	0	•	0	•
Ayuda para el desarrollo del plan de negocios (p.ej. marketing, distribución)	0	\circ	0	\circ	\circ
Habilidades y educación (p.ej. cursos, talleres, infraestructura tecnológica para aprender)	•	•	0	•	•
I+D colaborativa (p.ej desarrollo de tecnología, desarrollo de pruebas de concepto)	0	0	0	0	0
Soporte técnico (p.ej. prototipado, producción en series pequeñas)	0	0	0	0	0
Pruebas y tests (p.ej. certificación, calidad de producto)	0	0	0	0	0
Incubación/aceleración (p.ej. asesoramiento de mercado, desarrollo de negocio)	0	•	0	0	0
Mentorización (en la red) (p.ej. capacitación de/por otros hubs o centros de competencia)	0	\circ	0	\circ	0
Visión y desarrollo estratégico (p.ej. estudios de mercado, desarrollo de estrategia de innovación)	•	0	•	0	•
Pruebas de mercado (p.ej. recoger y analizar opiniones de usuarios, validación de conceptos con usuarios)	0	0	0	0	0
Desarrollo de comunidad (p.ej. búsqueda de socios, comunicación y marketing de la comunidad, construcción de ecosistema)	•	0	0	0	•

17. ¿Están estos servicios implementados en tu DIH?

	Sí	No	En parte
Acceso a financiación e inversión (p.ej. financiación de ingeniería, conexión a fuentes de inversión, planificación financiera)	•	•	•
Ayuda para el desarrollo del plan de negocios (p.ej. marketing, distribución)	\circ	\circ	\circ
Habilidades y educación (p.ej. cursos, talleres, infraestructura tecnológica para aprender)	•	0	•
I+D colaborativa (p.ej desarrollo de tecnología, desarrollo de pruebas de concepto)	0	0	0
Soporte técnico (p.ej. prototipado, producción en series pequeñas)	0	0	0
Pruebas y tests (p.ej. certificación, calidad de producto)	\circ	\circ	\circ
Incubación/aceleración (p.ej. asesoramiento de mercado, desarrollo de negocio)	•	•	0
Mentorización (en la red) (p.ej. capacitación de/por otros hubs o centros de competencia)	0	0	\circ
Visión y desarrollo estratégico (p.ej. estudios de mercado, desarrollo de estrategia de innovación)	•	•	0
Pruebas de mercado (p.ej. recoger y analizar opiniones de usuarios, validación de conceptos con usuarios)	0	0	0
Desarrollo de comunidad (p.ej. búsqueda de socios, comunicación y marketing de la comunidad, construcción de ecosistema)	0	•	•

Ant. Sig.

Prestando servicios Español \$

Las siguientes preguntas hacen referencia a las herramientas que actualmente se utilizan para prestar servicios y las que se necesitan.

18. ¿Qué herramientas **usas** actualmente para prestar

servicios? (selecciona TODAS las que correspondan)
Webinars
Eventos
Talleres
Guías y modelos
Formación de formadores
Conexión a otros hubs (líderes)
Portal (para dar 1 o más de los servicios anteriores)
Formación online
Documentación
Ninguna de ellas
Otro (especifique)
19. ¿Crees que te falta alguna herramienta para prestar
adecuadamente los servicios?
○ Sí
○ No
Si has marcado sí, ¿cuáles?
Ant. Sig.
3.3.

Capacidades Digitales

Español 🕏

Las siguientes preguntas tratan sobre lo que piensas de la digitalización, cómo los agricultores utilizan la tecnología y cómo se les prestan servicios.

20. Probablemente has escuc pero es tu visión la que nos i ¿Qué significa digital para tí respuestas que correspondar	? (elige TODAS las
Digital se refiere a cualquier actividad relacionada con la innovación tecnológica Digital es sinónimo de tecnología Digital se refiere a actuaciones tecnológicas para conectar con clientes	Digital va más allá de solamente tecnología, sino que refleja una mentalidad para la innovación constante, toma de decisiones horizontales y la integración de tecnología en cualquier fase del negocio Digital se refiere a todos las
Digital se referencia a las inversiones que estamos haciendo para incorporar tecnología a todas las partes de nuestro negocio	actividades de datos y analítica No estoy seguro.
Otro (especifique)	
21. Se accede a servicios en navegador web o un teléfono	

en cualquier parte.

¿Cuanta importancia le das a los siguientes servicios en la nube para un negocio agroalimentario?

	No es importante		De importancia media	Muy importante	Absolutamente esencial
Aplicaciones de usuario: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram or similar	•	•	•	•	0
Aplicaciones de oficina: Office365, Google Apps, G- Suite, Skype or similar	0	\circ	0	0	0
Aplicaciones empresariales: Salesforce, SAP web, SAGE web o cualquier otro ERP/CRM basado en web	•	•	•	•	•
Aplicaciones/infraestructura: FiWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AWS, Google Cloud, Heroku o similar	0	0	0	0	0
Aplicaciones para la gestión agroganadera: cualquier aplicación web o móvil para el manejo de la explotación, como cuadernos de campo o gestión de registro ganadero	•	•	•	•	•

22. ¿En qué medida están los agricultores usando estos servicios en la nube en su negocio?

	Muy a menudo	Ocasionalmente	Pocas veces	Raramente	Nunca
Aplicaciones de usuario: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram or similar	0	0	0	0	0
Aplicaciones de oficina: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype or similar	\circ	\circ	0	\circ	\circ
Aplicaciones empresariales: Salesforce, SAP web, SAGE web o cualquier otro ERP/CRM basado en web	0	•	0	•	•
Aplicaciones/infraestructura: FiWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AWS, Google Cloud, Heroku o similar		0	0	0	0
Aplicaciones para la gestión agroganadera: cualquier aplicación web o móvil para el manejo de la explotación, como cuadernos de campo o gestión de registro ganadero	•	•	•	•	•

23. ¿Cuanta importancia consideras que deberían tener los siguientes servicios digitales para los negocios agroalimentarios?

	No es importante	Es poco importante	De importancia media	Muy importante	Absolutamente esencial
Obtener y analizar imágenes aéreas para tomar mejores decisiones (p.ej. obtenidas mediante satélites o drones)	•	•	•	•	•
Analizar datos recogidos del campo, ganado, negocio o clientes para tomar decisiones informadas (inteligencia de negocio)	0	0	0	0	0
Usar robots programables para tareas agroganaderas o agroindustriales, vehículos autónomos y cualquier otra máquina colaborativa autónoma	•	•	•	•	•
Monitorizar las condiciones de la explotación y la agroindustria para tomar mejores decisiones (p.ej. sensorización)	0	0	0	0	0
Acceder a tus datos, aplicaciones, software o cualquier otra herramienta por internet	•	•	•	•	•
Predecir cosecha, producción, enfermedades, clima, mantenimiento de equipos o condiciones del mercado	0	0	0	0	0
Usar entornos virtuales para capacitación, educación o colaboración utilizando gafas	0	0	0	0	•
Superponer una capa digital o usar experiencias de video inmersivas para mejorar la gestión de la información en el campo o la agroindustria usando teléfonos móviles o gafas	0	0	0	0	0
Usar tecnología para hacer seguimiento y monitorización productos y cadena de suministros	•	0	0	0	0
Otro (especifique)					

24. ¿Está evaluando las necesidades de los agricultores en estas áreas de aplicación?

	Sí	No
Obtener y analizar imágenes aéreas para tomar mejores decisiones (p.ej. obtenidas mediante satélites o drones)	•	•
¿Cómo?		
Analizar datos recogidos del campo, ganado, negocio o clientes para tomar decisiones informadas (inteligencia de negocio)	0	0
¿Cómo?		
Usar robots programables para tareas agroganaderas o agroindustriales, vehículos autónomos y cualquier otra máquina colaborativa autónoma	•	•
¿Cómo?		
Monitorizar las condiciones de la explotación y la agroindustria para tomar mejores decisiones (p.ej. sensorización)	0	0
¿Cómo?		
Acceder a tus datos, aplicaciones, software o cualquier otra herramienta por internet	•	•
¿Cómo?		
Predecir cosecha, producción, enfermedades, clima, mantenimiento de equipos o condiciones del mercado	0	0
¿Cómo?		
Usar entornos virtuales para capacitación, educación o colaboración utilizando gafas ¿Cómo?	•	•
Superponer una capa digital o usar		
experiencias de video inmersivas para mejorar la gestión de la información en el campo o la agroindustria usando teléfonos móviles o gafas	0	0
¿Cómo?		
Usar tecnología para hacer seguimiento y monitorización productos y cadena de suministros	•	•
¿Cómo?		
Ant.	Sig.	

Información de contacto


Español \$

Gracias por tu tiempo y cooperación. ¿Te importa que contactemos contigo en el futuro? Si la respuesta es sí, déjanos tus datos de contacto.

25. Informacio	ón de contacto	
Nombre		
Compañía		
Ciudad/Pueblo		
País		
Dirección de correo electrónico		
N.º de teléfono		
26. ¿Tienes al	gún comentario, pre	gunta o sugerencia?
27. Nos gusta esta encuesta Sí, sin problema No, gracias		contigo en relación co

Ant.

Greek



Κοινότητα Ελληνικά \$

3. Θα μπορουσατε να αναφερετε με ποιους αλλους
ρργανισμούς συνδέεται ο ψηφιακός κόμβος καινοτομίας
σας?
Πανεπιστήμιο/Ερευνητικό Κέντρο
Κέντρο ικανοτήτων
Άλλος ψηφιακός κόμβος καινοτομίας
Τοπικές ΜΜΕ
Τοπικές μεγάλες επιχειρήσεις
Αγροτική ένωση
Οργανισμοί εκπαίδευσης
Κυβερνητικός Οργανισμός
Ενορχηστρωτής
Θερμοκοιτίδα/επιταχυντής/πρόγραμμα για νεοφυείς επιχειρήσεις
Άλλο (διευκρινίστε)
9. Μπορείτε να μας πείτε τι είδους εκδηλώσεις έχει
διοργανώσει ο Κόμβος Καινοτομίας σας τον τελευταίο
δωδεκάμηνο (παρακαλείστε να αναφέρετε την ημερομηνία
μια σύντομη περιγραφή του θέματος και τον αριθμό των
συμμετεχόντων))?
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Προηγ Επόμενο

Όραμα Ελληνικά 🕏

Οι ακόλουθες ερωτήσεις σχετίζονται με το όραμά σας για το μέλλον. Μας ενδιαφέρει αυτό που θεωρείτε σημαντικό.

10. Ποια είναι τα δύνατ	τα σας σ	τημεια?	
11. Ποια πιστεύετε ότι : για το μέλλον?	είναι η	μεγαλύτε	ερη πρόκλησή σας
			4
12. Ποιο θεωρείτε το μ τώρα?	εγαλύτε	ερο επίτε	υγμα σας μέχρι
			4
13. Ποια είναι η φιλοδο	οξία σας	για το μ	ιέλλον?
			4
14. Τι χρειάζεστε για να	α εκπλη	ρώσετε (αυτή τη φιλοδοξία΄ ¬
			4
п	Ίροηγ	Επόμενο	

15. Με βάση τις ανάγκες των αγροτών, παρακαλώ δηλώστε για ποιες από τις παρακάτω ανάγκες ενδιαφέρεστε να παρέχετε υπηρεσίες?

ενσιαφερέστε να		o pcc	105.	noogna0ó va	
	δεν εν ενδιαφέρομαι	διαφέρομαι λίγο	ενδιαφέρομαι έντονα	προσπαθώ να το αντιμετωπίσω	ήδη το αντιμετωπίζω
Η ανάγκη να «παρακολουθούνται και να ιχνηλατούνται» προϊόντα ποιότητας από το αγρόκτημα στο πιρούνι (δηλ. Να βελτιώνονται τα συστήματα ανιχνευσιμότητας έτσι ώστε οι καταναλωτές να γνωρίζουν από πού προέρχεται το προϊόν ή πώς υποβλήθηκε σε επεξεργασία)	•	•	•	•	•
Η ανάγκη βελτιστοποίησης των γεωργικών δραστηριστήτων (όπως η βελτίωση της άρδευσης, της φονιμοποίησης, της θεραπείας των ασθενειών, της συγκομιδής, της διαχείρισης και της διαχείρισης του ζωικού κεφαλαίου)	0	0	0	0	0
Η ανάγκη για νέα επιχειρηματικά μοντέλα (με ιδιαίτερη έμφαση σε προσαρμόσιμες και ευέλικτες ψηφιακές λύσεις για την αντιμετώπιση των επιχειρηματικών αναγκών των εκμεταλλεύσεων)	•	•	•	•	•
Η ανάγκη συνδυασμού και ανταλλαγής δεδομένων για τη δημιουργία αξιών ((όπως η ανάπτυξη προτύπων, γνώσεων και υποδομών για τη συλλογή δεδομένων από τον τομέα με αισθητήρες, δορυφορικές εικόνες ή απεικόνιση με χρήση drone για την λήψη καλύτερων αποφάσεων)	0	0	0	0	0
Η ανάγκη για περιβαλλοντικά βιώσιμη παραγωγή (π.χ. αξιοποίηση των ΤΠΕ για τη βελτίωση των περιβαλλοντικών επιδόσεων της παραγωγής τροφίμων και των αλυσίδων αξίας των γεωργικών προϊόντων διατροφής)	•	•	•	•	•

16. Παρακάτω θα βρείτε μια λίστα με τις υπηρεσίες που μπορούν να προσφέρουν οι ψηφιακοί κόμβοι καινοτομίας. Θα μπορούσατε να υποδείξετε πόσο σημαντικές είναι οι υπηρεσίες αυτές για εσάς?

	Δεν έχει σημασία	Έχει μικρή σημασια	Ουδέτερος	Μάλλον σημαντικό	Πολύ σημαντικό
Πρόσβαση σε χρηματοδότηση και κεφάλαιο (π.χ. χρηματοοικονομική τεχνική, σύνδεση με πηγές χρηματοδότησης, επενδυτικός προγραμματισμός)	•	•	•	0	•
Υποστήριξη επιχειρηματικού σχεδιασμού (π.χ. εμπορία, διανομή)	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc
Δεξιότητες και Εκπαίδευση (π.χ. μαθήματα, εργαστήρια, προσφορά τεχνολογικής υποδομής για εκπαιδευτικούς σκοπούς	•	•	•	0	•
(Συνεργατική) Έρευνα & Ανάπτυξη (π.χ. ανάπτυξη τεχνολογικής αντίληψης)	0	0	0	\circ	0
Τεχνική υποστήριξη (π.χ. ανάπτυξη πρωτοτύπων)	0	0	0	0	0
Δοκιμές (π.χ. πιστοποίηση, κατάταξη προϊόντος)	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	0
Εκκολαπτήριο / Επιταχυντής επιχειρήσεων (π.χ. αξιολόγηση αγοράς, ανάπτυξη επιχειρήσεων)	•	0	•	0	0
Mentoring (στο δίκτυο) (π.χ. εκπαίδευση / από άλλους κόμβους και κέντρα δεξιοτήτων)	0	0	0	\circ	0
Οραματισμό και Ανάπτυξη Στρατηγικής (π.χ. ανάλυση της αγοράς, ανάπτυξη στρατηγικής καινοτομίας)	0	0	•	0	0
Αποδοχή από τον χρήστη (π.χ. συλλογή και ανάλυση γνώμης δεδομένων πελατών, επικύρωση ιδεών με χρήστες)	0	0	0	0	0
Κτίσιμο Κοινότητας (π.χ. αναζήτηση για συνεργάτες, επικοινωνία μάρκετινγκ, οικοδόμηση οικοσυστήματος)	0	0	0	0	0

17. Σε ποιο βαθμό υλοποιούνται αυτές οι υπηρεσίες στο ψηφιακό κόμβο καινοτομίας σας?

	Nai	Οχι	Εν μέρει
Πρόσβαση σε χρηματοδότηση και κεφάλαιο (π.χ. χρηματοοικονομική τεχνική, σύνδεση με πηγές χρηματοδότησης, επενδυτικός προγραμματισμός)	•	•	•
Υποστήριξη επιχειρηματικού σχεδιασμού (π.χ. εμπορία, διανομή)	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ
Δεξιότητες και Εκπαίδευση (π.χ. μαθήματα, εργαστήρια, προσφορά τεχνολογικής υποδομής για εκπαίδευτικούς σκοπούς	•	•	•
(Συνεργατική) Έρευνα & Ανάπτυξη (π.χ. ανάπτυξη τεχνολογικής αντίληψης)	\circ	\circ	\circ
Τεχνική υποστήριξη (π.χ. ανάπτυξη πρωτοτύπων)	0	•	•
Δοκιμές (π.χ. πιστοποίηση, κατάταξη προϊόντος)	\circ	\circ	\circ
Εκκολαπτήριο / Επιταχυντής επιχειρήσεων (π.χ. αξιολόγηση αγοράς, ανάπτυξη επιχειρήσεων)	•	•	•
Mentoring (στο δίκτυο) (π.χ. εκπαίδευση / από άλλους κόμβους και κέντρα δεξιστήτων)	\circ	\circ	\circ
Οραματισμό και Ανάπτυξη Στρατηγικής (π.χ. ανάλυση της αγοράς, ανάπτυξη στρατηγικής καινοτομίας)	•	•	•
Αποδοχή από τον χρήστη (π.χ. συλλογή και ανάλυση γνώμης δεδομένων πελατών, επικύρωση ιδεών με χρήστες)	0	\circ	\circ
Κτίσιμο Κοινότητας (π.χ. αναζήτηση για συνεργάτες, επικοινωνία μάρκετινγκ, οικοδόμηση οικοσυστήματος)	•	•	•

Προηγ Επόμενο

Παροχή υπηρεσιών

Ελληνικά 🕏

Οι παρακάτω ερωτήσεις αφορούν τα εργαλεία τα οποία χρησιμοποιείτε για τη παροχή των υπηρεσιών σας

18. Ποια εργαλεία χρησιμοποιείτε επί του παρόντος για τη
παροχή υπηρεσιών (επιλέξτε ΟΛΑ όσα ισχύουν)
Webinars
Ζωντανές εκδηλώσεις
Εργαστήρια
Πρότυπα
Εκπαίδευση εκπαιδευτών
Άλλοι (πρότυποι) κόμβοι
Μέσω ενός portal (για να παρέχετε μία από τις παραπάνω υπηρεσίες)
E-learning
Καταγραφή
Κανένας από αυτούς
Άλλο (διευκρινίστε)
19. Πιστεύετε ότι λείπουν εργαλεία για την επαρκή παροχι
υπηρεσιών?
○ Nai
○ OXI
Eàv vai, noia;
Προηγ Επόμενο

Ψηφιακές δυνατότητες

Ελληνικά 🕏

Οι παρακάτω ερωτήσεις αφορούν τις σκέψεις σας σχετικά με τη ψηφιοποίηση, πως οι

20. Μάλλον έχετε ακούσει ι					ιση,
αλλά το δικό σας όραμα για σημαίνει ψηφιακό για εσάς?					UV.
Η ψηφιοποίηση αναφέρεται σε όλες τις δραστηριότητες τεχνολογικής καινοτομίας Η ψηφιοποίηση είναι συνώνυμο της τεχνολογίας Η ψηφιοποίηση αναφέρεται σε όλες τις δραστηριότητες τεχνολογίας που	τε) αν: αγ: στ: εν: όλ:	(νολογία τικατοπτ καλιάζει αθερή λέ σωμάτως ες τις φά	τεχνολογ μόνο για ρίζει μια ν τη συνεχι ήψη αποφ ση της τεχ σεις της ε	να νοοτροπία ή καινοτο άσεων κα νολογίας επιχείρησ	1 που μία, τη 11 την ; σε ης
αντιμετωπίζουν οι πελάτες Η ψηφιοποίηση αναφέρεται σε όλες τις επενδύσεις που πραγματοποιούμε για την ενσωμάτωση της τεχνολογίας σε όλα τα μέρη της επιχείρησής μας.	Δε		а каі тην іγоυроς.	αναλύσή	τους
Αλλο (διευκρινίστε)					
21. Οι υπηρεσίες Cloud προ πρόγραμμα περιήγησης στο σας και μπορούν να χρησιμ	διαδίκ οποιηθ	τυο ή ούν ο	με το πουδή	smart ποτε.	Πόσο
πρόγραμμα περιήγησης στο	διαδίκ οποιηθ όλουθε	τυο ή ούν ο	με το πουδή	smart ποτε.	Πόσο
πρόγραμμα περιήγησης στο σας και μπορούν να χρησιμ σημαντικές θεωρείτε τις ακο	διαδίκ οποιηθ όλουθε	τυο ή ούν ο ς υπη _{μικρή}	με το πουδή ρεσίες ^{Μέσης}	smart ποτε. cloud	Πόσο για μ _{Απολύτο}
πρόγραμμα περιήγησης στο σας και μπορούν να χρησιμ σημαντικές θεωρείτε τις ακο	διαδίκ οποιηθ όλουθε Ι καθόλου	τυο ή ούν ο ς υπη _{μικρή}	με το πουδή ρεσίες ^{Μέσης}	smart ποτε. cloud	Πόσο για μ _{Απολύτο}
πρόγραμμα περιήγησης στο σας και μπορούν να χρησιμ σημαντικές θεωρείτε τις ακο την επιχείρηση ενός αγρότη Εφαρμογές πελατών: Gmail, Dropbox,	διαδίκ οποιηθ όλουθε Ι καθόλου	τυο ή ούν ο ς υπη _{μικρή}	με το πουδή ρεσίες ^{Μέσης}	smart ποτε. cloud	Πόσο για μ _{Απολύτι}
πρόγραμμα περιήγησης στο σας και μπορούν να χρησιμ σημαντικές θεωρείτε τις ακο την επιχείρηση ενός αγρότη Εφαρμογές πελατών: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram ή παρόμοιο Επιχειρησιακή παραγωγικότητα: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite,	διαδίκ οποιηθ όλουθε Ι καθόλου	τυο ή ούν ο ς υπη _{μικρή}	με το πουδή ρεσίες ^{Μέσης}	smart ποτε. cloud	Πόσο για μ _{Απολύτο}
πρόγραμμα περιήγησης στο σας και μπορούν να χρησιμ σημαντικές θεωρείτε τις ακό την επιχείρηση ενός αγρότη Εφαρμογές πελατών: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram ή παρόμοιο Επιχειρησιακή παραγωγικότητα: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype ή παρόμοιο Επιχειρηματικές εφαρμογές: Salesforce, SAP web, SAGE web ή οποιοδήποτε άλλο web-based ERP /	διαδίκ οποιηθ όλουθε Ι καθόλου	τυο ή ούν ο ς υπη _{μικρή}	με το πουδή ρεσίες ^{Μέσης}	smart ποτε. cloud	Πόσο για μ _{Απολύτι}

22. Σε ποιο βαθμό βλέπετε τους αγρότες να χρησιμοποιούν αυτές τις υπηρεσίες cloud για να στηρίξουν την επιχείρησή τους?

	Πολύ συχνά	Ενίοτε	Σπάνια	Πολύ Σπάνια	Ποτέ
Εφαρμογές πελατών: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram ή παρόμοιο	0	0	0	0	0
Επιχειρησιακή παραγωγικότητα: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype ή παρόμοιο	\circ	0	0	0	\circ
Επιχειρηματικές εφαρμογές: Salesforce, SAP web, SAGE web ή οποιοδήποτε άλλο web-based ERP / CRM	0	0	•	0	0
Υποδομή / εφαρμογές: FIWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AWS, Google Cloud, Heroku ή παρόμοια	0	0	0	0	0
Εφαρμογές διαχείρισης αγροκτημάτων: οποιαδήποτε διαδικτυακή ή κινητή εφαρμογή για τη διαχείριση της εκμετάλλευσης, όπως ημερολόγιο πεδίου και διαχείριση κτηνοτροφικών εκμεταλλεύσεων	•	•	•	•	•

23. Πόσο σημαντικές θεωρείτε τις ακόλουθες ψηφιακές υπηρεσίες για τις επιχειρηματικές δραστηριότητες ενός αγρότη?

(ιγροτη?					
		Καθόλου σημαντικό	μικρή σημασία	Μέσης Σημασίας	Πολύ σημαντικό	Απολύτως απαραίτητο
	Απόκτηση και ανάλυση αεροφωτογραφιών για να λάβετε καλύτερες αποφάσεις (π.χ. με δορυφόρους ή drones)	0	•	0	0	•
	Ανάλυση των υπάρχοντων δεδομένων από το πεδίο, το ζωικό κεφάλαιο, τις επιχειρήσεις ή τους πελάτες για να λάβετε τεκμηριωμένες αποφάσεις (business intelligence)	0	0	0	0	0
	Χρήση προγραμματιζόμενων ρομπότ για εργασίες γεωργίας ή βιομηχανίας, αυτόνομα οχήματα και οποιεσδήποτε άλλες αυτόνομες συνεργατικές μηχανές	•	•	•	•	•
	Παρακολούθηση των συνθηκών καλλιέργειας για λήψη καλύτερων αποφάσεων (αισθητήρες)	0	0	0	0	0
	Πρόσβαση στα δεδομένα, τις εφαρμογές, το λογισμικό και άλλα εργαλεία μέσω του Διαδικτύου	•	0	0	0	•
	Προβλέψτε τη συγκομιδή, την παραγωγή, τις ασθένειες, τις καιρικές συνθήκες, τη συντήρηση του εξοπλισμού, τις συνθήκες της αγοράς κ.λπ	0	0	0	0	0
	Χρησιμοποιήστε εικονικά περιβάλλοντα για εκπαίδευση ή συνεργασία	0	0	0	0	0
	Χρήση εικονικών πληροφοριών στην πραγματικότητα για τη βελτίωση των πληροφοριών στον τομέα ή τη βιομηχανία μέσω smartphones ή γυαλιών	0	0	0	0	0
	Χρήση Τεχνολογιών για την ανίχνευση και παρακολούθηση της αλυσίδας εφοδιασμού/παράδοση προϊόντων	0	0	0	0	0
7	λλλο (διευκρινίστε)					

24. Αξιολογείτε τις ανάγκες των γεωργών στα παρακάτω?

		Nai		Οχι
Απόκτηση και ανάλυση αερο για να λάβετε καλύτερες απο με δορυφόρους ή drones)		0		0
Πως?			_	
Ανάλυση των υπάρχοντων δ το πεδίο, το ζωικό κεφάλαιο, επιχειρήσεις ή τους πελάτες τεκμηριωμένες αποφάσεις (b intelligence)	τις για να λάβετε	0		0
Πως?				
Χρήση προγραμματιζόμενων εργασίες γεωργίας ή βιομηχο αυτόνομα οχήματα και οποιε άλλες αυτόνομες συνεργατικ	ανίας, σδήποτε	•		•
Πως?			7	
Παρακολούθηση των συνθηκ καλλιέργειας για λήψη καλύτ αποφάσεων (αισθητήρες)		0		0
Πως?			7	
Πρόσβαση στα δεδομένα, τις το λογισμικό και άλλα εργαλ Διαδικτύου		•		•
Πως?				
Προβλέψτε τη συγκομιδή, τη τις ασθένειες, τις καιρικές συ συντήρηση του εξοπλισμού, της αγοράς κ.λπ	ινθήκες, τη	0		0
Πως?				
Χρησιμοποιήστε εικονικά περ εκπαίδευση ή συνεργασία	οιβάλλοντα για	•		0
Πως?				
V				
Χρήση εικονικών πληροφορι πραγματικότητα για τη βελτί πληροφοριών στον τομέα ή τ μέσω smartphones ή γυαλιώ	ωση των τη βιομηχανία	0		0
Πως?				
Χρήση Τεχνολογιών για την παρακολούθηση της αλυσίδο εφοδιασμού/παράδοση προϊό	ις	0		0
Πως?				
	Προηγ	Επόμενο		

Στοιχεία επικοινωνίας

Ελληνικά 🕏

Σας ευχαριστούμε πολύ για το χρόνο και τη συνεργασία σας. Μπορούμε να επικοινωνήσουμε μαζί σας στο μέλλον σχετικά με αυτό το έργο; Αν ναι, παρακαλώ μοιραστείτε τα στοιχεία επικοινωνίας σας εδώ.

25. Στοιχεία	επικοινα	υνίας		
Ονομα				
Етагріа				
Πόλη				
Χώρα				
Email				
Τηλέφωνο				
26. Έχετε ἀ	λλα σχόλ	ιια, ερωτι	ἡσεις ἡ α	ανησυχίες?
27. Θα θέλα αυτήν την έ	-	ικοινωνή	σουμε μ	αζί σας σχετικά με
Ναι παρακαλώ				
Οχι ευχαριστώ				
		Προηγ		

Serbian



Copy of Digital Innovation Hubs services Uvod Српски 💠 Sledeća pitanja se odnose na vaš DIH 1. Koji digitalni inovacioni centar predstavljate? 2. U kojem sektoru uglavnom pružate usluge? Ratarstvo Svinjarstvo Voćarstvo Organska proizvodnja Živinarstvo Maslinovo drveće Staklenici/plastenici Ostalo stočarstvo (npr. Ovce, koze ... navedite ispod) Mlekarstvo Agro-šumarski ekosistemi (primer Povrtarstvo navedite ispod) Drugo (molimo navedite) 3. Sa kojim regionalnim klasterom ste povezani? 4. Na kojoj lokaciji (grad, država) je Vaš DIH?? 5. Koje godine (meseca) je osnovan Vaš centar? 6. Kako biste opisali digitalne inovacije koje pružate u Vašem sektoru? 7. Koja je Vaša pozicija u DIH-u? Menadžer Savetnik Računovođa Istraživač Orugo (molimo navedite)

Zajednica Cpпски ♦

3. Možete li navesti sa kojim drugim entitetima je povezan
vaš DIH?
Univerzitet / Istraživački centar
Centar kompetencija (Competence center)
Drugi DIH-ovi
Lokalna preduzeća (MSP sektor)
Lokalna velika preduzeća
Udruženja poljoprivrednika
Obrazovne institucije
Lokalna samouprava
Upravljačko telo
Inkubator/akselerator/startup programi
Drugo (molimo navedite)
9. Možete li nam reći kakve je događaje Vaš DIH organizovao u poslednjih dvanaest meseci (datum, kratak opis tema, broj prisutnih)?
Pre Sledeći

Copy of Digital Innovation Hubs services

Vizija

Српски 💠

Sledeća pitanja su povezana sa Vašom vizijom za budućnost. Mi smo zainteresovani za ono što smatrate važnim; možete odgovoriti ili na visokom nivou ili detaljno prema onome što osećate.

10.	Koje su Vase prednosti?
	6
11.	Koji je Vaš najveći izazov u budućnosti?
12.	Šta smatrate svojim najvećim doprinosom sektoru?
	6
13.	Koja je Vaša ambicija?
14.	Šta Vam je potrebno da ispunite ovu ambiciju?
	Pre Sledeći

DIH Usluge

Naredna pitanja se tiču digitalizacije poljoprivrede: koje temesu Vam posebno interesantne kada je reč o digitalizaciji? Takođe, koje digitalne servise pružate kao DIH?

15. Uzimajući u obzir potrebe poljoprovrednika, u molimo Vas identifikujte one koje možete da podržite:

	Malo zainteresovano	Malo zainteresovano	Veoma zainteresovani	da se bavimo tim potrebama	bavimo tim potrebama
Potreba da se "prate" kvalitetni proizvodi od polja do trpeze (npr. poboljšanje sistema sledljivosti za kupce ili potrošače kako bi znali odakle proizvod dolazi ili kako je obrađivan)	•	•	•	•	•
Potreba da se optimizuju aktivnosti/ procesi na gazdinstvima (poput poboljšanje navodnjavanja, dubrenja, lečenja bolesti, žetve, upravljanje stočarstvom, administracije)	0	0	0	0	0
Potreba za novim poslovnim modelima (sa posebnim fokusom na prilagodljiva i fleksibilna digitalna rešenja za zadovoljavanje poslovnih potreba farmi)	•	•	•	•	•
Potreba za kombinovanjem i razmenom podataka u cilju stvaranja vrednosti (kao što su razvoj standarda, znanja i infrastrukture za prikupljanje podataka sa terena sa senzorima, satelitskim ili bespilotnim slikama i donošenje boljih odluka).	0	0	0	0	0
Potreba za ekološki održivom proizvodnjom (korišćenje ICT-a za poboljšanje ekološkog aspekta proizvodnje hrane i lanaca vrednosti za poljoprivredno-prehrambenu industriju)	•	•	•	•	•

16. Ispod možete naći listu usluga koje DIH mogu pružiti. Možete li, molim Vas, da nam kažete koliku važnosti imaju ove usluge za Vas?

	Nemaj značaj	Malog značaja	Neutralno	Važne	Veoma važne
Pristup finansijama i finansiranju (npr. Finansijski inženjering, veza sa izvorima finansiranja, planiranje investicija)	0	0	•	0	0
Podrška poslovnom planiranju (npr. Marketing, distribucija)	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ
Veštine i obrazovanje (npr. kursevi, radionice, nudeći tehnološku infrastrukturu u obrazovne svrhe)	0	0	•	0	0
(Zajedničko) istraživanje i razvoj (npr. Razvoj koncepta tehnologije, prikupljanje dokaza o konceptima)	0	0	\circ	\circ	0
Tehnička podrška (npr. Izrada prototipa, proizvodnja male količine proizvoda)	0	0	0	0	0
Testiranje (pr. sertfikacija, kvalifikacija proizvoda)	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ
Inkubator / akcelerator (npr. analiza tržišta, razvoj poslovanja)	0	0	0	0	0
Mentorstvo (u Vašoj profesionalnoj mreži) (npr. obuke namenjene hub-ovima i obuke koje sprovode hub-ovi i centri za razvoj kompetencija)	0	0	0	0	0
Vizija i razvoj strategije (npr. razvoj inovacijske strategije)	0	0	0	0	0
Prihvatanje od strane korisnika (npr. prikupljanje i analiza podataka o klijentima, provera koncepta)	0	0	0	0	0
Izgradnja zajednice (npr. Izviđanje za partnere, marketinške komunikacije, izgradnja ekosistema)	0	0	0	0	0

17. Da li pružate ove usluge u Vašem DIH-u?

	Da	Ne	Delimično
Pristup finansijama i finansiranju (npr. Finansijski inženjering, veza sa izvorima finansiranja, planiranje investicija)	0	0	0
Podrška poslovnom planiranju (npr. Marketing, distribucija)	\circ	\circ	0
Veštine i obrazovanje (npr. kursevi, radionice, nudeći tehnološku infrastrukturu u obrazovne svrhe)	•	0	•
(Zajedničko) istraživanje i razvoj (npr. Razvoj koncepta tehnologije, prikupljanje dokaza o konceptima)	0	0	\circ
Tehnička podrška (poput izrade prototipa, proizvodnje malih serija)	0	0	0
Testiranje (pr. sertfikacija, kvalifikacija proizvoda)	\circ	\circ	\circ
Inkubator / akcelerator (npr. analiza tržišta, razvoj poslovanja)	0	0	•
Mentorstvo (u Vašoj profesionalnoj mreži) (npr. obuke namenjene hub-ovima i obuke koje sprovode hub-ovi i centri za razvoj kompetencija)	0	0	0
Vizija i razvoj strategije (npr. razvoj inovacijske strategije)	0	0	0
Prihvatanje od strane korisnika (npr. prikupljanje i analiza podataka o klijentima, provera koncepta)	0	\circ	\circ
Izgradnja zajednice (npr. Izviđanje za partnere, marketinške komunikacije, izgradnja ekosistema)	0	0	•

Pre Sledeći

Pružanje usluga

Naredna grupa pitanja se odnosi na alate koji su trenutno u upotrebi kako bi se pružila adekvatna usluga.

18. Koje alate trenutno koristite za pruzanje usluga?
(izaberite sve adekvatne odgovore)
Vebinari
Događaji
Radionice
Šabloni
Obuka trenera
Povezivanje sa drugim, uspešnijim, habovima
Portal (za isporuku jedne ili više gore navedenih usluga)
E-learning
Dokumentacija
Ni jedan od ponuđenih odgovora
Drugo (molimo navedite)
19. Da li smatrate da Vam trenutno nedostaje alat za
adekvatno pružanje usluga?
○ Da
○ Ne
Ako da, koje?
Pre Sledeći
Pre Sledeći

upravljanje stočnim fondom

Prednosti digitalizacije

Српски 💠

Naredna grupa pitanja se odnosi na Vaša razmišljanja o digitalizaciji, kako poljoprivrednici koriste tehnologiju i načina na koji im Vi pružate usluge,

20. Verovatno ste mnogo čul	I o di	aut aliz		11	
	120 70		_		
važno Vaše viđenje. Šta za V Koznačite sve adekvatne odg			jaili u	igitali	10:
Digitalno se odnosi na sve aktivnosti vezane za inovacije u tehnologiji. Digitalo je sinonim za tehnologiju Digitalno se odnosi na sve tehnološke aktivnosti u cilju približavanja klijentima. Digitalno se odnosi na sve investicije koje ulažemo u integraciju tehnologije u sve delove našeg poslovanja. Drugo (molimo navedite) 21. Cloud uslugama se uglav pretraživača ili pametnog telegde. Koliko važnim smatrate	Ter teh raz ino inte pos Dig ana Nis	min digiti nologiju mišljanja vacije, de graciju ti slovanja. iitalno se aliize poda am sigur	pa put	a način hvata sta odluka i ie u sve f a sve tip	faze ove
	aoie	naved	lene C		
a poslovanje poljoprivrednik		naved	lene C		
za poslovanje poljoprivrednik		Malog		loud u	usluge Apsolutn
Korisničke aplikacije: Gmail, Dropbox,	<a? nemaju<="" td=""><td>Malog</td><td></td><td>loud u</td><td>usluge Apsolutn</td></a?>	Malog		loud u	usluge Apsolutn
Korisničke aplikacije: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram ili slično Poslovna produktivnost: Office365,	Nemaju značaj	Malog		loud u	usluge Apsolutn
Korisničke aplikacije: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram ili slično Poslovna produktivnost: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype ili slično Poslovne aplikacije: Salesforce, SAP veb, SAGE veb ili bilo koji drugi program za planiranje resursa baziran na upotrebi	(a? Nemaju značaj	Malog		loud u	usluge Apsolutn
Korisničke aplikacije: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram ili slično Poslovna produktivnost: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype ili slično Poslovne aplikacije: Salesforce, SAP veb, SAGE veb ili bilo koji drugi program za planiranje resursa baziran na upotrebi interneta Infrastruktura / aplikacije: FiWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AVS, Google Cloud, Heroku ili slično	(a? Nemaju značaj	Malog		loud u	

22. U kojoj meri vidite da poljoprivrednici zaista koriste dole navedene Cloud usluge kako bi podržali svoje poslovanje?

	Vrlo često	Često	Ponekad	Retko	Nikad
Korisničke aplikacije: Gmail, Dropbox, WhatsApp, Telegram ili slično	0	0	0	0	0
Poslovna produktivnost: Office365, Google Apps, G-Suite, Skype ili slično	\circ	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Poslovne aplikacije: Salesforce, SAP veb, SAGE veb ili bilo koji drugi program za planiranje resursa baziran na upotrebi interneta	0	•	•	•	•
Infrastruktura / aplikacije: FiWARE, OVH, IBM Bluemix, Amazon AVS, Google Cloud, Heroku ili slično	0	0	0	0	0
Aplikacije za upravljanje poljoprivrednim gazdinstvom: bilo koja veb ili mobilna aplikacija za upravljanje gazdinstvom, kao što je knjiga polja ili system za upravljanje stočnim fondom	•	•	•	•	•

23. Prema Vašem mišljenju, koliko bi naredne digitalne usluge trebalo da budu važne za posao poljoprivrednika?

siage crebato da bada vazi	ic zu p	0300	poijop	iivica	mika:
	Nisu od značaja	Malog značaja	Značajne	Veoma značajne	Apsolutno neophodno
Pribavljanje i analiziranje snimaka iz vazduha kako bi se donele bolje odluke (npr. pribavljene pomoću satelita ili pespilotnih letelica)	0	•	•	•	•
Analiziranje postojećih sopstvenih podataka sa terena, o stanju stoke, iz poslovanja ili o klijentima da bi se donele valjane odluke	0	0	0	0	0
Upotreba programabilnih robota za poljoprivredne ili industrijske zadatke, autonomna vozila i bilo koje druge autonomne kolaborativne mašine	•	0	•	•	•
Pratiti poljoprivredne parametre da bi se donosile bolje odluke (poput senzora)		\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Pristupanje svojim podacima, aplikacijama, softveru i drugim alatima putem interneta	•	0	•	0	•
Predvidianje žetve, proizvodnje, bolesti, vremena, stanja opreme, uslova na tržištu, itd.	\circ	0	0	0	\circ
Korišćenje virtuelnog okruženja za obuku, obrazovanje ili saradnju	0	0	0	0	0
Preklapanje virtuelnih informacija u stvarnost da bi se poboljšale informacije na terenu ili industriji koristeći pametne selefone ili naočare	()	0	0	0	0
Praćenje i nadgledanje lanca snabdevanja	0	0	0	0	0
rugo (molimo navedite)					

24. Da li procenjujete potrebe farmera u ovim oblastima?

	Da	Ne
Pribavljanje i analiziranje snimaka iz vazduha kako bi se donele bolje odluke (npr. pribavljene pomoću satelita ili bespilotnih letelica	•	•
Kako?		
Analiziranje postojećih sopstvenih podataka sa terena, o stanju stoke, iz poslovanja ili o klijentima da bi se donele valjane odluke Kako?	0	0
Nurv.		
Upotreba programabilnih robota za poljoprivredne ili industrijske zadatke, autonomna vozila i bilo koje druge autonomne kolaborativne mašine	•	•
Kako?		
Pratiti poljoprivredne parametre da bi se donosile bolje odluke (poput senzora) Kako?	0	0
Pristupanje svojim podacima, aplikacijama, softveru i drugim alatima putem interneta Kako?	•	•
Predvidianje žetve, proizvodnje, bolesti, vremena, stanja opreme, uslova na tržištu, itd.	0	0
Kako?		
Korišćenje virtuelnog okruženja za obuku, obrazovanje ili saradnju	•	•
Kako?		
Preklapanje virtuelnih informacija u stvarnost da bi se poboljšale informacije na terenu ili industriji koristeći pametne telefone ili naočare	0	0
Kako?		
Praćenje i nadgledanje lanca snabdevanja Kako?		•
-		
Pre	Sledeći	

Kontakt Informacije

0	
Српски	•

Hvala Vam na Vašem vremenu i saradnji. Možemo li Vas u budućnosti kontaktirati u vezi sa ovim projektom?

Možemo li Vas u bu	dućnosti kontaktirati u vezi sa ovim projektom?
25. Kontakt	Informacije
Ime	
Firma	
Grad	
Država	
E-pošta	
Broj telefona	
26. Da li ima zapažanja?	ate neke druge komentare, pitanja ili
27. Želeli bis Da, molim Vas Ne, hvala	smo da Vas kontaktiramo o ovom istraživanju
	Pre Gotovo

8. ANNEX IV: GDPR CONSENT

To DIHs involved in SmartAgriHubs Project.

From CAPDER

Date

Concerning GPDP consent

CONSENT FOR THE TRANSFER OF PERSONAL AND INFORMATION DATA OF INTEREST WITHIN THE FRAME OF THE SMARTAGRIHUBS EUROPEAN H2020 PROJECT.

The H2020 European project SmartAgriHubs, ""Connecting the dots to unleash the innovation potential for digital transformation of the European agrifood", is dedicated to accelerate the digital transformation of the European agri-food sector. It will consolidate, activate and extend the current ecosystem by building a network of Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) that will boost the uptake of digital solutions by the farming sector. This will be achieved by integrating technology and business support in a local onestop- shop approach involving all regions and all relevant players in Europe. The heart of the project is formed by 28 flagship innovation experiments demonstrating digital innovations in agriculture, facilitated by DIHs from 9 Regional Clusters including all European member states. Concurrently, SmartAgriHubs will improve the maturity of innovation services of DIHs so that digital innovations will be replicated across Europe and widely adopted by European farmers.

Within the frame of this project, lead by Wageningen Research, the Andalusian Ministry for Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Sustainable Development of the Andalusian Regional Government is responsible for two tasks: Need assessment and Building networks of DIHs within the WP DIH Capacity Building and Monitoring, where there is a need to establish contacts with the persons in charge of the DIHs which belong to the mentioned project without being direct partners, with the aim to obtain information regarding both personal data and scope and activity of the DIHs, among others.

Therefore, as a DIH which collaborates with the SmartAgriHubs project, in compliance with the General Regulation for Data Protection, the Andalusian Ministry for Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Sustainable Development requests your express consent for the communication of your personal data (name, surname and e-mail) to other partners of the consortium as well as to related external experts and initiatives. Moreover, these data can be published in the "Innovation Portal" of the project as a part of the DIHs catalogue, to be produced within the Observatory.

Consent

Mr/Mrs/Ms...... with Identification Card /Passport No. declares that: I have read the clause about data protection and I give my consent so that the Andalusian Ministry for Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Sustainable Development can make use of the information on personal data referred in the mentioned clause and in its specified terms.

In witness whereof I sign the authorisation in (PLACE) (DATE)

Signed:	
Signeu:	

Data Protection Clause

DATA PROTECTION:

In compliance with the provisions of the General Data Protection Regulation we inform you that:

- a) The controller of your personal data is the Viceconsejería of the Andalusian Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Sustainable Development, having its address in $c/Tabladilla\ s/n\ -\ 41071\ Seville\ -\ Spain.$
- b) You can contact the Data Protection Officer at dpd.capder@juntadeandalucia.es.
- c) The personal data you provide us are necessary for the events, relationships and projects management of the Regional Ministry, whose legal basis is the consent that you have expressed.
- d) You can exercise your rights of access, rectification, cancellation and opposition or object to this processing at http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/protecciondedatos

9. ANNEX V: EMAIL TO DIHS

SUBJECT: H2020 SmartAgriHubs: Needs Assessment survey

BODY:

Dear Madam / Sir,

You are receiving this email because you are part of a Digital Innovation Hub (DIH), dedicated to accelerate the digital transformation of the European agri-food sector as stated in the H2020 initiative "SmartAgriHubs".

SmartAgriHubs aims to connect the dots to unleash the innovation potential for digital transformation of the European agrifood sector. A first yet fundamental step in our project is to understand how DIHs are developing and delivering innovation services to address the digital needs of the farming sector. To this end, we have developed two surveys: one for Digital Innovation Hubs, and one for the farming sector. We would kindly like to ask you to complete the Digital Innovation Hub survey. Secondly, we would very much appreciate if you reach out to your network in the farming sector for collecting data on the farming sector survey.

Digital Innovation Hub survey

The survey for the Digital Innovation Hub should preferably be filled by the executive responsible for the DIH, the highest-ranking person ultimately responsible for managerial decisions.

You will find the survey here here in different languages:

English: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_DIHs

Spanish: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_DIHs?lang=es Greek: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_DIHs?lang=el Serbian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_DIHs?lang=sr

Of course, you can forward these links.

Farmers and farming sector survey

A second survey is to be filled in by the farming sector: farmers themselves and their support ecosystem (e.g. farmers' agri-cooperatives, service and products providers and farmers' associations, organisations and institutions). In order to gain thorough insight and optimal representativeness, we would very much appreciate your help with obtaining at least 20 completed surveys according to the following division:

At least 13 surveys by farmers, either full-time, part-time or landlords, with a distribution in terms of farm size and main agricultural domains that represents your region.

At least 2 surveys by a worker in a farming company.

At least 2 surveys by an external service or product provider.

At least 2 surveys by an agri-cooperative, farmers association, or agricultural institution.

In order to accomplish this, we have a few tips and supporting tools:

Below you'll find an example e-mail you can use to reach respondents (farmers and support ecosystem partners that in turn can also help to reach farmers). Feel free to adapt the e-mail to your own situation. We strongly suggest to connect with agri-cooperatives, associations or institutions in your community to reach farmers.

One of the mandatory questions in the survey is to which Digital Innovation Hub the respondents are connected. Therefore, please make sure you give them the correct reference name of your Digital Innovation Hub.

You may of course use whatever additional means you think adequate to reach farmers. You can send the link via social media, or if you think that printing out the survey may improve the performance, feel free to do it and let us know so we can advise you on how to proceed.

We will inform you about the reach of the surveys corresponding to your Digital Innovation Hub.

You will find the Farming sector survey here in different languages:

English: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers

German: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=de Spanish: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=es French: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=fr Greek: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=el Italian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=it Polish: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=pl

Serbian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs farmers?lang=sr

Our aim is to have the surveys completed in two weeks from today. We are very much looking forward to the richness of insights we will get through this survey, in order to accelerate digital transformation in the sector. Furthermore, the project aims to directly support you as a Digital Innovation Hub, for which this survey will also lay the foundation.

Thanks in advance for your cooperation!

10.ANNEX VI: EXAMPLE EMAIL TO REACH PARTNERS

Subject: Improving digital transformation in our region

Body:

Dear partner,

We are [NAME], a Digital Innovation Hub dedicated to accelerate the digital transformation of the European agrifood sector. As such, we are involved in the H2020 initiative SmartAgriHubs.

We would kindly like to ask your help to improve our understanding of the farmers' and farming sector's digitalisation needs by completing this survey.

You will find the survey here in different languages:

English: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers

German: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=de Spanish: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=es French: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=fr Greek: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=el Italian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=it Polish: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=pl Serbian: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/smartagrihubs_farmers?lang=sr

It would also be great if you could help us spread the link so we can collect even more responses: the more representative the insights are, the better we will be able to meet the needs of the farming sector.

Thanks in advance for your support.