Background: Studies have shown a higher mortality due to COVID-19 amongst certain professions. The risk of becoming infected with SARS-CoV-2 and the occupational conditions associated with this, have not been studied extensively. This study aimed to develop and validate a Job Exposure Matrix (JEM) to assess the risk of COVID-19 in the workplace.

Methods: Researchers from three European countries defined six dimensions (four transmission determinants, two mitigation measures) to classify occupational risk. A combination of national statistics and expert judgement was used to classify ISCO-08 codes in no, low, elevated, or high risk for each dimension. Two data driven aspects of precarious work were also included and classified in four categories. The JEM was translated to SOC2010-codes and validated by comparing it to UK infection survey data and ONS estimates of exposure based on ONET data.

Results: A slightly increasing proportion of COVID-19 cases was observed with increasing risk scores in each dimension of the JEM. There was a high correlation between the JEM and the ONS estimates for physical proximity (r = 0.71) and exposure to COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2 infection (r = 0.80)

Conclusions: Successful development and initial validation of this JEM has resulted in a useful tool for risk assessment of COVID-19 in the workplace. Further validation exercises will continue.

Key messages: This publicly available JEM can play a key role in the essential assessment of occupational contribution to the total burden of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Abstract #: 1510 Development and validation of a Job Exposure Matrix for work related risk factors for COVID-19

Karin van Veldhoven¹, Ioannis Basinas², Karen Oude Hengel^{3,4}, Alex Burdorf⁴, Anjoeka Pronk³, Susan Peters⁵, Vivi Schlünssen^{6,7}, Zara Ann Stockholm⁸, Henrik Albert Kolstad⁸, Martie van Tongeren²

¹London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom, ²The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom, ³Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research TNO, Leiden, The Netherlands, ⁴Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, ⁵Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, ⁶Department of Public Health, Environment, Occupation and Health, Danish Ramazzini Centre, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, ⁷National Research Centre for the Working Environment, Copenhagen, ⁸Department Denmark. of Occupational Medicine, Danish Ramazzini Centre, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark